

# Bridging the EV Compliance Gap: Environmental Regulation and New Opportunities in Automotive Inspection

Xiugen Wang<sup>1</sup>, Basheer Al-haimi<sup>2</sup>, Farah Akmar Anor Salim<sup>3</sup>,  
Hong Gong<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1,3</sup>Azman Hashim International Business School, Uniesiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia,

<sup>4</sup>College of Business, City University of Hong Kong

Corresponding Author Email: rhkent1@gmail.com

**DOI Link:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v16-i1/27441>

**Published Date:** 26 January 2026

## Abstract

The global automotive industry is currently undergoing a significant transformation driven by the dual imperatives of climate change mitigation and digital transformation. However, a critical "compliance gap" has emerged between the rapid adoption of Electric Vehicles (EVs) and the capabilities of traditional vehicle inspection infrastructure, which remains predominantly designed for Internal Combustion Engine (ICE) emissions; simultaneously, fragmented global standards and information asymmetries in battery valuation create distinct International Opportunity Recognition pathways that have received limited scholarly attention. This paper conducts a comprehensive literature review from 2015 to 2025 to analyze how environmental regulations act as a primary driver for International Opportunity Recognition (IOR) in the automotive inspection market. The study traces the industry's evolution through three distinct phases: the Compliance-Driven Era, the Technological Adaptation Era, and the current Sustainability & EV Ecosystem Era. The findings suggest that tightening policies, such as the EU Green Deal and the implementation of the Battery Passport, should not be viewed merely as administrative burdens but as catalysts for business model innovation. Specifically, the integration of Digital Twins and Artificial Intelligence (AI) enables a shift from static Periodic Technical Inspection (PTI) to Predictive PTI (P-PTI) and asset valuation services. The paper concludes that inspection stations are poised to evolve into sustainability hubs, playing a pivotal role in the circular economy and the global trade of used EVs, and that future research should employ International Opportunity Recognition frameworks to systematically examine how service infrastructure providers identify and operationalize opportunities driven by environmental regulatory pressures.

**Keywords:** Automotive Inspection, International Opportunity Recognition (IOR), Environmental Regulations, Electric Vehicles (EV), Digital Twin, Circular Economy

## Introduction

### *Background of the Study*

The global automotive industry is currently navigating its most significant transformation in a century, driven by the dual imperatives of climate change mitigation and digital transformation. As global warming intensifies, governments worldwide have accelerated the implementation of stringent environmental regulations. Major initiatives such as the European Union's "Green Deal" and China's "Dual Carbon" goals (Carbon Peaking and Carbon Neutrality) are fundamentally reshaping the operational landscape of the transportation sector. These policies are no longer limited to controlling exhaust emissions but have expanded to encompass the entire lifecycle of vehicles, particularly focusing on the sustainability of New Energy Vehicles (NEVs).

In this context, the role of vehicle inspection—traditionally a compliance-driven activity—is being redefined. According to Avasilcăi et al. (2025), the integration of digital technologies is pivotal in supporting sustainable consumption patterns in the automotive industry. Their research highlights that digitalization offers new pathways for transparency and efficiency, enabling stakeholders to move beyond mere regulatory adherence toward creating value-driven sustainable ecosystems. This shift is supported by broader institutional evidence. Roh and Yu (2024) demonstrate that a "two-track institutional approach"—combining regulatory mandates with market-based incentives—significantly accelerates green innovation. Additionally, Chen et al. (2024) provide empirical validation that institutional quality moderates the effectiveness of environmental regulations, with properly designed regulatory frameworks enhancing innovation and competitive advantage. These findings suggest that the current wave of environmental regulations in the automotive sector should not be viewed as burdens, but as institutional catalysts for systematic business model transformation.

