

Breaking Barriers: Strategies for Fostering Inclusivity in The Workplace

Arlene Garrick, W. David Johnson, Susan W. Arendt

Apparel, Events and Hospitality Management, Iowa State University, 31 MacKay Hall,
MacKay Hall, 2302 Osborn Dr, Ames, IA 50011, United States

Email: agarrick@iastate.edu, david220@iastate.edu, sarendt@iastate.edu

To Link this Article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v14-i2/20799>

DOI:10.6007/IJARBSS/v14-i2/20799

Published Date: 02 February 2024

Abstract

This paper focuses on promoting inclusivity in the workplace, particularly addressing equality and inequality issues. Its objective is to provide practical tips and advice to managers on fostering an inclusive workplace culture, recognizing the significance of inclusion for employee well-being and organizational success. The researchers define and discuss various forms of workplace inequality, examine root causes, and then present a comprehensive range of tactics managers can use to encourage diversity. The paper explores creating an inclusive work culture, implementing diversity and inclusion policies, ensuring fair hiring processes, addressing bias and discrimination, promoting diversity training, and providing equal chances for career growth. Furthermore, the paper delves into the obstacles that hinder the attainment of inclusivity, including resistance to change, unintentional prejudices, ignorance, and structural barriers. It supplements these discussions with best practices, illustrating successful examples of organizations that have effectively promoted inclusivity in the workplace. The methodology to be employed involves a qualitative research approach. The paper underscores the importance of promoting inclusivity and urges managers to address equality and inequality issues actively. By implementing these strategies, managers can cultivate a culture of equality where all employees can thrive and contribute to the company's success.

Keywords: Inclusivity, Workplace Equality, Workplace Inequality, Diversity and Inclusion Strategies, Organizational Culture

Introduction

Background and Significance

In recent years, the recognition of employment inequality has grown significantly among scholars, policymakers, and practitioners. This increased awareness is rooted in the understanding that establishing inclusive workplaces is a moral and strategic necessity for organizations. Extensive efforts by scholars and researchers have been dedicated to studying employment inequality, unveiling its diverse forms and implications (For example, Bonaccio

et al., 202; Padavic et al., 2020; Pager & Shepherd, 2008). These endeavors have underscored the adverse effects of inequality on individual well-being, job satisfaction, and career advancement opportunities. Moreover, studies have shown that diverse and inclusive workplaces can increase innovation, creativity, and organizational performance (Dobbin & Kalev, 2019; Thomas & Ely, 2020).

Policymakers have similarly acknowledged the imperative of addressing employment inequality to champion social justice and equal opportunities. Governments and regulatory bodies have responded by introducing policies to reduce discrimination and promote workplace diversity and inclusion. These initiatives foster environments where individuals are evaluated based on skills and qualifications rather than factors such as gender, race, age, ability, or socioeconomic status.

Practitioners, including human resources professionals and organizational leaders, increasingly recognize the moral and competitive advantages of fostering inclusivity (Frei & Morriss, 2023; Korkmaz et al., 2022; SHRM, n.d.). They appreciate that diverse perspectives and experiences contribute to improved decision-making, enhanced problem-solving, and a better understanding of customers. Consequently, organizations strive to establish diverse and equitable environments that attract top talent, retain employees, and drive innovation.

Despite progress in certain areas, employment inequality persists in many workplaces. Challenges such as gender pay gaps, underrepresentation of marginalized groups in leadership positions, and biases in recruitment and promotion processes continue to impede progress toward inclusivity. There is an evident need for ongoing efforts to address and rectify employment inequality (Field et al., 2023; International Labor Organization, 2022; McKinsey and Company, 2020).

Problem Statement

Despite the recognized importance of diversity and inclusion in the workplace, persistent challenges undermine the realization of truly inclusive environments. Various studies and reports highlight (For example, Randall, 2023; McKinsey & Company, 2020; ILO, 2022; ILO, 2021; Hunt et al., 2018; Hunt et al., 2015) critical issues organizations face in fostering diversity and inclusion, with tangible consequences for employee well-being, organizational performance, and societal progress.

Examining the challenges in fostering diversity and inclusion reveals multifaceted issues that demand strategic interventions. Systemic underrepresentation and discriminatory practices, as evidenced by rigorous field experiments and sociological studies (Bertrand & Mullainathan, 2018; Pager & Shepherd, 2008), perpetuate inequality and hinder talent utilization across organizations, particularly affecting marginalized groups in recruitment, promotions, and career progression. Despite widespread implementation, the ineffectiveness of diversity programs, supported by research (Dobbin & Kalev, 2019; Chang et al., 2019), is marked by multifaceted challenges, including resistance to change, insufficient cultural transformation, and a gap between program design and actual impact on workplace dynamics. The pervasive nature of unconscious bias, highlighted in studies (Greenwald & Krieger, 2020; Jones et al., 2023), influences decision-making processes, perpetuating subtle prejudices and posing a challenge to creating genuinely inclusive cultures, impacting employee morale, team dynamics, and overall organizational cohesion. Additionally, reports from McKinsey (Hunt et al., 2018) and Catalyst (Catalyst, 2022) indicate limited progress in leadership diversity, with the glass ceiling persisting and hindering the full potential of diverse perspectives at the decision-making level.

Hendy (2022); Ely and Thomas (2019) shed light on the difficulty of translating inclusive leadership practices from diversity ideals. They pinpoint shortcomings in leadership abilities, particularly in fostering a culture of belonging, embracing intellectual humility, and managing the complexities of diverse teams. These challenges pose obstacles to successfully implementing diversity and inclusion initiatives, underscoring the need for research-based solutions to reshape workplace dynamics. Additionally, Ely and Thomas (2020) discuss the skepticism surrounding the widely held belief that increasing diversity in the workforce automatically enhances a company's performance. They challenge this notion and argue that contrary to popular rhetoric, no conclusive research supports the direct economic benefits of workforce diversification. Instead, they assert that organizations must adopt a learning-oriented approach and be open to changing their corporate culture and power structure to fully leverage the advantages of increased racial and gender diversity.

Objectives of Paper

This paper pursues dual objectives. Firstly, it seeks to contribute to the ongoing discourse on employment inequality by examining its diverse aspects and exploring effective strategies for fostering inclusivity in the workplace. By shedding light on the severity of the issue, the paper aims to raise awareness among stakeholders, inspire action, and encourage organizations to prioritize inclusivity in their practices and policies.

Secondly, this paper endeavors to guide managers in cultivating inclusive workplace cultures. Through the synthesis of research findings and best practices, the objective is to equip managers with actionable strategies and tactics. The overarching aim is to create an environment where diversity is valued, and all employees can thrive and contribute meaningfully to the organization's success.

Methodology

This paper employs a qualitative approach to comprehensively review existing literature, case studies, and expert insights. The qualitative approach allows for an in-depth exploration and analysis of the complexities of employment inequality and the strategies for fostering inclusivity.

The literature review extensively examines scholarly articles, books, and reports on employment inequality, diversity, inclusion, and organizational behavior. A total of 46 resources, including peer-reviewed journals, books, and industry reports, were explored (See Table 1). The focus is on gathering insights into the various forms of employment inequality, the challenges faced by individuals from marginalized groups, and the strategies organizations have employed to promote inclusivity. The synthesis of these diverse sources helps provide a comprehensive understanding of the topic.

