

Dust Explosion Incidents in Malaysia for Powder Manufacturing Industries

Mohd Azimie Ahmad¹, Norazura Ismail^{2*}, Mohamad Rizza Othman³

^{1, 2*}Faculty of Engineering Technology,
University Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia

³Faculty of Chemical Engineering & Natural Resources,
University Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia

DOI Link: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v7-i3/2956>

Published Date: 30 March 2017

Abstract

The trends of process safety incident have been put in the statistical research and development in order to prevent and mitigate the phenomenon. One of the incident are known as dust explosion that represent a constant hazard to industries including any manufacturing using and handling of combustible dust materials. Lack of sharing and know how on best practices in managing the workplace to avoid this phenomenon happening throughout the industries. The severity and the consequences of not practicing it before it happen were not been fore seen by the process team until it too late. This present paper discusses the best practices in managing the hazards from the catastrophes to be happening again. In addition, the mitigation response was also be explored thoroughly by database of best practices.

Keywords: Process Safety Incident, Dust explosion, best practices, database, mitigation

Introduction

An increasing number of accident related to dust explosion have been recorded and been discussed since 1785 worldwide, it come to the new cases leading to a significant problem of injuries, fatalities, destruction of equipment and property loss. This event may still occur in various industries handling particulate organic and inorganic powders and dust. Those industries include grain and food, metal and metal finish products, power generation, textile manufacturing, coal mining and also chemical manufacturing.

Literature Review

The incident normally happened in the unit operations such as grinders, silo, mills, dust collectors, bucket elevators, conveyors, and other modes of transportation. Abbasi and Abbasi do found that the record of dust explosion incidents shows that on average, one dust explosion could happen in each industrialized country every day (Abbasi & Abbasi, 2007).

Unfortunately, there is still lack of publications whether in printed or soft copies present in developing countries that provides details information available on dust explosions.

Bo and his co-worker in 2015 have collected across two hundred of dust explosions cases in China alone from 1949 until 2007 (Bo et al., 2015). But in Malaysia, event the trend is increasing, but the reported case highlighted by DOSH Malaysia only 4 cases since 2008 until 2013 in DOSH website.

Mechanism of Dust Explosion

Dust explosion may occur with the existent of five (5) elements which is oxygen, heat, confinement, fuel and dispersion.




Figure 1: Dust Explosion Pentagon (Abbasi & Abbasi, 2007)

Dust Explosion Cases in Malaysia

There are several cases reported in the website of Department of Safety and Health which is happened in Mac 2008 in Lumut, Perak. The incident takes place at flour factory. The incident was triggered by the hot work activity (welding) in the confined space area filled in with the corn starch dust. The explosion covered vastly in the tunnel. The impact from this incident also involves jetty, conveyor system and destroyed installation in the tunnel with four (4) fatal deaths was concluded.

Meanwhile, in Nov 2010 at Pulau Pinang, the rim manufacturer factory was burned down due combustible dust explosion from the polishing activity in making rim. The origin of the cases was found to start from the fire and resulting with explosion in ducting system which transporting the aluminium dust to the tower duct collector. The impact during this incident have been cause an injuries towards eight (8) workers and three (3) factory area was destroyed.

The next case was reported to be take place at herb factory on August 2013 at Pulau Pinang. The officer reported the incident started from the herb manufacturing process in dust form. The local exhaust ventilation, ducting system and tower dust collector was involved in this explosion. The explosion started from oven that used for heating the herb dust. There are no injuries reported.

Latest case was found to take place in wood chip manufacturing in Gebeng, Pahang on August 2014. The dust collector was found to be in fire and exploded. There are no fatalities reported. There are possibility spark at the conveyor system but official report yet to be confirmed by the authority.

Regardless of the incident that have been discussed, there are a lots more that was not reported due to there are no legislative put in order to keep the informing the authority for process safety incident in Malaysia.

Development of Lesson Learning in Managing Process Safety Incident

Thus, regard to this incidents record, the raising numbers of cases keep the society continues to ask why these accidents have not been eliminated. It depend on the effectiveness of learning from reported incidents can be often be questioned. (Jacobsson, Ek, and Akselsson, 2011) proposed a six-step method for evaluating learning from incidents.

However, the effectiveness of learning from incidents can often be questioned. In many case the learning process stops at the reporting step. The analysis of the incident reports and the following implementation of appropriate measures and improvements are often ineffective and the full lessons are therefore seldom learned (Jacobsson, Ek, & Akselsson, 2011). For example, on March 23rd 2005, the isomerization unit at the BP Texas City refinery exploded. Fifteen workers were killed and more than 170 people were injured.