This transition requires a fundamental shift in corporate environmental strategies, moving from defensive compliance to proactive business model innovation (Broccardo et al., 2025). Consequently, environmental regulations are evolving from administrative burdens into catalysts for technological innovation and new market opportunities. To visualize this paradigm shift, Figure 1.1 illustrates how tightening regulations act as a "pressure mechanism" that forces the evolution of the inspection industry.




Figure 1.1: The Conceptual Framework of Regulatory-Driven Innovation

### Problem Statement

Despite the aggressive rollout of environmental policies, a critical disconnect exists between the rapid advancement of automotive technology and the capabilities of current inspection infrastructure. Traditional Periodic Technical Inspection (PTI) stations are predominantly designed for Internal Combustion Engine (ICE) vehicles, focusing heavily on tailpipe emission testing and mechanical safety checks. However, the surge in Electric Vehicle (EV) adoption has exposed a "technological lag" in the inspection sector (Verma et al., 2024). The core component of an EV, the battery, remains largely opaque to traditional inspection methods. As early as a decade ago, Castillo (2015) identified the discrepancy between emerging electric vehicle battery standards and the associated testing procedures, warning of the need for standardized assessment protocols. Ten years later, this gap has widened into a systemic risk. Without standardized and reliable methods to evaluate the State of Health (SOH) and residual value of EV batteries, the secondary market for EVs faces severe information asymmetry (Park et al., 2025). As a result, inspection stations risk becoming technically obsolete. This "Compliance Gap" represents not only a threat but a significant unaddressed market problem that demands entrepreneurial solutions. While the technical gap is acknowledged, there is limited research on how these regulatory pressures can be leveraged as specific drivers for International Opportunity Recognition (IOR), turning a local compliance issue into a global business opportunity.

Existing literature on automotive inspection has primarily examined the sector through technical compliance and policy analysis lenses (Barbosa, 2016; Nakamoto & Kagawa, 2018), while recent work on environmental regulation and green innovation (Roh & Yu, 2024; Chen et al., 2024) has predominantly focused on manufacturing and energy sectors rather than service infrastructure. Notably absent is systematic empirical examination of how inspection stations—as regulatory gatekeepers in a transforming industry—recognize and operationalize entrepreneurial opportunities across national boundaries. This research gap is particularly significant given that standardization disparities and information asymmetries in EV battery valuation create distinct entrepreneurial pathways that have not been thoroughly analyzed through an International Opportunity Recognition framework.

Table 1.1

#### *The Capability Gap in Current Vehicle Inspection*

Feature	Traditional Inspection (Focus: ICE)	Modern Regulatory Demands (Focus: EV & Sustainability)	The Gap (Problem)
Core Object	Tailpipe Emissions (CO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> )	Battery Health (SOH) & Carbon Footprint	Lack of non-intrusive battery testing standards.
Data Source	Physical Probes & Basic OBD	Real-time Data Streams (BMS) & AI Prediction	Inability to process dynamic vehicle data.
Business Value	Regulatory Compliance (Pass/Fail)	Asset Valuation (Residual Value) & Lifecycle Management	Missed opportunity for value-added services.
Operational Model	Static, Offline Stations	Digital, Remote, and Platform-based	Infrastructure rigidity limits scalability.

### *Research Objectives*

In light of the theoretical foundations presented above and the critical research gap identified, this study aims to systematically explore how environmental regulations—operating through institutional pressures, technical standardization requirements, and market information gaps—serve as primary drivers for international opportunity recognition in the automotive inspection market. Specifically, this paper seeks to:

1. Analyze the evolution of the vehicle inspection industry from a compliance-based model to an innovation-driven ecosystem between 2015 and 2025.
2. Evaluate how the shift in regulations—from emission control to carbon management—creates specific entrepreneurial opportunities in EV diagnostics and digital services.
3. Provide recommendations for future research on integrating AI and circular economy principles into the global inspection framework.

By examining this evolution, the study argues that environmental regulations should be viewed not as constraints, but as the primary engine for business model innovation in the modern automotive services sector.