Table I

A compilation of key resources used

Author(s)	Year	Title	Focus	Source/Journals/Industry Articles/Books
Cox, T.	1994	Cultural diversity in organizations: Theory, research, and practice	Theoretical and practical aspects of cultural diversity in organizations	Berrett-Koehler Publishers
Eisenbeiss, et al,	2008	Transformational leadership and team innovation: Integrating team climate principles	Cross-cultural leadership and its impact on team innovation	Journal of Applied Psychology
Pager, D., & Shepherd, H.	2008	The sociology of discrimination: Racial discrimination in employment, housing, credit, and consumer markets	Sociological perspective on racial discrimination in various sectors	American Sociological Review
Kim et al.	2015	The effects of mentoring on role stress, job attitude, and turnover intention in the hotel industry	Role of mentoring in reducing role stress and turnover intention	International Journal of Hospitality Management
Manoharan, A., & Singal, M.	2017	A systematic literature review of research on diversity and diversity management in the hospitality literature	Diversity and diversity management in the hospitality industry	International Journal of Hospitality Management
Diller, J. V.	2018	Cultural diversity: A primer for the human services	Primer on cultural diversity for human services	Cengage Learning

Chang et al.	2019	Does Diversity Training Work the Way It's Supposed to?	Examination of the effectiveness of diversity training programs	Harvard Business Review
Jones et al.	2023	Not so subtle: Uncovering the pervasive and persistent nature of subtle prejudice	Subtle prejudice and its persistent impact in various contexts	Journal of Applied Psychology
Bourke, J. & Titus, A.	2020	The key to inclusive leadership	Inclusive leadership practices and their impact	Harvard Business Review
Livingston, R.	2020	How to promote racial equity in the workplace	Strategies for promoting racial equity in the workplace	Harvard Business Review
Thomas, D. A., & Ely, R. J.	2020	Cultural diversity at work: The effects of diversity perspectives on work group processes and outcomes	Effects of diversity perspectives on work group processes and outcomes	Oxford Handbook of Workplace Diversity
Grindstaff, L.	2022	Barriers to inclusion: Social roots and current concerns	Social roots and contemporary concerns regarding inclusion barriers	Uprooting Bias in the Academy: Lessons from the Field
Smith, J. A., & Davis, R.	2022	Leading inclusively: The role of management in fostering diversity and equality	Inclusive leadership and the role of management in fostering diversity	Journal of Applied Management

Smith, J. A., & Johnson, L. R.	2022	Employment inequality: A comprehensive review of challenges and strategies	A comprehensive review of challenges and strategies in employment inequality	Journal of Applied Management
Williams et al.	2021	The complexity of race and ethnicity in the workplace: Understanding the impact of identity and bias	Understanding the impact of identity and bias in the workplace	Journal of Social Issues
Chamorro- Premuzie, T. & Berg, K.	2021	Fostering a Culture of Belonging in the Hybrid Workplace	Strategies for fostering a culture of belonging in hybrid workplaces	Harvard Business Review
Dobbin, F., & Kalev, A.	2019	Why diversity programs fail	Examination of reasons behind the failure of diversity programs	Harvard Business Review
Levine, S.	2020	Diversity Confirmed To Boost Innovation And Financial Results	Relationship between diversity and innovation and financial outcomes	Forbes Newsletter

In addition to the literature review, this paper incorporates real-world examples and case studies of organizations that have successfully promoted workplace inclusivity. These examples are practical illustrations of the strategies and initiatives discussed and highlight successful approaches resulting in tangible positive outcomes. Including these best practices aims to inspire and guide managers in their efforts to foster inclusivity.

By adopting a qualitative research methodology, this paper acknowledges the value of qualitative data in capturing the complexities and nuances of employment inequality and inclusion. It recognizes the importance of combining theoretical insights with real-world experiences to provide practical and actionable recommendations for managers and organizations seeking to address employment inequality and promote inclusivity.

In shaping this research paper, a rigorous selection process was employed to curate a diverse and comprehensive range of resources from reputable journals, books, and industry articles (see Table 2). The goal was to ensure the inclusion of well-established academic perspectives, in-depth analyses, and real-world insights into the multifaceted domain of diversity and inclusion in the workplace.

Table II

Summary of the criteria, methods, and justifications for the selection of resources

Source Type	Inclusion Criteria	Search Methods	Justification
Journals	Peer-reviewed articles from esteemed journals; publication dates 2015 to 2023.	Extensive searches on academic databases (PubMed, PsycINFO, Google Scholar) using key terms ('diversity and inclusion,' 'workplace diversity').	The chosen articles directly align with the manuscript's research focus, covering diverse aspects of diversity management, organizational practices, and the impact of diversity on workplace dynamics.
Books	Scholarly contributions, authority in the field, relevance to research objectives.	Meticulous review of academic publishers, libraries, and book repositories for works addressing theoretical foundations and practical implications of diversity and inclusion.	Selected books provide a deeper theoretical understanding, offering comprehensive insights into the historical context, theoretical frameworks, and strategic considerations in workplace diversity.
Industry Articles	Practical relevance, offering insights into real-world applications of diversity and inclusion strategies.	Consultation of reputable industry sources (Harvard Business Review, Forbes, company reports) to capture contemporary perspectives on diversity and inclusion practices.	Industry articles contribute a pragmatic dimension, incorporating insights from successful diversity initiatives, organizations' challenges, and emerging corporate landscape trends.

This meticulous article selection process aimed to construct a nuanced narrative that draws from various sources, enriching the manuscript with a well-rounded understanding of diversity and inclusion from both academic and practical standpoints. The research focus guided the selection criteria and methods, ensuring that each chosen resource contributes meaningfully to the overarching themes explored in this paper.

Study Context

The context of this study is tied to the contemporary landscape of organizational diversity and inclusion, which is influenced by various factors, including societal norms, legal frameworks, and corporate policies. Understanding the dynamics of workplace diversity requires a nuanced examination within the broader context of organizational, national, and international environments.

Diversity and inclusion are not universal concepts but are shaped by specific regions' cultural, legal, and social landscapes. Policies and regulations governing workplace diversity practices can vary significantly between countries, impacting how organizations address these issues (Jonsen et al., 2021; Corrington et al., 2020; Mapes et al., 2020). Additionally, cultural attitudes toward diversity, equity, and inclusion are crucial in shaping organizational practices (McKinsey & Company, 2022; ILO, 2020).

For instance, the United States has a history of affirmative action policies and anti-discrimination laws, such as the Civil Rights Act of 1964, influencing organizational practices and responses to diversity. In contrast, other countries may have different legal frameworks and historical contexts that shape their approach to diversity and inclusion. Moreover, industry-specific contexts also influence diversity initiatives. The technology sector, for example, has faced scrutiny for gender and racial imbalances, leading to industry-specific efforts and discussions on improving diversity and inclusion (Gonzalez, 2023; World Economic Forum, 2021).

Considering this study's focus on the challenges, effectiveness, and leadership practices in promoting diversity and inclusion, the context extends beyond a generic understanding to a detailed exploration of the specific environments in which organizations operate. This context-driven approach enables a more nuanced and insightful analysis of the issues and solutions proposed in the manuscript, recognizing the diversity of challenges faced by organizations operating in different contexts.

The Complexity of Employment Inequality

Employment Inequality

The problem of employment inequality is complex and multifaceted. It can be manifested in various forms, such as unconscious bias, discrimination, and structural impediments (Baldwin et al., 2019; Pager & Shepherd, 2019). This section will explore these aspects of employment inequality, drawing on various scholarly sources and actual instances to comprehensively understand the challenges (Greenwald & Krieger, 2020; Jones et al., 2023). Analyzing the scope and severity of employment inequality sets the stage for identifying effective tactics to foster inclusivity (see Table 3).

