

Organizational Trust And Job Performance: A Study Of Land And Survey Department

Kuldip Singh¹, Zalina Mohd Desa²

Faculty Of Administrative Science & Policy Studies , University Technology MARA Sarawak,
Kuching, Sarawak, 94300 Kota Samarahan

To Link this Article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v8-i11/5559>

DOI:10.6007/IJARBSS/v8-i11/5559

Published Date: 13 December 2018

Abstract

This study examines the influence of organizational trust on job performance by analyzing perceptions of public employees in the Land and Survey Department. The structured questionnaire survey was carried out. One hundred (100) usable questionnaires collected and were analysed accordingly to test the various hypotheses. The measurement items were rated with 5-point Likert. Results of the instrument's Cronbach Alpha measurement show that the score of reliability is above .90 which indicates an acceptable level. The results indicate that organizational trust is positively correlated with job performance. These results imply that job performance may be dependent on organizational trust in the public sector. This study provides insights on the relationship between organizational trust and job performance in the public sector. The findings of this study may help public sector to enhance its organizational trust and its effect on job performance. Limitations and recommendations are also discussed.

Keywords: Organizational Trust, Job Performance, Integrity, Public Sector

INTRODUCTION

The presence of trust in the workplace is essential to organizational performance and competitiveness in an increasingly global economy Lamsa & Pucetaite (2006). Trust is commonly important element in the organization as well to determine the level of performance and automatically is a source of competitive of advantage. Organizational trust which is defined as the readiness of the employee to be vulnerable to the actions of the employers based on the expectation that they would act in order to satisfy his needs irrespective of the ability to monitor or control in order to increase performance among the employees (Mayer et al., 1995). Trust has been a widely studied concept both by itself but, most importantly, as a component of the quality of relationships. It is where in psychology and interpersonal communication, trust has been one of numerous dimensions identified in relationships. In order to gain the increasing level of organizational trust, there are few trust dimensions that should be measured which are competency, durability, vulnerability, integrity, dependability, openness and honesty.

During the last two decades increasing staff performance in public institutions has become one of the main concerns of governments in times of financial constraints, declining public revenues, and increasing demands for more and better public services (OECD, 2011) In the search for better public job performance, as relevant previous studies shows, organizational trust could be a valuable asset that can improve job performance in the public sector.. So, examining the ways in which Organizational trust influence job performance can be seen as an important effort in enhancing job performance in the public sector in a public organization such as Land and Survey Department in Kuching, Sarawak.

Organization trust may also be better described as intra-organizational trusts that focus on the relationship between workers and the supervisors, and the relationship between workers and the leaders. Brown & Leigh (1996) have noticed that when employees have trust in the top managers and management, their organizational commitment and organizational identity also improve, which in turn cause employees to work harder and spend more time and energy in their jobs. Also, Zauderer (2002) found that employees who work in organizations with higher levels of organizational trust were more successful and innovative than institutions with lower levels of trust. Organizational trust facilitates positive psychological conditions of meaningfulness, safety, and availability. These conditions are also among predictors of individual job performance (Li & Tan, 2013). Empirical studies in the public sector also support the theoretical linkage between organizational trust and performance (Chen, Hsieh, & Chen, 2014). For instance, Nyhan (1999) reported a positive relation between affective commitment (which in turn results in higher performance levels) and supervisory trust in public sector organizations, while Gould-Williams (2003) found that systems trust was a strong predictor of self-reported performance (effort).

Michael & Werner (2017) posit a new model of integrity that provides access to increased performance for individuals, groups, organizations, and societies.. The relationship between integrity, measured by the HPI Reliability scale, and job performance was significant in two models. According to Hogan & Hogan (1989) the correlation between integrity and job performance controlling for sex and tenure in integrity was also significant. This indicates that integrity may be useful predictor of job performance.

Becker et al., (1996) argues that commitment based on the internalization of goals and values seems likely to predict performance. Thus, employees who are highly committed to their organization and supervisors and who internalize the values and goals of these foci can be expected to perform at the higher level than employees with less commitment As a result, generally, we could say that there is a significant and strong relationship between commitment and job performance Becker et al., (1996) and Benkhoff (1997).