### **Literature Review**

This section provides a critical analysis of the scholarly literature regarding the vehicle inspection industry's transformation over the past decade. It examines the theoretical underpinnings of regulatory-driven innovation and traces the evolutionary trajectory of the sector from a compliance-focused model to a sustainability-oriented ecosystem between 2015 and 2025.

#### *Theoretical Foundation: Regulation, Innovation, and Opportunity Recognition*

The evolution of the vehicle inspection industry is best understood through the lens of Institutional Theory, Business Model Innovation (BMI), and the specific mechanism of International Opportunity Recognition (IOR).

#### *Institutional Theory and Business Model Innovation*

Institutional Theory suggests that firms are not passive entities but adapt their strategies in response to regulatory pressures. Recent studies reinforce this view in the context of green sustainability. Roh and Yu (2024) demonstrate that a "two-track institutional approach", combining regulatory mandates with support mechanisms, effectively drives corporate green innovation. This theoretical perspective is crucial for understanding how inspection firms are pivoting from merely adhering to emission laws to developing proprietary green technologies. Furthermore, Chen et al. (2024) provide empirical evidence that institutional quality acts as a critical moderator, significantly enhancing green innovation and energy efficiency when supportive regulatory frameworks are in place.

Complementing this is the theory of Business Model Innovation (BMI). In the face of climate change, companies are compelled to fundamentally restructure how they create and capture value. Broccardo et al. (2025) emphasize that this transition requires a "cultural shift" within environmental strategies, moving from defensive compliance to proactive value creation. For the inspection industry, this means shifting the business logic from "detecting failures" to "ensuring sustainability."

*The Role of International Opportunity Recognition (IOR)*

The shift from national compliance to global sustainability mandates transforms the challenge into an issue of International Opportunity Recognition (IOR). In the context of environmental regulation, IOR manifests when entrepreneurs identify and evaluate profit-generating possibilities across national borders driven by regulatory disparities and standardization gaps. Recent empirical studies on international entrepreneurship (Roh & Yu, 2024; Chen et al., 2024) establish that regulatory signals and institutional pressures fundamentally shape entrepreneurial alertness and opportunity evaluation processes. In infrastructure-dependent sectors like vehicle inspection, where compliance crises create acute technical and information gaps, these signals are particularly salient. The COVID-19 pandemic and subsequent EV adoption surge have accelerated this process—inspection stations in strictly regulated markets (EU, China) are now actively exporting their solutions to markets with less mature regulatory frameworks but equally urgent EV adoption challenges.

As noted by Avasilcăi et al. (2025), digital technologies enable stakeholders to move beyond regulatory adherence, effectively recognizing opportunities to create new value-driven ecosystems. This creates a first-mover advantage for firms that can transfer technological solutions from highly regulated markets (e.g., the EU) to emerging markets, or for those who establish new global standards for EV valuation.

*Environmental Regulation and Competitive Advantage: The Institutional Threshold Perspective*

Beyond institutional and innovation theory, the relationship between environmental regulation and competitive advantage is theoretically grounded in the Porter Hypothesis—the proposition that well-designed regulations can stimulate innovation and enhance competitiveness. Recent empirical findings validate this dynamic with important nuances: Chen et al. (2024) demonstrate that institutional quality acts as a critical threshold moderator, whereby the positive relationship between environmental regulation and green innovation becomes pronounced only when countries surpass a minimum institutional threshold. This institutional threshold perspective enriches Porter's original hypothesis by showing that regulatory stringency alone is insufficient; rather, the synergistic interplay between regulatory pressure and institutional capacity determines whether regulations drive innovation or merely impose costs.

In technology-intensive, infrastructure-dependent sectors like vehicle inspection, this dynamic proves particularly salient. Markets with stringent inspection standards and robust institutional frameworks (e.g., EU, China) have incentivized local firms to develop sophisticated diagnostic technologies. These firms, having overcome the institutional challenges of developing under strict regulation, possess a competitive advantage—regulatory legitimacy and technological sophistication—that proves highly transferable to less-regulated markets where the regulatory imperative is emerging but institutional capacity for compliance is nascent.

