

The Role of the Work Environment in Shaping the Relationship between Leadership Styles and Employee Performance: A Review of the Literature

Ziad Salameh Yousef Tanash

MA. Student, School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia
Email: ziad1993@student.usm.my

Prof. Dr. Khairul Anuar Mohammad Shah

Associate Professor, School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia
Email: khairulms@usm.my

DOI Link: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v16-i3/26990>

Published Date: 09 March 2026

Abstract

Employee performance remains a critical determinant of organizational effectiveness, particularly in dynamic and service-oriented sectors. While leadership styles have been widely recognized as key drivers of employee performance, empirical findings remain inconsistent across different contexts. This literature review examines the relationship between leadership styles—transformational, transactional, autocratic, and democratic—and employee performance, with a specific focus on the role of the work environment as a shaping and contextual factor. Drawing on classical and contemporary leadership theories, as well as recent empirical studies, the review synthesizes fragmented findings to develop an integrative and context-sensitive perspective. The analysis indicates that transformational, transactional, and democratic leadership styles are generally associated with positive performance outcomes, whereas autocratic leadership demonstrates mixed effects depending on situational conditions. A central insight of the review is that the work environment—comprising physical, psychological, and social workplace conditions—plays a pivotal role in conditioning how leadership behaviors are interpreted and translated into performance outcomes. Supportive work environments strengthen the positive effects of effective leadership styles, while unfavorable environments may constrain leadership influence and intensify negative outcomes. By proposing an integrative conceptual framework linking leadership styles, work environment, and employee performance, this study contributes to leadership and organizational behavior literature and offers practical implications for managers seeking sustainable performance improvements. Future research directions are suggested to empirically test the proposed framework across diverse sectors and cultural contexts.

Keywords: Employee Performance (EP), Leadership Styles (LS), Work Environment (WE)

Introduction

Employee performance is widely regarded as a fundamental driver of organizational effectiveness, competitiveness, and long-term sustainability, particularly in service-oriented and knowledge-intensive sectors. As organizations operate in increasingly complex and dynamic environments, achieving high levels of employee performance has become contingent not only on individual capabilities but also on leadership practices and the organizational context in which employees perform their work (Chandrasekar, 2011; Diamantidis & Chatzoglou, 2019).

Leadership styles have been extensively examined in the organizational and management literature as critical determinants of employee attitudes, motivation, and performance. Classical and contemporary leadership theories highlight that leadership behaviors, such as transformational, transactional, autocratic, and democratic leadership, play a central role in shaping employee behavior and work outcomes (Bass, 1985; Bass & Avolio, 1994; Lewin, Lippitt, & White, 1939; Zaccaro, 2007). Numerous empirical studies have reported that effective leadership can enhance employee performance by providing vision, direction, motivation, and support. However, findings across sectors and contexts remain inconsistent, suggesting that leadership effectiveness cannot be fully understood in isolation from situational and environmental factors.

In recent years, scholars have increasingly emphasized the importance of the work environment as a critical contextual factor influencing how leadership styles affect employee performance. The work environment encompasses both physical and psychosocial conditions, including organizational support, communication quality, availability of resources, fairness of systems, and interpersonal relationships (Chandrasekar, 2011; Pawirosumarto et al., 2017). Leadership behaviors do not operate in a vacuum; rather, their impact on employee performance is shaped by the environment in which employees interpret, experience, and respond to leadership actions. A supportive work environment may amplify the positive effects of leadership styles, whereas an unfavorable environment may weaken or even neutralize leadership influence (Diamantidis & Chatzoglou, 2019; Suprayitno, 2024). Despite the substantial volume of research on leadership styles and employee performance, existing studies remain fragmented across different theoretical perspectives, sectors, and methodological approaches. Many studies focus primarily on direct leadership–performance relationships, while giving limited attention to the role of contextual variables such as the work environment (Aryanti, I., & Perkasa, 2024). Recent literature reviews in management and organizational research have highlighted the growing need to integrate contextual and environmental dimensions to achieve a more comprehensive understanding of organizational phenomena (Barhoom, Wah, & Alnoor, 2025a; Maayah, Abu Aqoula, & Barhoom, 2025). However, a focused and integrative review that specifically examines how the work environment shapes the relationship between leadership styles and employee performance remains limited.

