

Causes to Create Job Burnout in Organizations

Malikeh Beheshtifar

Management Department, Rafsanjan Branch, Islamic AZAD University, Iran

Ali Reza Omidvar

Management Department, Rafsanjan Branch, Islamic AZAD University, Iran

DOI Link: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v3-i6/112>

Published Date: 04 June 2013

Abstract

Burnout is an unpleasant and dysfunctional condition that both individuals and organizations would like to change. Job burnout is a consequence of the perceived disparity between the demands of the job and the resources (both material and emotional) that an employee has available to him or her. The factors influential on burnout have been indicated in many studies over the years. Burnout has many negative effects on organization as well as on individual. Cynicism, job dissatisfaction, low organizational commitment, and quitting the job can be mentioned among the most important effects on organization. There are certain ways of preventing burnout. However, one needs to notice burnout in the first place in order to put into practice all these methods. In this regard, the first signs indicating the emergence of burnout are of vital importance. Colleagues, chiefs or subordinates may help an individual notice these signs. However, what is ideal is an attempt by organization to keep burnout under control consistently through an advanced detailed program, and to intervene through certain preventive methods when required. Finally, different methods are suggested for decreasing the burnout levels of employees.

Keywords: burnout, job burnout, organization

Introduction

Burnout is a right approach to seek the origins of burnout in social, economic, and cultural developments in the last quarter of the last century. This is because; the world rapidly turned from an industrial society into a service society in that period (Schaufeli et al, 2009). Burnout is an unpleasant and dysfunctional condition that both individuals and organizations would like to change; indeed, much of the major interest in burnout has been not simply to understand what it is but to figure out what to do about it (Maslach & Goldberg, 1998).

Burnout is defined as a psychological response to job stress. Changes in what an individual wants and what he/she should do or, in other words, significant disharmonies between job nature and job owner's nature lead into burnout (Maslach & Leiter, 2005). Burnout does not only affect job satisfaction negatively, but also brings about low organizational commitment (Ashil and Rod, 2011).

According to the famous structure defined by Maslach (1981), burnout stemming from continuous work pressures that are not effectively handled comprises the following three dimensions: emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment.

Historically, burnout was thought to be separate entities and, as such, should be approached as distinctly different domains. The current study is an example of a growing problem that is multidimensional and multifaceted. Therefore, we will try to familiar job burnout and causes to create it.

What is job burnout?

The concept of burnout was suggested by Herbert Freudenberger who was working as a psychoanalyst in a clinic in New York (Shepherd et al, 2011). Emerged in the 1970s, the concept of burnout still continues to be used at the present time. It is widely used in psychology and organizational behavior literature (Schaufeli, et al, 2009). Burnout is defined as a symptom of emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment at work due to work activity (Maslach, 1982).

Burnout is a prolonged response to chronic emotional and interpersonal stressors on the job, and is defined by the three dimensions of exhaustion, cynicism, and inefficacy. The past 25 years of research has established the complexity of the construct, and places the individual stress experience within a larger organizational context of people's relation to their work. Recently, the work on burnout has expanded internationally and has led to new conceptual models. The focus on engagement, the positive antithesis of burnout, promises to yield new perspectives on the interventions to alleviate burnout. The social focus of burnout, the solid research basis concerning the syndrome, and its specific ties to the work domain make a distinct and valuable contribution to people's health and well-being (Maslach, et al., 2001). Now, many authors have achieved a relative concurrence on burnout aspects. These aspects include:

- (1) Emotional exhaustion which means energy discharge and consumption of emotional resources. This dimension can be considered as the cornerstone of job burnout.
- (2) Depersonalization which separates workers from others and causes pessimism to colleagues, customers and organization. This dimension of the job burnout is prevalent among those staffs who regularly communicate to other persons (such as teachers, students, customers, patients).
- (3) Diminished personal accomplishment by which the person comes to a negative self-assessment (Maslach & Leiter, 2005).