*Evolution of the Vehicle Inspection Industry (2015–2025)*

The literature reveals a distinct three-phase evolution in how the industry responds to environmental regulations. This trajectory moves from static compliance to dynamic, data-driven ecosystem management ( See figure 2.1 )




Figure 2.1: The Evolutionary Trajectory of Vehicle Inspection (2015–2025)

#### *Phase 1: Compliance-Driven Era (2015–2018)*

In the mid-2010s, the academic and industrial focus was predominantly on tightening standards for internal combustion engines (ICE). Literature from this period emphasizes technical compliance with government mandates. Barbosa (2016) provided a comprehensive assessment of heavy-duty emission standards, focusing on the engineering challenges of engine after-treatment systems. Similarly, Nakamoto and Kagawa (2018) analyzed the role of Japan's vehicle inspection policy, viewing it primarily as a policy instrument for climate mitigation through the accelerated retirement of older, high-emitting vehicles. During this phase, the business model was static: inspection stations acted solely as gatekeepers of regulatory standards, with limited scope for value-added services.

#### *Phase 2: Technological Adaptation Era (2019–2021)*

As regulations became more stringent, such as the implementation of Euro 6d standards, the literature shifted toward the accuracy of measurement technologies. A key development was the introduction of solid particle number (PN) limits. Melas et al. (2021) evaluated the effectiveness of PN sensors for Periodic Technical Inspection (PTI), marking a significant shift from measuring gross pollutants to detecting microscopic particulates. Although Jing et al. (2023) published their work later, their retrospective analysis of heavy-duty vehicle regulations highlights how this transitional period laid the foundation for the next generation of monitoring standards, emphasizing the need for more robust, data-driven regulatory frameworks. This phase represented a technical upgrade but remained within the traditional paradigm of physical tailpipe testing.

*Phase 3: Sustainability & EV Ecosystem Era (2022–2025)*

The current phase represents a paradigm shift driven by the mass adoption of Electric Vehicles (EVs) and digital transformation. The literature now focuses heavily on Battery State of Health (SOH) and Artificial Intelligence (AI).

The most critical innovation in this era is the development of non-intrusive battery diagnostics. Park et al. (2025) introduced a breakthrough technology for residual value evaluation based on partial discharge capacity, using driving data and software techniques. This innovation transforms the inspection station from a regulator into a critical player in the used EV market, addressing the issue of asset valuation. Supporting this, Camargo-Trigueros et al. (2024) and Seol et al. (2023) explored advanced methods like TimeGAN (Generative Adversarial Networks) for SOH estimation, demonstrating the industry's move toward high-tech, algorithmic diagnostics. Furthermore, Golgar et al. (2022) highlighted the use of GPS coordinates for route recognition, enabling more precise, context-aware emission and energy consumption monitoring.

To summarize the distinct characteristics of these phases, Table 2.1 compares the key dimensions of this evolution.

Table 2.1  
*Comparative Analysis of Industry Evolution (2015–2025)*

Dimension	Phase 1: Compliance (2015-2018)	Phase 2: Adaptation (2019-2021)	Phase 3: Ecosystem (2022-2025)
Primary Driver	Government Mandates (Top-down)	Technical Precision Requirements	Market Demand & Circular Economy
Key Technology	Gas Analyzers, Opacimeters	Particle Counters (PN), Sensors	AI Algorithms, Cloud Data, BMS Analysis
Core Object	Tailpipe Emissions	Fine Particulates	Battery Health (SOH) & Data
Business Value	License to Operate	Improved Accuracy	Asset Valuation & Sustainability
Representative Literature	Barbosa (2016); Nakamoto & Kagawa (2018)	Melas et al. (2021)	Park et al. (2025); Verma et al. (2024)

*The Digital Transformation: From Compliance to Predictive Value*

The core of the current paradigm shift lies in the integration of advanced digital technologies and new regulatory frameworks like the EU Battery Passport.