Dependability is a measure of a system's availability, reliability, and its maintainability. Dependability can be broken down into three elements which are attributes; a way to assess the dependability of a system, threats; an understanding of the things that can affect the dependability of a system and lastly means; ways to increase a system's dependability. It is anticipated that dependability will enhance employees job performance,

METHODOLOGY

A survey design was used to reach the research objectives. The specific design was the cross sectional design, where a sample is drawn from a population at a particular point in time Shaughnessy & Zechmeister (1997). About 130 questionnaire were distributed to employees in the Land and Survey Department. About 100 employees returned back the questionnaire giving a response rate of 77 %. Organizational trust was measured using a scale developed by Institute of Public Relations.(2003). Job performance was measured using an instrument developed by Borman & Motowidlo (1997). Results of the instrument's Cronbach Alpha measurement show that the score of reliability is above .80 which indicates an acceptable level. This is summarized in Table 1 below.

This study intend to test the following hypothesis::

H1: There is a significant relationship between organizational trust and job performance.

H1a: There is a significant relationship between integrity and job performance.

H1b: There is a significant relationship between commitment and job performance.

H1c: There is a significant relationship between dependability and job performance.

RESULTS

Both genders are equally represented male (52 percent) and females (48 percent). Majority of respondents are aged between 21 to 40 years old (61 percent) and mostly were married (77 percent). Majority of the respondents were Malay (55 percent). About 54 percent of the sample had SPM qualification. As for length of service 54 percent had served for 1 to 9 years followed by 26 percent for 10 to 15 years. About 57 percent of the respondents were from the Support Group 2. This is summarized in Table 2.

Table 1:

Reliability Analysis, Mean and Standard Deviation Scores (N=100)

Variables	No. of Items	Cronbach's Alpha	Mean	S. D.
Organizational Trust	19	.952	3.48	.575
Job Performance	10	.856	3.88	.430

Table 2:

Respondent's Profile (N=100)

Profile	No. of Respondents	Percent
Gender		
Male	52	52
Female	48	48
Age		
21-30 years old	25	25.
31=40 years old	36	36
41-50 years old	27	27
51-60 years old	12	12

Race		
Malay	55	55
Iban	14	14
Bidayuh	12	12
Chinese	10	10
Others	9	9
Marital status		
Single	23	23
Married	77	77
Education's level		
SPM	54	63.1
STPM	14	13.8
Diploma	22	13.8
Degree	3	6.2
Others	7	3.1
Year of services		
1- 3 years	12	12
4-6 years	23	23
7-9 years	19	19
10-15 years	26	26
More than 15 years	20	20
Job Category		
Management & Professional Group	7	7
Support Group 1	36	36
Support Group	57	37

Based on Table 1, the mean for organizational trust is 3.48 indicating a moderate level of organizational trust. And for job performance the mean is 3.88 indicating a high level of job performance based on Best and Kahn (2003). In addition, the study found a strong positive relationship between organizational trust and job performance ($r = 0.588$; $p < 0.01$). This indicates that organizational trust has a positive relationship with job performance. Thus higher organizational trust is associated with higher job performance. Thus H1 is accepted. In addition all dimensions of organizational trust also had positive relationships with job performance: Integrity ($r = .508$, $p < 0.01$), Commitment ($r = .626$, $p < 0.01$) and dependability ($r = .468$, $p < 0.01$). Thus H1a, H1b and H1c are accepted. This is shown in Table 3.

Table 3:

Correlation between Organizational Trust Dimensions and Job Performance

Variables	Job Performance (r value)
Organizational Trust	.588**
• Integrity	.508**
• Commitment	.626**
• Dependability	.468**
** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level	

Discussion

Our findings provide support that a direct positive relationship exists between dimensions of organizational trust and job performance in Land Survey Department. Majority of staff perceive that the level of organizational trust as moderate and job performance as high. In terms of correlation, high level organizational trust is related to higher levels of job performance amongst the staff. This study supports previous findings by Li & Tan (2013) and Gould-Williams (2003) who argued organizational trust has a positive effect on job performance. The study presents two major implications: theoretical contribution and practical contribution. In terms of theoretical contribution, the results of this study confirm that organizational trust and job performance are highly correlated and hence contributes to research on organizational trust and job performance in the public sector. In terms of practical contributions, the findings of this study can be used as a guideline by public agencies to enhance organizational trust and use it to increase its staff's level of job performance.