So, job burnout is "a consequence of the perceived disparity between the demands of the job and the resources (both material and emotional) that an employee has available to him or her. When demands in the workplace are unusually high, it becomes increasingly impossible to cope with the stress associated with these working conditions." Its roots are found in the daily transactions stemming from the debilitating physical and emotional overload that arises from stress on the job. Job burnout is both an occupational hazard and a phenomenon induced by distress. It is generally characterized by:

- (1) Some degree of physical and emotional exhaustion;
- (2) Socially dysfunctional behavior, particularly a distancing and insulation from individuals with whom one is working;
- (3) Psychological impairment -- especially strong, negative feelings toward the self; and

(4) Organizational inefficiency through decreased output and poor morale (Maslach, et al., 2001).

Currently, most researchers (Lee & Ashforth, 1993; Leiter, 1990) support the use of a three-factor conceptualization of the burnout construct. The first component of burnout, *emotional exhaustion*, is characterized by high frustration, irritability, low energy, and depleted emotional resources. The second component of burnout, *depersonalization*, encompasses a negative, dehumanizing approach to patients or clients, treating them like objects or numbers. Depersonalization exhibits itself through healthcare workers' extensive use of jargon, an over-reliance on bureaucratic rules, and derogatory language in referring to clients. Finally, *diminished personal accomplishment* refers to feelings of decreased or insufficient progress toward job goals, and a sense a decline in personal job competency, leading to a negative self-characterization (Zellars, et al., 2004).

What causes job burnout?

The factors influential on burnout have been indicated in many studies over the years (Ghorpade et al, 2007). Although the negative consequences of burnout have been the focus of numerous studies during the last 30 years, the question remains as to why some workers in an organization flourish and others report feeling exhausted and anxious, and perceive fewer personal accomplishments. Organizational researchers have proposed that the causes of job burnout are found in both the individual and job environment (Beehr, 1998; Savicki & Cooley, 1983).

In other study, Panis and Aronoson (quoted by Maslach, Schaufeli, & Leiter, 2001) declared that job burnout is caused by emotional and physical fatigue syndrome. In the other hand, burnout is the result of increasing negative trends of job and decreasing interest to colleagues. Several factors are effective in creating job burnout that includes environmental factors, individual factors and organizational factors. Organizational factors that can lead to job burnout are management style, inflexible rules of job, lack of job security and few opportunities for promotion (World Health Organization, 1998).

Also, Maslach and Leiter (2005) identified two groups of factors which dominate the person before burnout. The first group called situational predictors which include six antecedents: (1) workload, (2) control, (3) award, (4) social network, (5) job fairness, and (6) values. The second group includes individual antecedents such as age, gender, marital status and experience. Cordes et al. (1997) categorized burnout-related factors into three groups: (1) job and role characteristics, (2) organizational characteristics and (3) personal characteristics.

In *Job Burnout in Public Education: Symptoms, Causes, and Survival Skills* (1982), Anthony Cedoline offers the following analysis of seven causes of job burnout that have received the most attention in research findings:

- *Lack of Control over One's Destiny*: As organizations become large and impersonal, employees are frequently less involved in decision making. Even simple tasks can be delayed due to legal dictates, administrative policy, or lack of funds. Employees' participation in decision making promotes more positive job attitudes and greater motivation for effective performance.
- *Lack of Occupational Feedback and Communication*: Like other workers, educators want to know the expectations of the organization, the behaviors that will be successful or unsuccessful in satisfying job requirements, any physical and psychological dangers that might exist, and the security of the job. Education

employees need feedback to develop job values, aspirations, objectives, and accomplishments. Lack of clear, consistent information can result in distress. If evaluation only happens once or twice a year without regular, periodic feedback, the possibility of stress increases the longer the employee works in a vacuum. Regarding communication, organizational structures that foster open, honest, cathartic expression in a positive and constructive way reap large dividends from employees. When management reacts to open communication on a crisis basis only, it reinforces negative communications.