Table III

Research theme, papers, and main focus

Themes	Papers -Authors and dates	Main Focus
Employment Inequality Complexity	Baldwin et al. (2019), Pager & Shepherd (2019)	Describes employment inequality as complex and multifaceted, exploring its manifestations and challenges.
Unconscious Bias	Greenwald & Krieger (2020), Jones et al. (2023)	Defines unconscious bias, its impact on hiring and promotion decisions, and presents research findings on its prevalence - the impact of unconscious bias on workplace dynamics, including feedback, performance evaluations, and assignments.
Cognitive Processes and Societal Conditioning	Smith & Brown (2019)	Discusses how cognitive processes and societal conditioning contribute to forming unconscious biases.
Impact on Hiring, Promotions, and Workplace Dynamics	Bertrand & Mullainathan (2018), Jones et al. (2023)	Examines the influence of unconscious bias on hiring, promotions, and workplace dynamics, citing relevant studies.
Mitigation Strategies	Atewologun et al. (2018), Smith & Johnson (2022)	Advocates for recognizing and addressing unconscious bias through awareness programs, training, and inclusive policies.
Gender Bias	Ariella (2023), Smith & Brown (2019)	Highlights the widespread and ingrained nature of gender bias in unconscious attitudes, emphasizing the need for awareness and inclusive policies.

Unconscious Bias

Unconscious bias refers to the automatic, unconscious judgments and stereotypes individuals hold towards others based on gender, race, age, and other social identities. These biases are formed due to societal conditioning and personal experiences, and they can influence decision-making processes, including those related to hiring, promotion, and other employment decisions.

Unconscious bias has a significant impact on various aspects of the employment process. Hiring can affect the evaluation of resumes and job applications, leading to biased

selection criteria and decisions. For example, individuals may unconsciously favor candidates with similar backgrounds or characteristics, resulting in a need for more diversity in the candidate pool (Greenwald & Krieger, 2020). Unconscious bias can also influence interview processes, with interviewers unintentionally favoring candidates who resemble them or fit into traditional stereotypes of success.

Similarly, unconscious bias plays a role in promotion decisions. Managers and decision-makers may favor similar employees regarding demographic characteristics or shared experiences. This can perpetuate existing inequalities and limit the opportunities for underrepresented groups to advance within organizations (Bertrand & Mullainathan, 2018). Unconscious bias can also impact performance evaluations, resulting in differential treatment and biased assessments of employee capabilities and contributions.

Studies have demonstrated the prevalence and consequences of unconscious bias in employment settings. Greenwald and Krieger (2020) conducted research using the Implicit Association Test and found that many individuals exhibit implicit biases that align with stereotypes related to gender, race, and other social categories. These biases can influence judgments and decisions even among individuals who explicitly endorse equality and fairness.

Furthermore, research by Bertrand and Mullainathan (2018) focused on the impact of unconscious bias in hiring decisions. They conducted a field experiment where fictitious resumes were sent in response to job postings, varying only in terms of the names that indicated different racial backgrounds. The study revealed that resumes with "White-sounding" names received a significantly higher callback rate than those with "Black-sounding" names, highlighting the impact of unconscious bias on hiring practices.

These examples and studies underscore the prevalence and consequences of unconscious bias in employment settings. Organizations must recognize and address unconscious bias through awareness programs, training, and inclusive policies and practices. By actively mitigating unconscious bias, organizations can foster fairer, more inclusive workplaces that provide equal opportunities for all individuals.

Unconscious Bias and its impact

Unconscious bias is influenced by cognitive processes and societal conditioning, which contribute to the formation of automatic, implicit judgments and stereotypes. These biases shape how individuals perceive and evaluate others, influencing their decisions and behaviors in various contexts, including hiring, promotions, and workplace dynamics.

Cognitive processes play a significant role in the formation of unconscious biases. Our brains naturally seek to simplify and categorize information to process it more efficiently. As a result, individuals tend to rely on mental shortcuts, known as heuristics, to make quick judgments and decisions. These heuristics can lead to biases when applied to social categories and attributes.

Societal conditioning also plays a crucial role in the development of unconscious bias. Individuals are exposed to cultural messages, media portrayals, and social norms that reinforce stereotypes and create expectations about certain groups from an early age. These societal influences shape individuals' perceptions, attitudes, and beliefs, leading to internalizing biases without conscious awareness.

The impact of unconscious bias on hiring, promotions, and workplace dynamics is well-documented. During hiring, unconscious bias can lead to biased evaluations of candidates' qualifications and abilities. For example, research by Smith and Brown (2019) found that unconscious bias can result in preferential treatment toward candidates who align with

traditional gender roles or possess characteristics associated with certain racial or ethnic groups. This bias can lead to underrepresenting marginalized groups and perpetuating existing inequalities in the workforce.

Unconscious bias also affects promotion decisions, as individuals tend to favor employees similar to themselves regarding background, experiences, or social identities. This similarity bias can result in excluding individuals from underrepresented groups from career advancement opportunities (Jones et al., 2023). Furthermore, unconscious bias can influence workplace dynamics, affecting team interactions, collaboration, and decision-making processes. Stereotypes and biases can shape perceptions of competence, credibility, and authority, leading to unequal treatment and limited opportunities for certain individuals or groups (Smith & Brown, 2019).

Research by Jones et al. (2023) highlights the impact of unconscious bias on workplace dynamics. Their study found that implicit biases, including feedback, performance evaluations, and assignments, can influence managerial decisions. Biases can lead to differential treatment, affecting employee morale, job satisfaction, and career progression. These examples and studies demonstrate how unconscious bias affects hiring, promotions, and workplace dynamics, perpetuating inequalities and hindering diversity and inclusion efforts. Gender bias is widespread and ingrained in unconscious attitudes. Given the constant reinforcement of cultural messages perpetuating stereotypes about men and women, it appears challenging to eliminate such biases. Even individuals who perceive themselves as fair and equitable may easily activate and act upon unconscious gender bias. Addressing unconscious bias requires raising awareness, providing training programs, and implementing inclusive policies and practices that mitigate bias and promote fair treatment and equal opportunities for all individuals.

Exploring the Multifaceted Challenge

To comprehensively understand the challenges of employment inequality, it is essential to examine its different facets. Unconscious bias, rooted in our cognitive processes and societal conditioning, can lead to discriminatory practices in hiring, promotion, and other employment decisions (Bertrand & Mullainathan, 2018; Smith & Brown, 2019). Discrimination based on gender, race, age, ability, and socioeconomic status can result in unequal treatment and limited opportunities for certain individuals or groups (Williams et al., 2021; Johnson & Lee, 2020). Structural impediments, such as systemic biases within organizations and societal structures, can also perpetuate employment inequality (Dobbin & Kalev, 2019; Pager et al., 2020).

Drawing on scholarly sources and real-world examples, this section will delve into these aspects, examining the scope and severity of employment inequality (Smith & Johnson, 2022; Jones et al., 2023). By understanding the challenges holistically, we can identify effective tactics to foster inclusivity in the workplace.

Discrimination

Discrimination in the workplace manifests in various forms, including gender, race, age, ability, and socioeconomic status. These forms of discrimination result in unequal treatment, limited opportunities, and barriers to advancement for certain individuals or groups. Gender discrimination remains a prevalent issue in many workplaces, with women facing challenges such as the gender pay gap, lack of representation in leadership positions, and biases in hiring and promotion decisions (Williams et al., 2021; Pager & Shepherd, 2019).

Racial Discrimination

Racial discrimination is another significant aspect of employment inequality. Individuals from racial and ethnic minority groups face biases and stereotypes that affect their career advancement opportunities and equal workplace treatment (Pager & Shepherd, 2019; Williams et al., 2021). Studies have revealed disparities in hiring, promotion, and access to leadership positions for racial and ethnic minorities (Pager & Shepherd, 2019). For instance, research conducted by Williams et al. (2021) found that individuals from Black and Hispanic backgrounds face discrimination and are underrepresented in top management positions.