*The Digital Twin as the Foundation for Predictive Inspection*

A Digital Twin is a living, data-driven virtual replica of a physical asset—in this case, a vehicle or its critical components like the EV battery. It integrates CAD models, simulation tools, and real-time IoT sensor data to continuously mirror performance [S&P Global, 2025]. For the inspection industry, the Digital Twin is not merely a visualization tool; it is the engine that transforms the traditional, static inspection model into a dynamic, predictive value-creation service (Alhaimi, B, et al., 2025).

The application of Digital Twins in the automotive sector is rapidly expanding, driven by the complexity of EVs. Key benefits for the inspection and after-sales market include:

**Predictive Maintenance (P-PTI):** By continuously monitoring field data and comparing it against the twin's simulated degradation models, inspection stations can predict component failures (e.g., battery degradation, motor wear) before they occur. This enables a shift from fixed-interval Periodic Technical Inspection (PTI) to a Predictive PTI (P-PTI) model, where a vehicle is only called in for inspection when the twin indicates a high probability of non-compliance or failure.

**Asset Valuation and Residual Value:** The Digital Twin provides a transparent, auditable history of the vehicle's usage, charging cycles, and environmental exposure. This eliminates information asymmetry in the used EV market, allowing for accurate, data-driven residual value certification, which is a significant value-added service for inspection firms.

**Data Governance and Traceability:** The integrity of the Digital Twin relies on robust Governance and Version Control [CTO Magazine, 2025]. Tools for model auditing and simulation traceability are essential to ensure that the data used for inspection and certification is reliable and tamper-proof. This is particularly critical for regulatory compliance, as it allows authorities to audit the virtual inspection process.

#### *The Rise of the EU Battery Passport and its Global Implications*

A key development in the regulatory landscape is the introduction of the EU Battery Passport, mandated by EU Regulation 2023/1542. Starting February 2027, this regulation requires that every electric vehicle battery, industrial battery over 2 kWh, and light means of transport battery entering the EU market must have a digital passport. This initiative represents the most significant standardization effort in the automotive industry to date and is set to have broad global implications.

The EU Battery Passport aims to create a complete digital record of a battery's entire lifecycle, from raw material sourcing to end-of-life recycling. It will include extensive information, such as manufacturing details, chemical makeup, performance metrics, and, most importantly, its State of Health (SOH). This addresses a key limitation of the current system, where the value and condition of a used battery are largely unclear.

The introduction of the Battery Passport is a direct response to the challenges of the circular economy. By providing a transparent and verifiable record of a battery's history, it will support its reuse, repurposing, and recycling. This, in turn, will create new markets for second-life batteries and lessen the environmental impact of the automotive industry. However, implementing the Battery Passport also presents significant hurdles. The regulation requires real-time monitoring of battery health, which exceeds the capabilities of conventional Battery Management Systems (BMS). Advanced sensors and data analytics will be necessary to track the dynamic nature of battery degradation [Metis Engineering, 2025]. Additionally, the global scope of the automotive supply chain means that the EU's regulations will create ripple effects worldwide, compelling manufacturers and suppliers to adopt new standards and technologies.

**Discussion**

This section consolidates the findings from the literature review to explore the broader implications of the industry's evolution. It asserts that the merging of environmental regulations and digital technologies is forming a new "International Inspection Ecosystem." This ecosystem provides three distinct pathways for International Opportunity Recognition (IOR): the shift toward predictive maintenance, the growth of the circular economy "gatekeeper" role, and the rise of carbon auditing services.