The investigation of the BP US Refineries Independent Safety Review Panel (the so-called Baker Panel) found that potential weaknesses in process safety had been highlighted in numerous reports from prior accidents that had occurred previously on the site. According to the Baker Panel, BP had not learned those lessons because of a kind of organizational “learning disability” associated with issues regarding safety management, cost cutting, reward structure, decentralization and leadership (Hopkins, 2011).

By learning from past incidents operating companies can improve reliability, reduce risk and improve financial performance. In the spirit of ‘safety is not proprietary’, the authors here once again ask the chemical companies and relevant government agencies to share their accident reports. In order for this to work effectively, operating agencies must be willing to share experience by reporting accidents and near misses to the chemical process safety community at large (Zhang, Deng, Li, Skitmore, & Zhou, 2016).

One of the obstacles to an effective and proactive lesson learning effort is the lack of public access to accident investigation reports. While public registers of industrial accidents are available from various government sources, the information disclosed about the accident is superficial. Moreover, finding accidents involving specific substances, processes or equipment in these registers is an additional challenge. To help address these challenges, the authors will present in this paper an overview of lesson learning in Malaysia and identify the areas in need of further research and improvement (Sepeda, 2006).

These incidents will be effectively shared throughout the industries by the development of the database that will be the medium of best practices and mitigation plan being kept and discussed by the relevant industries. The researcher did manage the updated cases in the world as a summary, then described further to build lesson learnt sharing with industries. The participant comprise of chemical, petrochemical, manufacturing and also food product manufacturing. The sharing was carried out in seminar, conference and also case studies basis.

Recommendations

In reality, to set compliance with legal frameworks such as the Process Safety Management standards requires substantial resources and may become complex to be implemented but still will bring a lot improvement in SME operation in Malaysia.

According to the statistics noted above the real cases may be more than reported but still there are three main causes to be look into details which is inadequate process hazard analysis, training and emergency response planning. This is the major contributors that contribute significantly to the incident cases to take place in Malaysia.

Thus, the following recommendations are given to the SMEs and the government agencies that intend to help SMEs in accident prevention.

The DOSH department also been approached in the idea for developing a regulations that govern process safety incident to the compliance. This meeting already been carried out with Special Scheme Inspection at DOSH Putrajaya. They shared that the development of Dust explosion guideline are in the progress by technical team. These feedbacks are the commitment shown by the Regulator to enforce Process Safety in near future.

Local authorities bridging with relevant SMEs that handle fine particles

The relationship between stakeholders in SMEs is a must to improve their understanding on the risk mitigation and control. There are need to establish a simple step by step methodology addressing PSM elements targeting SMEs. The local authorities should review the SMEs operating procedure and discussed the process hazard analysis, training and emergency response planning.

To coordinate PSM related activity between SMEs, industry park administration, local authorities, research centre, university and communities should work along on the assessment and improvement of their operating procedure to reduce the risk. It depends on a strategic approach to prepare for and responding to emergency event with community involvement. This collaboration will have further guidance for learning lessons and developing operation sustainability.

Investigation of incident root cause into PSM elements

The root cause analysis usually is a weak point in accident investigation so that the effectiveness of lesson learning is often questioned. Accident investigations often stop at events close to the accident, which usually concern only the behaviour of the hardware and the operators directly concerned with carrying out the activity (Kidam & Hurme, 2013).

Changing hardware or disciplining operators will not systematically eradicate the root causes that exist in the safety management system. With the deterioration of the performance of the hardware or the operators, similar accidents will inevitably occur again. Therefore causal analysis should be sufficiently robust such that it does not stop at the technical causes (e.g., equipment failure, human error), but instead it should eventually determine what failure(s) occurred in the process safety management (PSM)'s system that created the conditions for the technical failures to occur. Root causes on PSM elements should be examined and reported thoroughly and systematically (Salvi & Debray, 2006).

Year	Case	Process Safety management (PSM) Elements		
		Process hazard Analysis	Training of Manpower	Emergency Preparedness & Response
2008	Perak	☐	☐	☐☐
2010	Pulau Pinang	☐	☐	☐☐
2013	Pulau Pinang	☐	☐	☐
2014	Pahang	☐	☐	

Table 1: PSM analysis for Malaysia Dust Explosion Incident

Disclosure incident case investigation review to public

More information about chemical accident risks and accidents need to be shared with the public, particularly in areas where a significant lack of information has made citizens distrust local agencies. Information also needs to be shared on the causes and lessons learned of accidents so that government and industry experts can improve their accident prevention, preparedness and response programs and procedures. In this regard, the government should establish information systems and requirements that can achieve these goals (Tauseef, Abbasi, & Abbasi, 2011).