The necessity of conducting a literature review on this topic is further reinforced by recent trends in organizational research that emphasize interaction-based and integrative frameworks. Contemporary studies on continuous improvement, organizational agility,

sustainability, and intellectual capital increasingly demonstrate that organizational outcomes are influenced by the alignment between leadership practices and environmental conditions rather than by leadership behaviors alone (Barhoom et al., 2025b; Barhoom et al., 2025c). Moreover, recent bibliometric analyses of leadership research indicate a growing scholarly shift toward examining leadership effectiveness within broader organizational and contextual settings (Mohammad et al., 2024).

Accordingly, this study presents a literature review that synthesizes existing theoretical and empirical research on leadership styles and employee performance, with particular emphasis on the role of the work environment as a shaping and conditioning factor. By consolidating and integrating prior findings, this review aims to clarify how workplace conditions interact with different leadership styles to influence employee performance outcomes. Such an integrative perspective is especially relevant for service-oriented sectors, where organizational success is closely tied to employee engagement, adaptability, and sustained performance.

The significance of this review lies in its potential contributions at both theoretical and practical levels. Theoretically, it contributes to leadership and organizational behavior literature by reinforcing the importance of contextualizing leadership effectiveness within the work environment. Practically, it offers valuable insights for managers, human resource practitioners, and policymakers by highlighting the necessity of aligning leadership styles with supportive workplace conditions to enhance employee performance and organizational outcomes.

Objectives of the Review

The primary objective of this literature review is to synthesize and critically examine existing theoretical and empirical studies that address the relationship between leadership styles and employee performance, with particular emphasis on the role of the work environment as a contextual and shaping factor.

Specifically, this review aims to:

1. Examine how leadership styles, namely transformational, transactional, autocratic, and democratic leadership, have been conceptualized and discussed in prior organizational and management studies.
2. Synthesize empirical findings on the effects of different leadership styles on employee performance across diverse organizational and sectoral contexts.
3. Explore how the work environment has been addressed in the literature as a contextual factor influencing leadership effectiveness and employee performance outcomes.
4. Identify theoretical perspectives and research streams that emphasize the interaction between leadership behaviors and workplace conditions in shaping employee performance.
5. Highlight inconsistencies, limitations, and gaps in the existing literature related to leadership styles, work environment, and employee performance.
6. Propose an integrative conceptual perspective that links leadership styles, work environment, and employee performance to guide future empirical research and managerial practice.

Literature Review*Theoretical Foundations of Leadership and Employee Performance*

Leadership theory has evolved significantly over the past decades, providing multiple perspectives on how leaders influence employee attitudes, behaviors, and performance. Classical leadership theories emphasize the role of leadership behaviors in directing and motivating employees to achieve organizational objectives. Early foundational studies highlight leadership as a social influence process through which leaders shape group behavior and performance outcomes (Lewin, Lippitt, & White, 1939; Bass, 1985).

Contemporary leadership frameworks further expand this perspective by recognizing that leadership effectiveness is contingent upon situational and contextual factors rather than universal leadership behaviors (Bass & Avolio, 1994; Zaccaro, 2007). These theoretical foundations suggest that leadership styles influence employee performance through motivation, guidance, and support mechanisms, while their effectiveness may vary depending on organizational and environmental conditions.

Leadership Styles and Employee Performance

A substantial body of empirical research has examined the relationship between leadership styles and employee performance across different sectors and organizational contexts. Transformational leadership has consistently been associated with positive performance outcomes due to its focus on inspiration, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration (Bass, 1985; Agarwal, 2020; Otieno & Njoroge, 2019). Transactional leadership, through reward-based mechanisms and performance monitoring, has also demonstrated positive effects on employee performance, particularly in structured and performance-driven environments (Handayani et al., 2022).

In contrast, findings related to autocratic leadership remain mixed. While some studies suggest that authoritarian leadership may enhance efficiency and compliance in highly controlled or crisis situations, others report negative effects on employee motivation, creativity, and long-term performance (Lewin et al., 1939; Dastane, 2020). Democratic leadership, characterized by participation and shared decision-making, has generally been linked to higher levels of employee satisfaction, engagement, and performance, although its effectiveness may depend on organizational culture and task complexity (Zaccaro, 2007; Hassnain, 2022).

Despite extensive empirical evidence, these findings remain fragmented and context-dependent, indicating the need for a more integrative understanding of leadership effectiveness.