Age Discrimination

Age discrimination, often referred to as ageism, is a form of discrimination based on an individual's age. Older workers frequently encounter biases that limit their job prospects and opportunities for career development, with research highlighting the impact on hiring decisions, training opportunities, and promotions (Pager & Shepherd, 2019; Williams et al., 2021).

A study by Williams et al (2021) highlighted that older employees face challenges accessing employment due to a perceived lack of technological skills or concerns about retirement.

Discrimination Based on Ability

Discrimination based on ability, commonly known as ableism, involves unfair treatment and exclusion of individuals with disabilities. Ableism can manifest through inaccessible workplaces, discriminatory hiring practices, and limited accommodations. Studies have documented disparities in employment rates, wages, and career progression for individuals with disabilities (Williams et al., 2021; Pager & Shepherd, 2019). For example, Pager and Shepherd found that individuals with disabilities face barriers to employment due to stigma and stereotypes.

Socioeconomic Status Discrimination

Socioeconomic status discrimination is rooted in disparities related to an individual's socioeconomic background. Individuals from lower socioeconomic backgrounds often need help accessing quality education, job opportunities, and advancement. Studies have demonstrated that socioeconomic status influences hiring decisions, career progression, and access to resources and networks (Williams et al., 2021). For instance, Pager and Shepherd (2019) showed that individuals from disadvantaged socioeconomic backgrounds face difficulties securing employment due to limited social and professional networks.

These examples and references highlight the unequal treatment and limited opportunities faced by individuals or groups due to various forms of discrimination in the workplace. Addressing these discriminatory practices is crucial for fostering inclusivity and creating equitable environments where all individuals have equal career development and success opportunities.

Structural Impediments

Structural impediments refer to systemic biases within organizations and societal structures that perpetuate employment inequality (Pager & Shepherd, 2019). These biases are deeply embedded in policies, practices, and norms, leading to barriers for marginalized individuals. Within organizations, structural impediments can manifest through biased recruitment and selection processes, a lack of diversity in leadership positions, and limited

opportunities for career advancement. Research has shown that biases in hiring decisions, such as favoring individuals from privileged backgrounds or relying on informal networks, perpetuate inequality (Pager and Shepherd, 2019). Moreover, the lack of diversity in leadership positions can perpetuate discriminatory practices and limit the representation of marginalized groups (Pager and Shepherd, 2019).

At a societal level, structural impediments can be observed through broader systems of oppression, unequal access to education and resources, and cultural biases (Pager and Shepherd, 2019). These factors create barriers that disproportionately affect individuals from marginalized groups in their pursuit of equal employment opportunities. Addressing structural impediments requires a comprehensive approach that challenges systemic biases, implements equitable policies and practices, and promotes diversity and inclusion at all levels of organizations and society. Recognizing and actively working to dismantle these structural barriers is essential for creating truly inclusive workplaces that provide equal opportunities for all individuals, regardless of their background or identity.

Strategies for Fostering Inclusivity

Fostering inclusivity at work requires a multifaceted approach that involves various strategies and initiatives (Lee et al., 2022; Brown et al., 2020). These tactics include diversity training, mentorship, sponsorship programs, adaptable working conditions, and data and metrics to monitor development and pinpoint problem areas (Johnson et al., 2022; Davis & Anderson, 2023). Strong leaders are crucial in promoting an inclusive workplace, requiring cultural competence and empathy (Smith & Davis, 2022; Wilson, 2022).

Organizations can raise awareness and educate employees about unconscious biases by implementing diversity training programs and fostering a more inclusive and equitable workplace (Anderson et al., 2023; Brown, 2021). Mentorship and sponsorship programs support and guide underrepresented individuals, offering opportunities for skill development and advancement (Lee et al., 2022; Smith et al., 2023). Adaptable working conditions, such as flexible schedules and remote work options, can accommodate diverse needs and promote work-life balance (Davis, 2022). Moreover, organizations must establish data-driven metrics to monitor progress and identify areas for improvement (Smith et al., 2023).

Recognizing the crucial role of management and leadership, this section will emphasize the importance of leaders in promoting inclusivity at work. Managers should possess essential competencies such as cultural competence, empathy, and the ability to create a psychologically safe environment where employees feel valued and respected (Brown, 2022; Johnson, 2021).

Diversity Training. One effective strategy for fostering inclusivity is the implementation of diversity training programs (Anderson et al., 2023; Smith & Brown, 2019). These programs raise awareness among employees about unconscious biases, stereotypes, and prejudices that can hinder inclusivity. Diversity training helps create a more inclusive and equitable work environment where individuals from all backgrounds feel valued and respected by providing education and promoting understanding.

Mentorship and sponsorship programs foster inclusivity and address disparities for underrepresented individuals (Lee et al., 2022). In the context of these initiatives, mentorship involves a seasoned professional (mentor) imparting guidance, advice, and knowledge to a less experienced counterpart (mentee). These dynamics fosters career development, skill enhancement, and personal growth through a nurturing and educational relationship (Omadeke, 2021).

On the other hand, a sponsorship program takes a more proactive stance, with a senior professional (sponsor) actively championing and supporting the career progression of a junior individual (protégé). Sponsors leverage their influence to create opportunities, enhance visibility, and bolster the protégé's advancement within the organizational hierarchy (Omadeke, 2012). In essence, mentorship underscores the importance of guidance and knowledge transfer, focusing on the educational aspects of professional development. In contrast, sponsorship is characterized by active advocacy, emphasizing the creation of opportunities for career advancement.

Mentorship and sponsorship programs collectively offer indispensable guidance, support, and avenues for career development, particularly beneficial for individuals facing barriers to advancement. Acting as advocates, mentors, and sponsors assists individuals in navigating organizational challenges and facilitates valuable networking opportunities (Lee & Brown, 2022). By fostering strong relationships between employees from different backgrounds, these programs enhance diversity and promote equal opportunities for career growth.

Adaptable Working Conditions. Recognizing that employees have diverse responsibilities and obligations outside of work, organizations that provide flexibility and work-life balance options can attract and retain a more diverse workforce. Adaptable working conditions, such as flexible schedules and remote work options, play a pivotal role in fostering inclusivity within the workplace by accommodating individual needs (Davis, 2022). For instance, when organizations offer employees the flexibility to set their schedules or work remotely, it acknowledges individuals' varied responsibilities, such as medical appointments or unique family circumstances. This approach not only enhances the overall inclusivity of the work environment but also signifies a commitment to recognizing and valuing the individual needs of each employee. Moreover, adaptable working conditions support employees' well-being and contribute to productivity and engagement, aligning with the broader goal of creating a workplace that respects and meets the diverse needs of its workforce.

Data-Driven Metrics. Organizations committed to fostering inclusivity use data-driven metrics to monitor their progress and identify areas for improvement (Davis & Anderson, 2023). By collecting and analyzing relevant data, such as diversity representation at different levels, pay equity, and employee satisfaction surveys, organizations can identify disparities and take targeted actions to address them. Regular measurement and evaluation help organizations track their diversity and inclusion initiatives, hold themselves accountable, and ensure continuous progress.

Role of Management and Leadership. Management and leadership roles must be balanced in promoting equality and inclusivity. Strong leaders actively champion diversity and inclusion, lead by example, and create an environment where all voices are heard and valued (Smith & Davis, 2022). Effective leaders possess essential competencies such as cultural competence, empathy, and the ability to navigate complex organizational dynamics (Lee & Brown, 2022). Leaders can foster a climate of equality and inclusivity throughout the organization by setting the tone from the top and integrating inclusive practices into everyday operations.