*From Static to Predictive*

**The P-PTI Business Model** The literature review identified a shift from static, physical inspections to dynamic, data-driven monitoring. This change, driven by Digital Twin technology (S&P Global, 2025) and AI (Verma et al., 2024), challenges the traditional Periodic Technical Inspection (PTI) business model. Traditionally, PTI stations operated on a "fail-and-fix" approach, creating value only when a vehicle physically visited the station. However, integrating Digital Twins enables a "Predictive PTI" (P-PTI) model. By continuously analyzing data streams from the vehicle's Battery Management System (BMS), inspection companies can now provide real-time asset monitoring services. This reflects the "cultural shift" described by Broccardo et al. (2025), where firms move from defensive compliance to proactive value creation. For international entrepreneurs, this opens a market for "Remote Inspection Certification." Instead of establishing physical stations in each target market, companies can export their proprietary AI algorithms and Digital Twin platforms to verify vehicle health remotely. This is especially relevant for cross-border EV trade, where buyers need confidence in battery health without physical access to the vehicle.

*The "Gatekeeper" of the Circular Economy*

One of the most significant findings from the evolution analysis is the critical "Compliance Gap" in evaluating EV battery health (Castillo, 2015; Park et al., 2025). The introduction of the EU Battery Passport transforms this gap into a strategic opportunity. As regulations mandate the traceability of batteries from production to recycling, inspection stations are uniquely positioned to act as the "Gatekeepers" of the circular economy.

Drawing on the environmental management principles discussed by Chipriyanova et al. (2024), we propose a new conceptual model: the Integrated Inspection Hub.




Figure 3.1: Future Concept - The Integrated Inspection Hub

As illustrated in Figure 3.1, the modern inspection station evolves from a simple checkpoint into a multi-functional hub performing three critical activities:

- 1. Triage and Valuation:** Using the advanced diagnostics described by Park et al. (2025) and Seol et al. (2023), the station determines whether a used EV battery should be reused in a vehicle, repurposed for stationary storage (second-life), or sent for recycling. This "Triage" function creates high-value data for the Battery Passport.
- 2. Reverse Logistics Node:** The station serves as a certified collection point for end-of-life batteries, solving the logistical challenge of hazardous waste transport.
- 3. Certification Authority:** By verifying the data integrity of the Digital Twin, the station provides the "trust" needed for the secondary market to function. This model addresses the "information asymmetry" problem identified in the Problem Statement. By standardizing the valuation process, inspection firms facilitate the global trade of used EVs, effectively becoming the infrastructure providers for the international circular economy.

#### *Expanding the Mandate*

Inspection Stations as "Carbon Auditors" While Albuquerque et al. (2023) provided a framework for measuring sustainability within logistics companies, their scope was limited to internal audits. The literature suggests a broader opportunity for inspection stations to act as independent, third-party "Carbon Auditors."

As global regulations tighten around Scope 3 emissions (indirect emissions in the supply chain), logistics fleets face increasing pressure to verify their carbon footprint. The data-

driven inspection capabilities highlighted by Golgar et al. (2022), specifically route recognition and energy consumption monitoring—can be repurposed for carbon auditing. Inspection stations can leverage this data to provide an independent certification of a fleet's environmental performance.

This represents a form of International Opportunity Recognition where firms transfer their "regulatory competence" from vehicle safety to environmental accounting. By offering "Green Certifications" alongside "Safety Certifications," inspection firms can differentiate themselves in the global market, particularly in regions like the EU where "Green Deal" policies link market access to environmental performance (Avasilcăi et al., 2025).

### *Bridging the Standardization Gap*

A major obstacle to seizing these opportunities is the fragmentation of global standards. While the EU leads with the Battery Passport (Metis Engineering, 2025), other markets fall behind. This regulatory gap creates a unique IOR scenario called "Regulatory Arbitrage and Transfer." Firms that succeed in innovating to meet strict EU standards gain a competitive advantage. They can then "transfer" these high standards to emerging markets that lack strong regulatory frameworks but have high EV adoption rates. By exporting their "EU-compliant" inspection technologies, these firms essentially export the regulatory standard itself, positioning themselves as the de facto standard-setters in new markets. This supports the idea that institutional quality and regulatory pressure are not hurdles but crucial drivers of international green innovation (Chen et al., 2024; Roh & Yu, 2024).