Conceptualizing the Work Environment in Organizational Research

The work environment has been conceptualized as a multidimensional construct encompassing physical, psychological, and social conditions that influence employee behavior and performance. Prior research highlights that factors such as organizational support, communication quality, availability of resources, fairness of policies, and interpersonal relationships collectively shape employees' perceptions and work experiences (Chandrasekar, 2011; Pawirosumarto et al., 2017).

Recent organizational studies increasingly emphasize that the work environment plays a critical role in enabling or constraining employee performance. Supportive environments have been linked to higher motivation, job satisfaction, and productivity, whereas unfavorable conditions contribute to stress, disengagement, and reduced performance (Diamantidis & Chatzoglou, 2019). These findings position the work environment as a central contextual variable in organizational behavior research.

The Work Environment as a Contextual Factor in Leadership Effectiveness

Emerging literature suggests that leadership behaviors do not operate independently of the organizational context (Hamdan et al., 2024). Instead, the work environment shapes how employees interpret leadership actions and translate them into performance outcomes (Rehan et al., 2024). Studies grounded in contingency and path-goal theories argue that leadership effectiveness depends on the alignment between leadership behaviors, employee needs, and environmental conditions (House, 1971; Zaccaro, 2007).

Empirical research indicates that supportive work environments can strengthen the positive effects of transformational, transactional, and democratic leadership styles on employee performance by fostering trust, psychological safety, and motivation (Januarharyono et al., 2025; Wahyuwardhana & Wisesa, 2024; Imran et al., 2025). Conversely, unfavorable environments may weaken leadership influence and intensify the negative effects associated with autocratic leadership (Diamantidis & Chatzoglou, 2019; Luqman et al., 2020).

Recent review-based and integrative studies further reinforce this perspective by emphasizing the importance of contextual variables in explaining organizational outcomes (Barhoom et al., 2025a; Maayah et al., 2025). These studies highlight that leadership effectiveness should be examined within broader organizational systems rather than as isolated behaviors.

Synthesis of Prior Studies and Research Gaps

Although prior studies have generated valuable insights into leadership styles, work environment, and employee performance, the literature remains characterized by fragmentation and limited integration (Januarharyono et al., 2025; Wahyuwardhana & Wisesa, 2024; Imran et al., 2025). Many studies examine leadership styles and performance outcomes without explicitly accounting for environmental conditions, while others consider work environment variables without linking them systematically to leadership behaviors.

Recent integrative and review-oriented research in management and organizational studies has emphasized the need for comprehensive frameworks that capture the interaction between leadership practices and contextual factors (Barhoom et al., 2025b; Mohammad et al., 2024). However, focused reviews that synthesize how the work environment shapes the leadership-performance relationship remain scarce, particularly in service-oriented and dynamic organizational contexts.

This gap underscores the necessity of developing an integrative conceptual perspective that links leadership styles, work environment, and employee performance, thereby guiding future empirical research and managerial practice.

Integrative Conceptual Framework

Building on the synthesis of prior theoretical and empirical studies, this review proposes an integrative conceptual perspective that explains how leadership styles influence employee performance through the conditioning role of the work environment. Rather than viewing leadership styles as isolated determinants of performance, the proposed framework emphasizes that leadership effectiveness is embedded within broader organizational and environmental contexts.

Leadership styles, including transformational, transactional, autocratic, and democratic leadership, represent distinct behavioral approaches through which leaders influence employee motivation, attitudes, and behaviors. Prior research consistently demonstrates that these leadership styles exert varying effects on employee performance; however, the magnitude and direction of these effects are highly contingent upon workplace conditions. This perspective aligns with contingency-based and path-goal theories, which argue that leadership effectiveness depends on the fit between leadership behavior, employee characteristics, and environmental conditions (House, 1971; Zaccaro, 2007).

Within this framework, the work environment functions as a shaping and conditioning factor that influences how leadership behaviors are perceived and enacted by employees (Saripudin & Perkasa, 2024). Supportive work environments, characterized by adequate resources, effective communication, organizational support, and fair practices, facilitate the translation of leadership behaviors into positive performance outcomes. In contrast, unfavorable environments may constrain employee motivation, weaken leadership influence, and intensify negative responses, particularly in the case of authoritarian leadership styles (Celestin & Sujatha, 2024).