By implementing these strategies, organizations can unleash the power of diversity and create inclusive workplaces that harness the full potential of their employees. Embracing diversity goes beyond merely checking boxes—it involves cultivating an environment where individuals from all backgrounds feel included, respected, and empowered to contribute their unique perspectives and talents. Through diversity training, mentorship and sponsorship

programs, adaptable working conditions, data-driven metrics, and strong leadership, organizations can build inclusive cultures that drive innovation, enhance employee engagement, and achieve sustainable success.

Overcoming Obstacles to Inclusivity

Resistant to Change. When exploring common barriers and resistance to diversity and inclusion initiatives, it is crucial to devise strategies for overcoming them (Dobbin & Kalev, 2019). A prevalent obstacle is resistance to change, with some individuals hesitating to embrace initiatives due to fears of disrupting established norms or perceived threats to their positions. To address this resistance, fostering open dialogue and communication becomes essential. Providing opportunities for employees to voice concerns and engage in discussions aids in creating a better understanding and reducing resistance. Emphasizing the business case for inclusivity is also crucial, highlighting tangible benefits such as improved innovation, productivity, and competitiveness (Dobbin & Kalev, 2019).

Additionally, involving key stakeholders, including senior leaders and managers, in designing and implementing initiatives and adopting a comprehensive change management approach with clear goals and ongoing support proves effective in overcoming resistance (Dobbin & Kalev, 2019). Understanding and addressing barriers and resistance are vital for creating inclusive and diverse workplaces. Strategies such as fostering open dialogue, emphasizing the business strategy, involving key stakeholders, and implementing a comprehensive change management approach help overcome resistance and promote a culture of inclusivity (Dobbin & Kalev, 2019).

Unintentional Prejudice. Even with good intentions, unintentional prejudice can persist (Atewologun et al., 2018). Addressing these biases is crucial for fostering a truly inclusive environment. Strategies involve increasing self-awareness and mitigating biases through education and training (Atewologun et al., 2018). Education plays a key role in raising self-awareness about unconscious biases. Training programs that highlight the existence and impact of these biases help individuals recognize and acknowledge their biases. Providing tools and techniques within training programs, such as perspective-taking, empathy-building, and challenging stereotypes, empowers individuals to actively work towards mitigating the impact of unconscious biases in daily interactions and decision-making. Creating a culture of inclusivity, where individuals feel comfortable discussing biases and seeking feedback, is also crucial. Encouraging open dialogue and opportunities for ongoing discussions and reflection contributes to a supportive environment, empowering individuals to address their biases. Therefore, addressing unintentional biases requires strategies to increase self-awareness and mitigate biases through education and training. By promoting self-reflection, challenging assumptions, and fostering open dialogue, organizations can minimize the impact of unintentional biases and create a more inclusive environment (Atewologun et al., 2018).

Importance of Promoting Inclusivity. Promoting inclusivity in the workplace is paramount for employee well-being and organizational success (Kalev, Dobbin, & Kelly, 2006). From a moral standpoint, it is essential to recognize every individual's inherent value and dignity, regardless of their background or characteristics (Strayhorn, 2019). Organizations commit to fairness, equality, and employee respect by fostering inclusivity. However, the imperative to address employment inequality goes beyond moral considerations. There is a strong strategic rationale for promoting inclusivity in the workplace. Research consistently shows that diverse and inclusive organizations outperform their counterparts in various aspects, including innovation, decision-making, and financial performance (Levine, 2020; Kalev et al., 2006).

Diverse teams bring broader perspectives, experiences, and ideas, enhancing problem-solving and creativity (Rock & Grant, 2016). Inclusive environments also foster a sense of belonging and psychological safety, boosting employee engagement, productivity, and overall well-being (Arsel et al., 2022; Chamorro-Premuzie & Berg, 2021; Fath et al., 2021). Therefore, addressing employment inequality aligns with moral principles and strategic objectives. Organizations prioritizing inclusivity uphold fundamental values and gain a competitive edge in today's diverse and globalized business landscape.

Ignorance about Diversity and Inclusion. Educating employees and leaders about diversity and inclusion concepts and practices is crucial for combating ignorance and promoting inclusivity (Chang et al., 2019). Organizations can create a more inclusive and equitable work environment by increasing awareness and understanding. One effective strategy for addressing ignorance about diversity and inclusion is the implementation of comprehensive training programs. These programs should cover key concepts such as unconscious bias, privilege, cultural competency, and allyship (Tynes, 2022). Training sessions can incorporate interactive activities, case studies, and real-world examples to enhance learning and encourage reflection. In addition to training, organizations can promote diversity and inclusion through regular communication and awareness campaigns. Sharing success stories, highlighting diverse role models, and showcasing the positive impact of inclusivity can help dispel misconceptions and foster a culture of acceptance and understanding. Leaders play a critical role in driving education and awareness. Leaders must lead by example and promote diversity and inclusion through their behaviors and decision-making. Organizations can provide leadership development programs addressing diversity and inclusion, equipping leaders with the knowledge and skills necessary to create inclusive teams and foster a supportive work environment (Tynes, 2022). Engaging employees through employee resource groups, diversity committees, or diversity and inclusion task forces can also effectively promote education and awareness. These platforms allow employees to contribute to diversity initiatives, share their perspectives, and collaborate on creating a more inclusive workplace. Regular evaluation and assessment of diversity and inclusion initiatives are important for identifying areas for improvement and measuring progress. Organizations can collect employee feedback, conduct surveys, and track key diversity and inclusion metrics to gauge the effectiveness of their education and awareness efforts.

Structural Barriers

Structural barriers within organizations and society can present significant challenges to inclusivity (Grindstaff, 2022; Pager & Shepherd, 2008). These barriers can take various forms, including biased policies, discriminatory practices, and unequal access to resources and opportunities. Addressing structural barriers requires a comprehensive and systemic approach (Livingston, 2020; Pager & Shepherd, 2008). Organizations must critically evaluate their policies and practices to identify and eliminate any that perpetuate inequality. This includes revisiting hiring and promotion procedures, evaluating compensation structures, and ensuring policies are designed to promote equity and inclusivity.

Leadership plays a crucial role in dismantling structural barriers. Leaders can influence organizational culture and practices by actively advocating for changes to policies and procedures. Implementing transparent and equitable recruitment, promotion, and performance evaluation processes is essential in minimizing structural barriers (Bourke & Titus, 2020). Organizations can also collaborate with external partners, such as industry associations, community organizations, and policymakers, to address systemic issues.

Engaging in advocacy efforts and supporting initiatives that promote diversity and inclusion at a broader societal level can contribute to dismantling structural barriers (Bourke & Titus, 2020; Hendy, 2022).

While the strategies outlined above are crucial for addressing obstacles to inclusivity, we must ground our understanding in concrete data. Statistical and empirical evidence can shed light on the prevalence and severity of these challenges, providing a quantitative perspective to complement the qualitative insights discussed. Key statistical indicators such as the gender pay gap (see Table 4) and representation in leadership roles (see Table 5) underscore the importance of addressing employment inequality and the effectiveness of inclusivity initiatives.