CONCLUSION

This study confirmed that organizational trust influence job performance. The study used valid and reliable measurement scales were used to measure the relationship between organizational trust and job performance. The correlation test revealed a positive relationship between organizational trust and job performance. Furthermore the study also found significant relationship between dimensions of organizational trust and job performance. Therefore, current research and practice within organizational trust needs to understand organizational trust as a related to job performance.. This study further suggests that a high level of organizational trust will strongly enhance and promote higher levels of job performance amongst employees in public sector.

This study acknowledges several limitations. First, a cross-sectional research design was used to gather data at one point within the period of study. This may not be able to capture the developmental issues and/or causal connections between variables of interest. Second, the survey questionnaires relied heavily on the respondents' self-responses that were selected based on random sampling technique. Finally, the samples were taken from one public agency namely land and survey department in Kuching via survey questionnaires. These limitations may decrease the ability of generalizing the results to other public agencies in Malaysia. It is suggested that future research may look at other variables such as employee motivation, job

satisfaction and organizational commitment may moderate or mediate the relationship between organizational trust and job performance.

REFERENCES

- Best, J. W. and Khan, J.V. (2003). *Research in Education 9th Edition* Boston: Pearson Education.
- Becker, T.E., R.S Billings, D.M Eveleth, and N.L Gilbert. (1996). Foci and bases of employee commitment: implications for job performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39: 464-482.
- Benkhoff, B. (1997). Disentangling organizational commitment: the dangers of the OCQ for research policy. *Personnel Review*, 26: 114-131
- Borman, W. C., and S.J Motowidlo, (1997). Task performance and contextual performance: The meaning for personnel selection research. *Human Performance*, 10: 99-109.
- Brown, S. P., and Leigh, T. W. (1996). A New Look at Psychological Climate and its Relationship to Job Involvement, Effort, and Performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 81: 358-368.
- Chen, C. A, Hsieh, C. W, and Chen, D.Y (2014). Fostering public service motivation through workplace trust: Evidence from public managers in Taiwan. *Public Administration*, 92, 954-973.
- Gould-Williams, J. (2003). The importance of HR practices and work place trust in achieving superior performance: a study of public-sector organizations. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 14(1), 28-54.
- Hogan, J. and Hogan, R. (1989). How to measure employee reliability. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 74: 273–279.
- Lämsä, A. M., and Pucetaite, R. (2006). Development of organizational trust among employees from a contextual perspective. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 15: 130-1.
- Li, A. N., and Tan, H. H. (2013). What happens when you trust your supervisor? Mediators of individual performance in trust relationship. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 34, 407-425.
- Mayer, R. C., Davis, J.V. and Schoorman, F.D. (1995). An integrative model of organizational trust. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(3), 709-734. doi:10.2307/258792.
- Michael, J. and Werner, E. (2017) Putting Integrity into Finance: A purely Positive Approach *Journal Capitalism and Society*, Vol.12. Issue 1,
- Motowidlo, S. J. (2003). Job performance, In W. C. Borman, D. R. Ilgen, and R. J. Klimoski

(Eds.), *Handbook of Psychology: 12. Industrial and Organizational Psychology* (pp. 39-53), John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken, NJ.

Nyhan, R. C. (1999). Increasing Affective Organizational Commitment in Public Organizations: The Key Role of Interpersonal Trust. *Review of Public Administration*, 58-70.

OECD. (2011). *Government at a Glance*. OECD Publishing.

Zauderer, D. G. (2002). Workplace Incivility and the Management of Human Capital: How to Build a Community where People feel included, Welcomed, and Work Together with Mutual Respect to Enhance Individual and Organizational Productivity. *The Public Manager*, 31: 1-14.