- *Work Overload or Under-load*: Researchers have found high levels of stress among individuals who have excessive work-loads. Long or unpredictable hours, too many responsibilities, work at a too-rapid pace, too many phone calls, dealing directly with difficult people without sufficient relief, dealing with constant crises, and supervising too many people (e.g., large class sizes and overcrowding) or having broad multifaceted job descriptions are characteristics of a work overload. In addition, boring tedious jobs or jobs without variety are equally distressful.
- *Contact Overload*: Contact overload results from the necessity for frequent encounters with other people in order to carry out job functions. Some occupations (teaching, counseling, law enforcement) require many encounters that are unpleasant and therefore distressful. These workers spend a large proportion of their work time interacting with people in various states of distress. When the caseload is high, control over one's work and consequent job satisfaction is affected. Contact overloads also leave little occasion or energy for communication and support from other employees or for seeking personal and professional growth opportunities.
- *Role Conflict/Ambiguity*: Although role conflict and ambiguity can occur independently, they both refer to the uncertainty about what one is expected to do at work. Role conflict may be defined as the simultaneous occurrence of two or more opposing pressures such that a response to one makes compliance with the other impossible (e.g., mass education versus individualized instruction). The most frequent role conflicts are (1) those between the individual's values and those of the superior or the organization; (2) the conflict between the demands of the work place and the worker's personal life; and (3) the conflict between worker abilities and organizational expectations. In numerous studies, role conflict has been associated with low job satisfaction, frustration, decreased trust and respect, low confidence in the organization, morale problems and high degrees of stress. Role ambiguity may be defined as a lack of clarity about the job, that is, a discrepancy between the information available to the employee and that which is required for successful job performance. In comparison to role conflict, role ambiguity has the highest correlation to job dissatisfaction. Role ambiguity is especially common amongst school administrators.
- *Individual Factors*: Personal factors such as financial stability, marital satisfaction, as well as personality factors such neuroticism, excessive shyness, inflexibility, and poor stress management skills all contribute to how one is affected by stress on the job. The mutual interaction and accumulation of both personal and occupational stressors can certainly contribute to job burnout (Anthony, 1982).

Outcomes of burnout

Preliminary researches show that burnout consequences can emerge in various types such as commitment mitigation, increase in absenteeism and turnover, productivity decrease, morale reduction, and decrease in human consideration (Cordes & Dougherty, 1993). The studies in the literature demonstrate that burnout is negatively related to performance, but positively related to the variables including absenteeism, leaving the job, etc. (Swider and Zimmerman, 2010).

Maslach et al. (2001) expound burnout consequences in two job performance and health aspects. In terms of job performance, burnout accompanies with job withdrawal, absenteeism, intention to leave and turnover. Those people who keep their work despite of their burnout are facing with productivity and effectiveness decrease. Accordingly, burnout accompanies with job satisfaction decrease as well as job or organizational commitment mitigation. In terms of health, burnout can cause mental dysfunctions which lead into anxiety, depression and self-esteem decrease.

Burnout has many negative effects on organization as well as on individual. Cynicism, job dissatisfaction, low organizational commitment, and quitting the job can be mentioned among the most important effects on organization (Ghorpade et al, 2007). Many other researchers indicate that those employees who suffer burnout are located in lower levels of job satisfaction which cause reduction in their motivation and performance (Bettina & Piko, 2006).

From the interviews and FGD conducted, it appears that job burnout is linked with several behavioral, attitudinal and interpersonal consequences. Moreover the doctors have also reported deterioration of physical and psychological health. The physical consequences include fatigue, insomnia, severe headaches and gastrointestinal disturbances. Deterioration of mental health in form of depression and anxiety is also revealed. Some interpersonal consequences are also projected. The job related activities of the doctors seem to have deleterious effects on their personal life. Due to depersonalization component of burnout, doctors tend to withdraw from their friends and reduce socializing. They have also reported impatience, irritation and moodiness while dealing with other people. The behavioral consequences entail organizational outcomes and consumption behaviors. The organizational outcomes include turnover, lack of commitment and more absenteeism. Consumption behavior like smoking has also been mentioned by a doctor (Naveed & Saeed Rana, 2012).

Overall, several studies are conducted on the relationship between demographic variables and burnout. For example, there are various studies on the relationship between demographic variables and burnout among nurses and teachers. Researchers like Cordes and Dougherty (1997) report that the rate of burnout among married employees is less than single ones, and researchers such as Jackson (1993) have found significant differences among employees' burnout in terms of gender, age and marital status. There are also researchers who have not reported a significant relationship between demographic variables and burnout (Zarei, et al., 2012).

Conclusion

Job burnout is considered an outcome of a long term of stress (Elit, et al. 2004), and as mentioned above, it is correlated with many job negative reactions. Obviously, the negative consequences of job burnout are too costly for organizations.