Table IV

Gender Pay Gap in USA and Canada 2018-2022

Year	Average Gender Pay Gap (%)USA	Average Gender Pay Gap (%)Canada
2018	18.9	18.44
2019	18.47	18.65
2020	17.65	17.57
2021	16.86	16.67
2022	16.98	17.14

Note: Adapted from OECD (2023), Gender wage gap (indicator). doi: 10.1787/7cee77aa-en

Table V

Female vs Male Representation in Leadership Roles within Fortune 500 Companies

Year	% of Women – Fortune 500 CEO	% of Men – Fortune 500 CEO
2018	4.8 (24)	95.2 (476)
2019	6.6 (33)	93.4 (467)
2020	7.4 (37)	92.6 (463)
2021	8.2 (41)	91.8 (459)
2022	8.8 (74)	91.2 (766)
2023	10.6 (53)	89.6 (454)

Note: Table compiled from information derived from

<https://fortune.com/2023/10/05/most-powerful-women-list-2023-female-executives-rising-to-the-top/> and <https://www.shrm.org/executive/resources/articles/pages/women-run-ten-percent-fortune-500.aspx>

Case Study 1: Marriott International

Marriott International is known for its commitment to promoting inclusivity and diversity. They have implemented various initiatives aimed at creating an inclusive workplace environment. One of Marriott's notable programs is the "Voyage Global Leadership Development Program." This program provides opportunities for individuals from underrepresented communities to develop leadership skills and advance in their careers. Through mentorship, training, and networking opportunities, the program supports the organization's growth and advancement of diverse talent.

Marriott also emphasizes the importance of diversity and inclusion in its supplier diversity program. They seek to work with diverse suppliers, including minority-owned, women-owned, and LGBTQ+-owned businesses, to foster economic inclusion and support diverse communities. Regarding employee resource groups (ERGs), Marriott has several ERGs that support, network, and advocate for employees from various backgrounds. These ERGs include groups focused on women, people of color, LGBTQ+ individuals, veterans, and individuals with disabilities. These groups play a crucial role in fostering a sense of belonging and promoting inclusivity within the organization.

Additionally, Marriott actively participates in external diversity and inclusion initiatives. They collaborate with industry organizations and engage in partnerships that promote diversity and inclusivity in the broader community. While specific outcomes and lessons learned from Marriott's inclusivity initiatives may vary, their commitment to creating an inclusive workplace is a valuable example for other hospitality organizations and beyond organizations (Marriott, n.d.).

Case Study 2: Salesforce

Salesforce is another organization known for its commitment to inclusivity and diversity. They have implemented various initiatives to create an inclusive workplace, including forming employee resource groups (ERGs) focused on various underrepresented communities. These ERGs provide support, networking opportunities, and a platform for employees to raise awareness of diversity-related issues. One notable initiative by Salesforce is their "Women's Surge" program, the 2013 brainchild of Marc Benioff, the co-founder and chief executive of Salesforce, which aims to increase the representation of women in leadership roles. The program focuses on mentorship, leadership development, and creating an inclusive environment for women to thrive (Gelles, 2015).

The outcomes of Salesforce's efforts have been promising. They have achieved gender pay parity and significantly progressed in diversifying their leadership positions. Salesforce has also been recognized as one of the best workplaces for diversity and inclusivity.

Key lessons from Salesforce's approach include

- empowering employee resource groups,
- investing in leadership development programs for underrepresented groups, and
- regularly monitoring and addressing diversity metrics.

Case Study 3: Sodexo

Sodexo is recognized for promoting inclusivity and diversity within its organization. They have implemented various initiatives to create an inclusive workplace environment.

One notable initiative by Sodexo is its commitment to gender equality. They have set specific targets to increase the representation of women in leadership positions. Through programs such as the Sodexo Women's International Forum for Talent (SWIFT) and the SoTogether Network, Sodexo provides opportunities for women to develop their skills, build networks, and advance in their careers.

Sodexo also strongly emphasizes fostering diversity and inclusion through its employee resource groups (ERGs). These groups, such as the African Heritage Network and the Pride Employee Network, provide support, networking opportunities, and advocacy for employees from various backgrounds.

Regarding supplier diversity, Sodexo actively seeks to work with diverse suppliers, including minority-owned and women-owned businesses. They have established supplier diversity programs to increase the representation of these suppliers within their supply chain.

Sodexo's efforts in promoting inclusivity have been recognized externally. They have received accolades for their diversity and inclusion practices, such as being included in the Bloomberg Gender-Equality Index and receiving awards for their commitment to LGBT+ equality.

By implementing these initiatives and fostering an inclusive culture, Sodexo aims to create a work environment where employees feel valued, respected, and empowered to contribute their unique perspectives and talents (Sodexo, n.d.).

These case studies demonstrate how organizations like Marriott International, Sodexo, and Salesforce successfully implement inclusive practices and policies. Their initiatives, outcomes, and lessons learned are valuable examples of other organizations striving to promote inclusivity in their workplaces.

Findings and Conclusion

The research delved into the complexities of diversity and inclusion, gender disparities, unconscious biases, and systemic obstacles. This study casts a critical eye on the hiring and promotion processes. An extensive literature review revealed pervasive biases tied to race, gender, and unconscious preferences, like the similar-to-me bias within hiring. Minority groups consistently face undervaluation, with women encountering biases from hiring algorithms and potential discrimination linked to motherhood. A comprehensive examination of predictive technologies across all stages of the hiring process is essential for understanding and mitigating bias in hiring algorithms. Despite sharing a common foundation in machine learning, tools employed in the early stages may differ significantly from those used later. Even seemingly similar technologies may rely on distinct data types or present predictions in varying ways. Analyzing predictive tools throughout the recruitment process elucidates "hiring algorithms" functionality and identifies potential points for bias introduction. Unfortunately, most employment algorithms default to producing biased outcomes. While predictive technologies hold the potential to mitigate interpersonal bias, only those actively addressing underlying disparities offer hope for advancing equity rather than hindering it (Kelly-Lyth, 2021; Bogen, 2019). The detrimental impact of the similar-to-me bias becomes evident as employers favor those resembling their characteristics. This trend perpetuates further biases, especially if interviewers share similar backgrounds.

As the findings reveal these systemic issues, it becomes evident that concerted efforts are necessary to combat these biases. Strategies, ranging from diversity training and mentorship to adaptable working conditions, emerge as instrumental in dismantling entrenched prejudices. Beyond the moral imperative, the study positions inclusivity as a driver of innovation, productivity, and organizational resilience. The conclusion resonates with an ongoing commitment, emphasizing the necessity for continuous assessment and adaptation of strategies. Organizations can embrace enduring success amid today's dynamic business landscape complexities by fostering a workplace culture where every individual feels valued and empowered.

Best Practices and Successful Examples

Case Studies of Organizations Promoting Inclusivity

Importance of Promoting Inclusivity. Fostering workplace inclusivity is significant for employee well-being and organizational success (Downey et al., 2015; Eisenbeiss et al., 2008; Kalev et al., 2006). From a moral standpoint, it is essential to recognize every individual's inherent value and dignity, irrespective of their background or characteristics (Eisenbeiss et al., 2008). Addressing employment inequality is not just a moral imperative but also a strategic one.

Recommendations for Managers. Based on the strategies discussed, managers can take specific actions to foster inclusivity in their organizations. First, they can commit to diversity and inclusion by promoting inclusive behaviors and creating an inclusive organizational culture (Ely & Thomas, 2019). This includes addressing bias, fostering psychological safety, and encouraging diverse perspectives.

Second, managers should prioritize diversity and inclusion in talent acquisition and development processes. This involves implementing fair and inclusive hiring practices, providing equal opportunities for growth and development, and ensuring diverse representation at all levels of the organization (Ely & Thomas, 2019).

Third, managers can promote inclusivity by facilitating open communication and collaboration among employees from diverse backgrounds. Encouraging dialogue, creating employee resource groups, and providing diversity and inclusion training can all foster inclusivity in the workplace (Ely & Thomas, 2019).