The integrative framework further recognizes that leadership and work environment are not independent forces but mutually reinforcing elements within organizational systems. Contemporary organizational research increasingly emphasizes that performance outcomes emerge from the alignment between leadership practices and environmental conditions rather than from leadership behaviors alone (Barhoom et al., 2025b; Barhoom et al., 2025c). This systemic view is consistent with recent literature reviews and bibliometric studies that highlight a shift toward context-sensitive and interaction-based models of leadership effectiveness (Mohammad et al., 2024; Maayah et al., 2025).

Accordingly, the proposed conceptual framework positions leadership styles as primary explanatory variables, employee performance as the outcome variable, and the work environment as a critical contextual factor that shapes the leadership-performance relationship. By integrating these elements, the framework provides a coherent structure for understanding variations in leadership effectiveness across organizational settings and offers a foundation for future empirical investigations.

Theoretical Contributions

This literature review offers several theoretical contributions to leadership and organizational behavior research. First, it advances existing leadership theories by reinforcing the argument that leadership effectiveness cannot be adequately explained without considering contextual variables. By synthesizing fragmented findings from prior studies, the review highlights the

work environment as a central factor that conditions the influence of leadership styles on employee performance.

Second, the study contributes to the growing body of review-based research in management by providing an integrative perspective that connects leadership styles, work environment, and performance outcomes within a single conceptual framework. This contribution complements recent review studies that emphasize contextualization, systems thinking, and interaction effects in explaining organizational phenomena (Barhoom et al., 2025a; Maayah et al., 2025).

Third, by consolidating evidence from diverse sectors and theoretical traditions, this review helps clarify inconsistencies in prior empirical findings regarding leadership effectiveness. The integrative framework proposed in this study offers a structured explanation for why similar leadership styles may produce different performance outcomes across organizational contexts.

Practical Implications

In addition to its theoretical contributions, this review provides important practical implications for organizational leaders, human resource practitioners, and policymakers. The findings underscore that adopting a particular leadership style in isolation is insufficient to enhance employee performance unless it is supported by a conducive work environment. Managers should therefore focus on creating supportive workplace conditions that enable leadership practices to translate into positive employee outcomes.

Human resource practitioners can use the insights from this review to design leadership development programs that emphasize not only leadership skills but also environmental factors such as communication systems, organizational support, fairness, and employee well-being. Policymakers and senior executives may also benefit from recognizing that organizational performance improvement initiatives should integrate leadership development with broader workplace and organizational reforms.

Directions for Future Research

Based on the gaps identified in the reviewed literature, several directions for future research emerge. Future empirical studies are encouraged to test the proposed integrative framework across different sectors, cultural contexts, and organizational settings. Longitudinal and mixed-methods approaches may provide deeper insights into how leadership styles and work environments interact over time to influence employee performance.

Moreover, future research may explore additional contextual variables, such as organizational culture, digital work environments, and sustainability-oriented practices, that may further shape leadership effectiveness. Such studies would extend the current review and contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of leadership and performance in contemporary organizations.

Conclusion

This literature review set out to synthesize and critically examine existing research on the relationship between leadership styles and employee performance, with particular emphasis

on the role of the work environment as a contextual and shaping factor. By integrating findings from classical leadership theories and contemporary organizational studies, the review provides a comprehensive and context-sensitive understanding of leadership effectiveness.

The reviewed literature indicates that leadership styles, specifically transformational, transactional, democratic, and autocratic leadership, exert varying influences on employee performance. While transformational, transactional, and democratic leadership styles are generally associated with positive performance outcomes, the effectiveness of these styles is not uniform across organizational contexts. Autocratic leadership, in particular, demonstrates mixed and often negative effects on employee performance, especially in environments characterized by low support and limited employee participation.

A central insight emerging from this review is that the work environment plays a pivotal role in shaping how leadership behaviors are interpreted and translated into performance outcomes. Supportive work environments, marked by adequate resources, effective communication, organizational support, and fair practices, enhance the positive effects of leadership styles on employee performance. Conversely, unfavorable environments constrain leadership effectiveness and may intensify negative employee responses, thereby limiting performance outcomes. These findings reinforce the argument that leadership effectiveness cannot be adequately understood or evaluated without considering the broader organizational context.

By consolidating fragmented findings from prior studies, this review contributes to leadership and organizational behavior literature in several ways. It advances a contextualized understanding of leadership effectiveness, highlights the importance of interaction-based perspectives, and proposes an integrative conceptual framework that links leadership styles, work environment, and employee performance. In doing so, the review responds to recent calls in the literature for more comprehensive and system-oriented approaches to studying organizational performance.