There should be information for the public on accidents that have occurred in a region, on sites where potential accidents could occur, and what to do in case of a major accident on one of these sites. When people are treated with fairness and honesty, and their right to take their own decisions is respected, they are less likely to overestimate small hazards and will support the government and companies actively (Olive, O'Connor, & Mannan, 2006).

Develop dedicated website for lesson learnt reference

Government and industry also need additional knowledge about causes and lessons learned from accidents that can be used to update their standards, systems and procedures supporting accident prevention, preparedness and response. The government should therefore also create a common register specifically for reporting causes and lessons learned from investigations of major chemical accidents directly by industry or by government on the basis of its own or industry investigations. Full accident reports should be published in a dedicated website that is publicly accessible so that other operators and industries can learn from these accidents. Examples of such websites can be found in Europe (e- Mars) and US (CSB) (Mannan & Waldram, 2014a).

Establish lesson learn data sharing for industry

While this paper is mostly directed at the role of government, safety is in the end in the hands of industry. The chemical process industries must take a leading role in preventing accidents with big companies in particular investing resources to build industry-wide

awareness and capacity. In particular, the industry should establish mechanisms to voluntarily share lessons learned with each other, by expanding existing industry and professional associations to support forums, publications, workshops and training events on risk management and lessons learned. The establishment of the Malaysia equivalents to the Center for Chemical Process Safety (CCPS) and Chemical Safety Board (CSB) of the United States should also be considered (Mannan & Waldram, 2014b).

That where the University Malaysia Pahang stand as pioneering in developing such database comprise of process safety incident to the related industries in Malaysia. Building up expertise and total understanding in PSM criteria will help fasten the preparation of Malaysia towards process safety incident yet to be happened. The database specifications are discussed further about dust explosion incident.




Figure 2: UMP-DEx database contain Process Safety Incident Data

Conclusion

Lesson learning is not only difficult for SMEs that have limited human resources and expertise, but also for large multinational corporations. Incident investigation is regulated under Malaysian law. However, how to effectively and systematically learn lessons from incident investigation reports has not been specified by the standard. Lesson learning does not only require high quality investigation reports, but also a high self-learning capability. There are also need some institutes that manage the data update and synthesis for further improvement.

Corresponding Author

Norazura Ismail

Faculty of Engineering Technology,
University Malaysia Pahang, 26300 Gambang,
Pahang Darul Makmur, Malaysia.

Email: norazura@ump.edu.my

References

- Abbasi, T., & Abbasi, S. A. (2007). Dust explosions-Cases, causes, consequences, and control. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*.
- Bo, Z., Shaojie, O., Jianhua, Z., Hui, S., Geng, W., & Ming, Z. (2015). An analysis of previous blackouts in the world: Lessons for China's power industry. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 42, 1151–1163. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2014.10.069
- Hopkins, A. (2011). Risk-management and rule-compliance: Decision-making in hazardous industries. *Safety Science*, 49(2), 110–120. doi:10.1016/j.ssci.2010.07.014
- Jacobsson, A., Ek, Å., & Akselsson, R. (2011). Method for evaluating learning from incidents using the idea of "level of learning." *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 24(4), 333–343. doi:10.1016/j.jlp.2011.01.011
- Kidam, K., & Hurme, M. (2013). Method for identifying contributors to chemical process accidents. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 91(5), 367–377. doi:10.1016/j.psep.2012.08.002
- Mannan, M. S., & Waldram, S. P. (2014a). Learning lessons from incidents: A paradigm shift is overdue. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 92(6), 760–765. doi:10.1016/j.psep.2014.02.001
- Mannan, M. S., & Waldram, S. P. (2014b). Learning lessons from incidents: A paradigm shift is overdue. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 92(6), 760–765. doi:10.1016/j.psep.2014.02.001
- Olive, C., O'Connor, T. M., & Mannan, M. S. (2006). Relationship of safety culture and process safety. In *Journal of Hazardous Materials* (Vol. 130, pp. 133–140).
- Salvi, O., & Debray, B. (2006). A global view on ARAMIS, a risk assessment methodology for industries in the framework of the SEVESO II directive. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 130(3 SPEC. ISS.), 187–199. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.07.034
- Sepeda, A. L. (2006). Lessons learned from process incident databases and the process safety incident database (PSID) approach sponsored by the Center for Chemical Process Safety. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 130(1-2 SPEC. ISS.), 9–14. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.07.061
- Tauseef, S. M., Abbasi, T., & Abbasi, S. a. (2011). Development of a new chemical process-industry accident database to assist in past accident analysis. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 24(4), 426–431. doi:10.1016/j.jlp.2011.03.005
- Zhang, X., Deng, Y., Li, Q., Skitmore, M., & Zhou, Z. (2016). An incident database for improving metro safety : The case of shanghai, 84, 88–96. doi:10.1016/j.ssci.2015.11.023