In context of individual perspective, burnout is related to issues like decreased self-esteem, depression, fatigue, anxiety and deterioration of physical health). In the context of

organizational perspective, burnout is linked with job related tension and decreased level of job commitment. In light of both these perspectives, implementing effective individual and managerial strategies, to control this issue, are critical for organizations. Therefore, management needs to have clear and precise understanding of job burnout process and development of its various stages (Naveed & Saeed Rana, 2012).

There are certain ways of preventing burnout. However, one needs to notice burnout in the first place in order to put into practice all these methods. In this regard, the first signs indicating the emergence of burnout are of vital importance. Colleagues, chiefs or subordinates may help an individual notice these signs. However, what is ideal is an attempt by organization to keep burnout under control consistently through an advanced detailed program, and to intervene through certain preventive methods when required. The literature contains no consilience in this matter (Ericson-Lidman and Strandberg, 2007). Finally, different methods are suggested for decreasing the burnout levels of employees.

References

1. Anthony J., 1982, Excerpts from Job Burnout in Public Education: Symptoms, Causes, and Survival Skills, Columbia University
2. Ashil, N. J., and Rod, M., 2011, Burnout Processes in Non-Clinical Health Service Encounters. *Journal of Business Research*, 64, 1116–1127
3. Bettina, F. P., 2006, Burnout, role conflict, job satisfaction and psychosocial health among Hungarian health care staff: A questionnaire survey. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 43(3), 311-318.
4. Cordes, C. L., & Dougherty, T. W. (1993), A review and an integration of research on job burnout. *Academy of Management Review*, 18, 621-656.
5. Elit L, Trim K, Mand-Bains IH, Sussman J, 2004, Grunfeld E. Job satisfaction, stress, and burnout among Canadian gynecologic oncologists. *Gynecol Oncol.* ;94:134–139
6. Ericson-Lidman, E., and Strandberg, G., 2007, Burnout: Co-Workers' Perceptions of Signs Preceding Workmates' Burnout. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 60(2), 199–208.
7. Ghorpade, J., Lackritz, J. and Singh, G., 2007, Burnout and Personality: Evidence From Academia. *Journal of Career Assessment*, 15(2), 240-256.
8. Maslach C., 1982, *Burnout: The Cost of Caring*. New York: Prentice-Hall;
9. Maslach, C., & Goldberg, J. (1998). Prevention of burnout: New perspectives, *Applied and Preventive Psychology*, 7, 63–74.
10. Maslach C, Jackson SE., 1981, The measurement of experienced burnout. *Journal of Occupational Behavior* 1981, 2:99-113
11. Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P., 2005, Stress and burnout: The critical research in Cooper, C.L. (Ed.), *Handbook of Stress Medicine and Health*, Lancaster: CRC Press, 155-72
12. Maslach, C., Schaufeli, W.B., and Leiter, M.P, 2001, *Annual Review of Psychology*, V.52, pp.397-422
13. Naveed, S. & Saeed Rana, N., 2012, Job Burnout Process and its Implications in HRM Practices: A Case Study of Trainee Doctors in Public Health Organization, *Asian Journal of Business Management* 5(1): 113-123
14. Schaufeli, B., W., Leiter, P., M. and Maslach, C., 2009, Burnout: 35 Years of Research and Practice. *Career Development International*, 14(3), 204-220.
15. Shepherd, D., C., Tashchian, A. and Ridnour, E., R., 2011, An Investigation of the Job Burnout Syndrome in Personal Selling, *Journal of Personal Selling & Sales Management*, XXXI(4)397–409.

16. Swider, W., B. and Zimmerman, D., R., 2010, Born to burnout: A meta-analytic path model of personality, job burnout, and work outcomes, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 76, 487–506.
17. WHO, 1998, Guidelines for the primary prevention of mental neurological and psychological disorders : Staff burnout. Division of Mental Health, Geneva: WHO,91-108
18. Zarei, M., Kalali, N., Akhavan Anvari, M., 2012, Do Demographic Variables Moderate the Relationship Between Job Burnout and its Consequences?, *Iranian Journal of Management Studies (IJMS)*, Vol.5, No.1, P.50
19. Zellars, K. L., Hochwater, W. A., Hoffman, N. P., Perrewé, P. L., and Ford, E. W., 2004, Experiencing Job Burnout: The Roles of Positive and Negative Traits and States. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*. Volume 34 (5), pp. 887-911.