Implications for Employee Well-being and Organizational Success. Employee well-being, engagement, and productivity are positively impacted by Promoting inclusivity. When employees feel valued, respected, and included, they experience higher job satisfaction, engagement, and overall well-being (Eisenbeiss & Knippenberg, 2008). Inclusive workplaces foster a sense of belonging, psychological safety, and trust, which enhance employee morale and motivation. Moreover, an inclusive work environment can lead to sustainable organizational success and competitive advantage. By leveraging diverse perspectives and talents, organizations can drive innovation, adapt to a diverse customer base, and make better decisions (Eisenbeiss & Knippenberg, 2008; Kalev et al., 2006). Inclusive organizations attract and retain top talent, strengthening their ability to outperform competitors and achieve long-term success.

Future Research

Given the dynamic nature of workplace dynamics and diversity and inclusion initiatives, numerous avenues for future research present valuable opportunities. Researchers may explore the long-term impact of specific diversity training programs on organizational culture and employee behavior. Simultaneously, exploring the intersectionality of various diversity aspects, such as race, gender, and sexual orientation, could yield deeper insights into the unique challenges faced in the workplace.

In addition, evaluating interventions designed to reduce implicit bias in the workplace becomes crucial for fostering a more equitable and inclusive organizational culture. Assessing the impact of mentorship and sponsorship programs on the career advancement of underrepresented groups offers another promising avenue, shedding light on their contribution to achieving organizational diversity goals.

Moreover, a comprehensive examination of the influence of organizational policies on promoting inclusivity is essential. This examination involves identifying and mitigating potential biases within these policies, ensuring they align with the overarching goal of creating a diverse and equitable workplace. This multifaceted approach to future research addresses various dimensions of workplace diversity and inclusion, contributing to a more nuanced and actionable understanding of these complex dynamics.

Research Limitations

While this paper strives to offer a comprehensive guide to fostering inclusivity, it is essential to acknowledge its limitations. The recommendations provided are based on existing literature and general observations, and their applicability may vary across different organizational contexts. The effectiveness of certain strategies may depend on the industry, organizational size, and geographical location. Moreover, the rapidly evolving nature of workplace dynamics necessitates continuous adaptation and refinement of diversity and inclusion strategies. Future research could delve into these contextual nuances to provide more tailored guidance for organizations.

References

- Ariella, S. (2023). *25 women in leadership statistics [2023]: Facts on the gender gap in corporate and political leadership*. Zippia. Retrieved November 26, 2023, from <https://www.zippia.com/advice/women-in-leadership-statistics/#:~:text=What%20percent>
- Atewologun, D., Cornish, T., & Tresh, F. (2018). *Unconscious Bias Training: An Evidence-Based Review*. Equality and Human Rights Commission. Retrieved from <https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/sites/default/files/research-report-113-unconscious-bias-training-an-assessment-of-the-evidence-for-effectiveness-pdf.pdf>
- Baldwin, M. W., Brown, L. J., & Rothman, A. J. (2019). The social psychology of inclusivity and exclusion. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, *13*(2), e12419.
- Bertrand, M., & Mullainathan, S. (2018). Are Emily and Greg more employable than Lakisha and Jamal? A field experiment on labor market discrimination. *American Economic Review*, *94*(4), 991-1013.
- Bertrand, M., & Mullainathan, S. (2018). Discrimination in the sharing economy: Evidence from a field experiment. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, *9*(2), 1-22.
- Bogen, M. (2019). *All the ways hiring algorithms can introduce bias*. Harvard Business Review. <https://hbr.org/2019/05/all-the-ways-hiring-algorithms-can-introduce-bias>
- Bonaccio, S., Connelly, C. E., Gellatly, I. R., Jetha, A., & Martin Ginis, K. A. (2020). The participation of people with disabilities in the workplace across the employment cycle: Employer concerns and research evidence. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, *35*, 135-158.
- Bourke, J., & Titus, A. (2020). *The key to inclusive leadership*. Harvard Business Review. <https://hbr.org/2020/03/the-key-to-inclusive-leadership>
- Chamorro-Premuzie, T., & Berg, K., (2021). *Fostering a Culture of Belonging in the Hybrid Workplace*. <https://hbr.org/2021/08/fostering-a-culture-of-belonging-in-the-hybrid-workplace>
- Chang, E., Milkman, K., Zarrow, L., Brabaw, K., Gromet, D., Rebele, R., Massey, C., Duckworth, A., & Grant, A. (2019, July 9). *Does diversity training work the way it's*

- supposed to?* Harvard Business Review. <https://hbr.org/2019/07/does-diversity-training-work-the-way-its-supposed-to>
- Corrington, A., Hebl, M., Stewart, D., Madera, J., Ng, L., & Williams, J. (2020). Diversity and inclusion of understudied populations: A call to practitioners and researchers. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 72(4), 303–323. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000188>
- Cox, T. (1994). *Cultural diversity in organizations: Theory, research and practice*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Dasher, K. (2020). Mentoring for gender equality: Supporting female leaders in the hospitality industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 88, 102397.
- Diller, J. V. (2018). *Cultural diversity: A primer for the human services*. Cengage Learning.
- Dobbin, F., & Kalev, A. (2019). Why diversity programs fail. *Harvard Business Review*, 97(7), 14-17.
- Downey, S. N., Van der Werff, L., Thomas, K. M., & Plaut, V. C. (2015). The role of diversity practices and inclusion in promoting trust and employee engagement. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 45(1), 35-44.
- Eisenbeiss, S. A., van Knippenberg, D., & Boerner, S. (2008). Transformational leadership and team innovation: Integrating team climate principles. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(6), 1438–1446. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012716>
- Ely, R. J., & Thomas, D. A. (2019). *Making Differences Matter: A New Paradigm for Managing Diversity*. Harvard Business Review. <https://hbr.org/2020/07/making-differences-matter-a-new-paradigm-for-managing-diversity>
- Ely, R. J., & Thomas, D. A. (2020). *Getting Serious About Diversity: Enough Already with the Business Case*. Harvard Business Review. <https://hbr.org/2020/11/getting-serious-about-diversity-enough-already-with-the-business-case>
- Field, E., Krivkovich, A., Kügele, S., Robinson, N and Y. L., (2023). *Women in the Workplace 2023*. McKinsey and Company. <https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/diversity-and-inclusion/women-in-the-workplace>
- Fiske, S. T. (2010). *Social beings: Core motives in social psychology*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Gelles, D. (2015). *Salesforce makes strides towards gender equality in Silicon Valley*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2015/07/26/business/salesforce-makes-strides-toward-gender-equality-in-silicon-valley.html>
- Gonzales, M. (2023). *Racial, Gender Discrimination in Tech Industry Worsening*. Strategic Human Resource Management. <https://www.shrm.org/resourcesandtools/hr-topics/behavioral-competencies/global-and-cultural-effectiveness/pages/racial-gender-discrimination-in-tech-industry-worsening.aspx>
- Greenwald, A. G., & Krieger, L. H. (2020). *Implicit bias: Scientific foundations*. In E. Borgida & S. T. Fiske (Eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Prejudice, Stereotyping, and Discrimination* (2nd ed., pp. 299-320). Sage Publications.
- Greenwald, A. G., & Krieger, L. H. (2020). *Implicit bias: Scientific foundations*. In J. F. Dovidio, M. Hewstone, P. Glick, & V. M. Esses (Eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Prejudice, Stereotyping, and Discrimination* (2nd ed., pp. 17-42). Sage Publications.
- Griffin, T., & Glover, T. D. (2023). The development of social and cultural capitals for immigrant hosts of VFR travelers. *Leisure Sciences*, 45(3), 262-280.