From a practical standpoint, the review underscores that improving employee performance requires more than the adoption of particular leadership styles. Organizations must simultaneously cultivate supportive work environments that enable leadership practices to be effective. Managers, human resource practitioners, and policymakers are therefore encouraged to align leadership development initiatives with broader organizational and workplace improvements to achieve sustainable performance outcomes.

In conclusion, this literature review emphasizes that leadership styles and work environment should be viewed as interdependent elements within organizational systems. By adopting an integrative and context-sensitive perspective, future research and practice can move toward a more nuanced understanding of how leadership contributes to employee performance in contemporary organizations.

References

- Luqman, R., Fatima, S., Ahmed, S., Khalid, I., & Bhatti, A. (2020). The impact of autocratic leadership style on counterproductive work behavior: the mediating role of employee commitment and moderating role of emotional exhaustion. *Pollster Journal of Academic Research*, 6(01), 22-47.
- House, R. J. (1971). A path goal theory of leader effectiveness. *Administrative science quarterly*, 321-339.
- Hassnain, A. (2022). employees' performance and motivation. *Journal of Administrative and Business Studies*, 8(3), 19-26.
- Dastane, D. O. (2020). Impact of leadership styles on employee performance: A moderating role of gender. *Australian Journal of Business and Management Research*, 5(12), 27-52.
- Handayani, S., Suharnomo, S., & Rahardja, E. (2022). Transactional leadership, well-being support, OCB-altruism, and employee performance: testing their relationship. *Review of Applied Socio-Economic Research*, 24(2), 70-88.
- Otieno, B. N., & Njoroge, J. G. (2019). Effects of leadership styles on employee performance: Case of Technical University of Kenya. *International Journal of Education and Research*, 7(6), 115-132.
- Agarwal, S. (2020). Leadership style and performance of employees. *International Research Journal of Business Studies*, 13(1), 1-14.
- Pawirosumarto, S., Sarjana, P. K., & Gunawan, R. (2017). The effect of work environment, leadership style, and organizational culture towards job satisfaction and its implication towards employee performance in Parador Hotels and Resorts, Indonesia. *International journal of law and management*, 59(6), 1337-1358.
- Zaccaro, S. J. (2007). Trait-based perspectives of leadership. *American psychologist*, 62(1), 6-16. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.62.1.6>
- Lewin, K., Lippitt, R., & White, R. K. (1939). Patterns of aggressive behavior in experimentally created "social climates". *The Journal of social psychology*, 10(2), 269-299.
- Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (Eds.). (1994). *Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership*. sage.
- Bass, B. M. (1985). Leadership and performance beyond expectations.
- Diamantidis, A. D., & Chatzoglou, P. (2019). Factors affecting employee performance: an empirical approach. *International journal of productivity and performance management*, 68(1), 171-193.
- Chandrasekar, K. (2011). Workplace environment and its impact on organisational performance in public sector organisations. *International journal of enterprise computing and business systems*, 1(1), 1-19.
- Aburub, F. A. F., Mohammad, S. I., Jahmani, K., Vasudevan, A., Al-Momani, A. A. M., Barhoom, F. N. I., ... & Mohammad, A. I. (2024). The Impact of Machine Learning on Business Processes: A Bibliometric Analysis. In *Frontiers of Human Centricity in the Artificial Intelligence-Driven Society 5.0* (pp. 1299-1309). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Abusalma, A., Masadeh, M., Mohammad, A. A. S., Barhoom, F. N. I., Mohammad, S. I., Abueid, A. I., ... & Kutieshat, R. J. (2025). Impact of Green Supply Chain Practices on Performance of Healthcare Sector in Jordan. In *Artificial Intelligence, Sustainable Technologies, and Business Innovation: Opportunities and Challenges of Digital Transformation* (pp. 521-534). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