### **Summary of Discussion**

The synthesis of recent literature confirms that the automotive inspection industry is no longer a static, domestic sector. The convergence of Digital Twins, AI, and circular economy mandates has redefined the "inspection" value proposition. It has shifted from a localized "license to operate" model to a globalized "asset valuation and sustainability assurance" service. The Integrated Inspection Hub (Figure 3.1) represents the physical manifestation of this shift, offering a concrete roadmap for entrepreneurs to capture value in the era of the EU Battery Passport and global decarbonization.

### **Conclusion and Future Recommendations**

#### *Conclusion*

This study set out to analyze how environmental regulations act as a primary driver for opportunity recognition in the global vehicle inspection industry. Through a comprehensive review of literature from 2015 to 2025, the findings confirm that the sector is undergoing a profound metamorphosis. The evolution has been characterized by three distinct phases: the Compliance-Driven Era, where firms focused on meeting basic emission standards; the Technological Adaptation Era, marked by precision sensing; and the current Sustainability & EV Ecosystem Era, defined by AI integration and battery health management.

The central conclusion of this paper is that environmental regulations are no longer mere constraints to be managed but are the very source of competitive advantage and International Opportunity Recognition (IOR). The introduction of the EU Battery Passport and the rise of Digital Twin technology have created a "compliance gap" that innovative firms can bridge. Success in this new landscape depends on transforming inspection stations from static

checkpoints into dynamic "Integrated Inspection Hubs" that secure not just the safety of vehicles, but the sustainability of the entire mobility ecosystem.

#### *Recommendations for Future Research*

Based on the identified gaps and the trajectory of innovation, this study proposes that future academic inquiry should simultaneously address the legal and economic dimensions of this industry transformation. Future research needs to investigate the legal and ethical implications of integrating AI into statutory Periodic Technical Inspection (PTI), specifically examining how legislation can adapt to recognize certified Digital Twin outputs as equivalent to physical inspections and distribute liability for remote assessments. Concurrently, empirical research is required to validate the financial sustainability of the "Integrated Inspection Hub" concept, analyzing revenue models for inspection stations acting as circular economy gatekeepers and quantifying the economic value of battery triage and data certification for second-life markets.

#### **References**

- Albuquerque, V., Pereira, F., Rocha, J., Dias, M., Ferreira, J., L., d.P.S., J., P.d.S., & E., A. (2023). Sustainability measurement in a logistics transportation company. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 72, 48–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2023.11.3212.2>.
- Al-haimi, B., Khalid, H., Zakaria, N. H., & Jasimin, T. H. (2025). Digital transformation in the real estate industry: A systematic literature review of current technologies, benefits, and challenges. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, 5(1), 100340.
- Avasilcăi, S., Tudose, M., Gall, G., Grădinaru, A., Rusu, B., & Avram, E. (2025). Digital Technologies to Support Sustainable Consumption: An Overview of the Automotive Industry. *Sustainability*, 17(15). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17157047>
- Barbosa, F. (2016). Heavy Duty Emission Standards Assessment - An Engine and Aftertreatment Technological Approach. *SAE Technical Papers*, Part F127082(October). <https://doi.org/10.4271/2016-36-0167>
- Broccardo, L., Crocco, E., Alofaysan, H., & Mehrotra, A. (2025). Climate Change and Business Model Innovation: A Synthesis of Review and Qualitative Exploration of the Cultural Shift in Environmental Strategies. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 34(2), 1826–1846. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.4081>
- Camargo-Trigueros, E., Visairo-Cruz, N., Núñez-Gutiérrez, C., & Segundo-Ramírez, J. (2024). Partial Discharge Method for State-of-Health Estimation Validated by Real-Time Simulation. *Processes*, 12(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr12112389>
- Castillo, E. (2015). Standards for electric vehicle batteries and associated testing procedures. In *Advances in Battery Technologies for Electric Vehicles* (pp. 469–494). *Elsevier*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-78242-377-5.00018-2>
- Chen, C., Pinar, M., & Román-Collado, R. (2024). Green Innovation and Energy Efficiency: Moderating Effect of Institutional Quality Based on the Threshold Model. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 87(12), 3063–3094. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10640-024-00902-w>
- Chipriyanova, G., Atanasov, A., & Krasteva-Hristova, R. (2024). Environmental Management for Sustainable Business in Chemical Industry in Bulgaria. *Environment, Technology, Resources*, 1, 95–101. <https://doi.org/10.17770/etr2024vol1.7971>