- Grindstaff, L. (2022). Barriers to inclusion: Social roots and current concerns. *Uprooting Bias in the Academy: Lessons from the Field*, 19-44.
- Hendy, N. T. (2022). The Role of Intellectual Humility in Leadership and Promoting Workplace Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belongingness: Leadership Intellectual Humility. In *Implementing Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging Management in Organizational Change Initiatives* (pp. 81-98). IGI Global.
- Hinchliffe, E. (2023). *Women run more than 10% of fortune 500 companies for the first time*. Strategic Human Resource Management. <https://www.shrm.org/executive/resources/articles/pages/women-run-ten-percent-fortune-500.aspx>
- Hinchliffe, E., & Abrams, J. (2023). *Fortune's 2023 most powerful women list shows how female execs are rising to the top in a turbulent business environment*. Fortune. <https://fortune.com/2023/10/05/most-powerful-women-list-2023-female-executives-rising-to-the-top/>
- Hunt, V., Prince, S., Dixon-Fyle, S., & Yee, L. (2018). *Delivering through diversity*. McKinsey & Company, 231, 1-39. <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/people-and-organizational-performance/our-insights/delivering-through-diversity>.
- Jones, K. P., Peddie, C. I., Gilrane, V. L., King, E. B., & Gray, A. L. (2023). Not so subtle: Uncovering the pervasive and persistent nature of subtle prejudice. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 108(1), 33-53.
- Jonsen, K., Point, S., Kelan, E. K., & Griebel, A. (2021). Diversity and inclusion branding: a five-country comparison of corporate websites. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 32(3), 616-649. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2018.1496125>
- Kalev, A., Dobbin, F., & Kelly, E. (2006). Best practices or best guesses? Assessing the efficacy of corporate affirmative action and diversity policies. *American Sociological Review*, 71(4), 589-617.
- Kang, H. J., Gatling, A., & Kim, J. (2015). The impact of supervisory support on organizational commitment, career satisfaction, and turnover intention for hospitality frontline employees. *Journal of Human Resources in Hospitality & Tourism*, 14(1), 68-89.
- Kelly-Lyth, A. (2023). Challenging Biased Hiring Algorithms, *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 41, (4), 899-928, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqab006>
- Kim, S. S., Im, J., & Hwang, J. (2015). The effects of mentoring on role stress, job attitude, and turnover intention in the hotel industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 48, 68-82.
- Kirton, G., & Greene, A. M. (2015). *The dynamics of managing diversity: A critical approach*. Routledge.
- Levine, S. (2020), Diversity Confirmed To Boost Innovation And Financial Results. Forbes. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbesinsights/2020/01/15/diversity-confirmed-to-boost-innovation-and-financial-results/?sh=43f56714c4a6>
- Livingston, R. (2020). How to promote racial equity in the workplace. *Harvard Business Review*, 98(5), 64-72.
- Manoharan, A., & Singal, M. (2017). A systematic literature review of research on diversity and diversity management in the hospitality literature. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 66, 77-91.

- Marriott. (n.d.-a). Diversity and inclusion. <https://www.marriott.com/diversity/diversity-and-inclusion.mi>
- Marriott. (n.d.) Global diversity equity & inclusion https://www.marriott.com/content/dam/marriott-digital/digitallegal-micorp-cec/en_us/micorp_diversity-and-inclusion/limited/document/assets-usage-rights/pdt-DEI-Key-Milestones-930242770908627.pdf
- Mazur, B. (2010). Cultural diversity in organizational theory and practice. *Journal of intercultural management*, 2(2), 5-15.
- McKinsey and Company. (2020). Diversity wins. How inclusion matters. <https://www.mckinsey.com/~media/mckinsey/featured%20insights/diversity%20and%20inclusion/diversity%20wins%20how%20inclusion%20matters/diversity-wins-how-inclusion-matters-vf.pdf>.
- OECD. (2021). *The Role of Firms in Wage Inequality: Policy Lessons from a Large Scale Cross-Country Study*. OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/7d9b2208-en>.
- OECD. (2023). *Gender wage gap* (indicator)<https://data.oecd.org/earnwage/gender-wage-gap.htm> doi: 10.1787/7cee77aa-en (Accessed on 26 November 2023)
- Omadeke, J. (2001). *What's the Difference Between a Mentor and a Sponsor*. Harvard Business Review. <https://hbr.org/2021/10/whats-the-difference-between-a-mentor-and-a-sponsor>
- Padavic, I., Ely, R. J., & Reid, E. M. (2020). Explaining the persistence of gender inequality: The work–family narrative as a social defense against the 24/7 work culture. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 65(1), 61-111. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000183921983231>
- Pager, D., & Shepherd, H. (2008). The sociology of discrimination: Racial discrimination in employment, housing, credit, and consumer markets. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 34, 181-209. doi: 10.1146/annurev.soc.33.040406.131740
- Pager, D., Western, B., & Bonikowski, B., Western, B. (2009). Discrimination in a low-wage labor market: A field experiment. *American Sociological Review*, 74(5), 777-799. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000312240907400505>
- Pasztor, S. K. (2019). Exploring the framing of diversity rhetoric in “top-rated in diversity” organizations. *International Journal of Business Communication*, 56(4), 455-475.
- Rock, D., & Grant, H. (2016, November 4). *Why diverse teams are smarter*. Harvard Business Review. <https://hbr.org/2016/11/why-diverse-teams-are-smarter>
- Salesforce (n.d.). Equality. <https://www.salesforce.com/ca/company/equality/>
- Schaeffer, K. (2023). The data on women leaders. Data on Women Leaders in the U.S. | Pew Research Center
- Smith, J. A., & Brown, S. (2019). Unconscious bias and its implications for workplace diversity. *Journal of Diversity and Equality in Organizations*, 17(4), 45-62.
- Smith, J. A., & Davis, R. (2022). Leading inclusively: The role of management in fostering diversity and equality. *Journal of Applied Management*, 20(4), 34-51.
- Smith, J. A., & Johnson, L. R. (2022). Employment inequality: A comprehensive review of challenges and strategies. *Journal of Applied Management*, 20(3), 81-99.
- Sodexo (n.d.). Diversity and inclusion. <https://au.sodexo.com/corporate-responsibility/responsible-community-diversity/diversity-equity-inclusion.html>
- Solnet, D., Kralj, A., & Baum, T. (2015). 360 degrees of pressure: The changing role of the HR professional in the hospitality industry. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 39(2), 271-292.

- Strategic Human Resource management (n.d.) *Introduction to the Human Resources Discipline of Diversity, Equity and Inclusion*. <https://www.shrm.org/resourcesandtools/tools-and-samples/toolkits/pages/introdiversity.aspx>
- Thomas, D. A., & Ely, R. J. (2020). Cultural diversity at work: The effects of diversity perspectives on work group processes and outcomes. In N. W. Tippins & S. M. Halualani (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Workplace Diversity* (pp. 77-97). Oxford University Press.
- Tynes, B. (2022). The importance of diversity and inclusion for today's companies. Forbes. *The Importance Of Diversity And Inclusion For Today's Companies* (forbes.com)
- Williams, M. J., Paluck, E. L., & Spencer-Rodgers, J. (2021). The complexity of race and ethnicity in the workplace: Understanding the impact of identity and bias. *Journal of Social Issues*, 77(4), 963-983.
- Williams, J. C., Phillips, K. W., & Hall, E. V. (2021). Double jeopardy? Gender and race effects on earnings at the intersection of the race and gender wage gaps. *Social Forces*, 100(2), 827-866.
- World Economic Forum. (2021, April). 8 charts that show the impact of race and gender on technology careers. World Economic Forum. <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2021/04/gender-race-tech-industry/>*