- Aryanti, I., & Perkasa, D. H. (2024). The Effect of Leadership Compensation and Work Discipline on Employee Performance (Study at PT Panca Putra Solusindo Jakarta). *Review: Journal of Multidisciplinary in Social Sciences*, 1(04), 98-108.
- Barhoom, F. N. I., Wah, K. K., Abueid, A. I., Abusalma, A., Masadeh, M., Al sarayreh, A., ... & Mohammad, A. A. S. (2025). Continuous Improvement: Exploring the Relationship Between Six Sigma Implementation and Organizational Agility in the Jordan Customs Department. In *Artificial Intelligence, Sustainable Technologies, and Business Innovation: Opportunities and Challenges of Digital Transformation* (pp. 817-830). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Barhoom, F. N., Alsakarneh, G. K. S., & Almetrami, R. A. (2025). Green Servitization and Sustainability in Manufacturing: The Mediating Role of Circular Economy Practices and the Moderating Effect of Environmental, Social, and Governance Compliance in Jordan. *Sustainable Trends and Business Research*, 3(1), 49-61.
- Barhoom, F. N., Wah, K. K., & Alnoor, A. (2025). A Systematic Literature Review on Using Six Sigma Methodology to Improve Customs Service Quality: Evidence from MENA Region. *International Journal of Academic Reserach in Economics and Management Sciences*, 14(1), 323-348.
- Barhoom, F. N., Wah, K. K., & Alnoor, A. (2025). Intellectual Capital and Customs Service Quality: A Theoretical Framework in the Context of SDGS. *International Journal of Academic Reserach in Economics and Management Sciences*, 14(3), 893-909.
- Celestin, M., & Sujatha, S. (2024). Exploring leadership styles and innovation: How transformational leadership drives creativity and competitiveness in business. *International Journal of Applied and Advanced Scientific Research*, 9(2), 88-95.
- Hamdan, G., Edward, C., & Kristianir, Z. (2024). Exploring the impact of transformational leadership on employee performance: Insights into organizational effectiveness and leadership behavior. *Inspirasi & Strategi (INSPIRAT): Jurnal Kebijakan Publik & Bisnis*, 14(2), 73-82.
- Imran, M., Li, J., Bano, S., & Rashid, W. (2025). Impact of democratic leadership on employee innovative behavior with mediating role of psychological safety and creative potential. *Sustainability*, 17(5), 1879.
- Januarharyono, Y., Satibi, I., & Muliawaty, L. (2025). The Influence of Organizational Culture and Democratic Leadership Style on Employee Performance at the Trade and Industry Service of Bandung Regency. *TEC EMPRESARIAL*, 20(1), 164-177.
- Maayah, E. I., Abu Aqoula, B. M. A., & Barhoom, F. N. (2025). The Role of IPSAS in Enhancing Transparency and Accountability in the Public Sector of Jordan: A Literature Review. *International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance & Management Sciences*, 15(1), 413-424.
- Mohammad, A. A. S., Barhoom, F. N. I., Alshurideh, M. T., Almohaimmeed, B. M., Al Oraini, B., Abusalma, A., ... & Kutieshat, R. J. (2025). Impact of Green Supply Chain Practices on Customer Satisfaction of Industrial Sector in Jordan. In *Artificial Intelligence, Sustainable Technologies, and Business Innovation: Opportunities and Challenges of Digital Transformation* (pp. 803-816). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Mohammad, A. A. S., Masadeh, M., Al sarayreh, A., Vasudevan, A., Barhoom, F. N. I., Mohammad, S. I., ... & Alrfai, M. M. (2024). The Impact of the Green Supply Chain Management Practices on the Social Performance of Pharmaceutical Industries. In *Frontiers of Human Centricity in the Artificial Intelligence-Driven Society 5.0* (pp. 325-339). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

- Mohammad, S. I., Vasudevan, A., Barhoom, F. N. I., Almajali, R. M. I., Al-Ayed, S. I., Al-Momani, A. A. M., ... & Mohammad, A. A. S. (2024). Key Themes and Future Directions in Transformational Leadership: A Bibliometric Study. In *Frontiers of Human Centricity in the Artificial Intelligence-Driven Society 5.0* (pp. 401-411). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Rehan, A., Thorpe, D., & Heravi, A. (2024). Project manager's leadership behavioural practices—A systematic literature review. *Asia Pacific Management Review*, 29(2), 165-178.
- Saripudin, A., & Perkasa, D. H. (2024). The Influence of Work Environment, Leadership Style and Workload on Employee Job Satisfaction. *Review: Journal of Multidisciplinary in Social Sciences*, 1(06), 200-210.
- Suprayitno, D. (2024). The Influence of Leadership on Employee Performance. *Journal of Law, Social Science and Humanities*, 1(2), 87-91.
- Wahyuwardhana, M. H., & Wisesa, A. (2024). The role of democratic transformational leadership style in supporting clan organizational culture. *International Journal of Current Science Research and Review*, 7(2), 1312-1324.