- CTO Magazine. (2025, October 9). Digital Twin in Automotive: The Hidden Engine Powering the EV Revolution. <https://ctomagazine.com/digital-twins-for-the-ev-revolution/>
- Dutta, S., Maity, J., Mohapatra, S., & Khanra, M. (2024). Impedance Measurement Technique for Vehicle Battery Health Assessment Using On-Board CAN Signals. *2024 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Control, Instrumentation, Energy and Communication*, 378–383. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CIEC59440.2024.10468305>
- Golgar, S., Dhruv, D., & Jin, C. (2022). GPS Coordinates Based Route Recognition and Predictive Functions. *SAE Technical Papers*. <https://doi.org/10.4271/2022-28-0124>
- Jing, X., Ren, S., Wang, X., Li, T., & Fang, M. (2023). Basic ideas and development trend of heavy-duty vehicle emission regulations in next stage. *Journal of Automotive Safety and Energy*, 14(2), 133–156. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1674-8484.2023.02.001>
- Melas, A., Selleri, T., Suarez-Bertoa, R., & Giechaskiel, B. (2021). Evaluation of solid particle number sensors for periodic technical inspection of passenger cars. *Sensors*, 21(24). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21248325>
- Metis Engineering. (2025, December 8). Beyond static data: Why real-time battery health monitoring must anchor the EU Battery Passport. <https://metisengineering.com/da/beyond-static-data-why-real-time-battery-health-monitoring-must-anchor-the-eu-battery-passport/>
- Nakamoto, Y., & Kagawa, S. (2018). Role of vehicle inspection policy in climate mitigation: The case of Japan. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 224, 87–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.07.030>
- Park, J., Kim, T., Choi, J., Kang, B., & Shin, D. (2025). Residual Value Evaluation Technology Based on Partial Discharge Capacity and Extended Kalman Filter for Electric Vehicle Battery Diagnosis Technology Using Driving Data and SW Techniques. *Transactions of the Korean Society of Automotive Engineers*, 33(8), 649–658. <https://doi.org/10.7467/KSAE.2025.33.8.649>
- Roh, T., & Yu, B. (2024). Paving a Way Toward Green World: Two-Track Institutional Approaches and Corporate Green Innovation. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 71, 9244–9257. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2023.3323265>
- Seol, S., Lee, J., Yoon, J., & Kim, B. (2023). Improving SOH estimation for lithium-ion batteries using TimeGAN. *Machine Learning: Science and Technology*, 4(4). <https://doi.org/10.1088/2632-2153/acfd08>
- S&P Global. (2025, September 11). Digital Twins in the Automotive Industry Explained. <https://www.spglobal.com/automotive-insights/en/blogs/2025/08/digital-twins-in-the-automotive-industry-explained>
- Verma, J., Kanday, R., Gupta, S., & P.K., P. (2024). Role of Artificial Intelligence in Revolutionizing the Automotive Industry: A Review. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 556. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202455601039>