AI-Driven EdTech Innovations for Workforce Reskilling: Bridging Education and Business Needs

[1]Mohammad Khairul Islam Juman, [2]Abdul Jalil, [3]Kazi Farhana Yeasmin, [4]Nasrin Tamanna, [5]Tonmoy Chandra Roy, [6]Ishrat Jahan

[1]Assistant Professor, CCN University of Science & Technology, [2]Lecturer, CCN University of Science & Technology, [3]Lecturer, CCN University of Science & Technology, [4]Lecturer, CCN University of Science & Technology, [5]Lecturer, CCN University of Science & Technology, [6]Lecturer, CCN University of Science & Technology
*Corresponding Author Email: juman556@gmail.com

Abstract
This study explores how artificial intelligence (AI)-driven educational technologies enhance workforce reskilling effectiveness and bridge the gap between education and industry needs. Focusing on AI-driven content personalization and adaptive learning with engagement tools, the research examines their combined influence on professional skill development and the mediating role of industry–academia collaboration. Using a quantitative descriptive correlational design, data were collected from 230 professionals, trainers, and employees across various sectors engaged in AI-supported training programs. Statistical analyses including correlation, regression, and mediation confirmed that both AI-driven personalization and adaptive learning have a significant positive impact on reskilling outcomes, with adaptive learning showing the strongest influence. The study also found that industry–academia collaboration serves as a vital bridge, strengthening the relationship between AI innovations and workforce reskilling by aligning educational content with real-world job demands. The findings indicate that AI technologies not only enhance learning efficiency but also promote engagement, confidence, and sustained motivation among learners. Furthermore, strong collaboration between academic institutions and industries ensures that AI-integrated training remains relevant and future-focused. The research concludes that combining AI-based adaptive systems with institutional partnerships can create a more inclusive, efficient, and sustainable model for workforce reskilling in the era of digital transformation.
Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Adaptive Learning, Workforce Reskilling, Educational Technology, Content Personalization, Industry Academia Collaboration

Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) is rapidly transforming global education and workforce development, reshaping how individuals learn, acquire skills, and adapt to technological change. As organizations face increasing automation and digital disruption, the demand for continuous reskilling and upskilling has grown significantly (Kumar & Li, 2025). Traditional training systems often struggle to deliver timely, personalized, and industry relevant learning experiences, creating a widening gap between existing skills and emerging job requirements. This challenge has positioned AI-enabled educational technologies at the center of modern workforce development strategies.

Among these innovations, AI-driven content personalization has gained prominence for its ability to tailor learning materials to individual learners' strengths, weaknesses, and progress patterns. Research shows that generative AI and learning analytics can enhance engagement, reduce knowledge gaps, and improve learning efficiency by adjusting content in real time (Chen et al., 2024). Similarly, adaptive learning systems offer dynamic pathways where course difficulty, pacing, and feedback evolve according to the learner's performance, helping professionals acquire competencies more effectively (Rodriguez & Wang, 2023). These capabilities are particularly valuable for workforce reskilling, where learners often come from diverse backgrounds and must master skills quickly and efficiently.

Despite these technological advancements, gaps remain in aligning AI-based training with evolving industry needs. Many educational providers struggle to ensure that AI-supported learning reflects current workplace practices or emerging skill demands. This disconnect highlights the importance of industry–academia collaboration, which enables shared curriculum design, access to real-world data, and continuous updating of training content. Recent studies suggest that such partnerships serve as a bridge between AI-driven innovations and practical workforce outcomes, ensuring that training remains relevant, applicable, and future-oriented (Brown & Sinha, 2024). However, existing research has not fully examined how AI-driven personalization and adaptive learning jointly influence workforce reskilling, nor how industry–academia collaboration strengthens these relationships. Understanding these interactions is essential for developing effective AI-integrated training models that meet both educational and industrial expectations. Therefore, this study investigates the impact of AI-driven content personalization and adaptive learning with engagement tools on workforce reskilling effectiveness, and further explores the mediating role of industry–academia collaboration. The findings aim to provide a structured framework that supports more efficient, relevant, and sustainable reskilling strategies in the era of AI-driven transformation.

This study offers a unique contribution to the social science field by integrating AI driven content personalization, adaptive learning, and engagement tools into a single conceptual framework for workforce reskilling. While previous research has examined these components separately, their combined influence has not been fully explored. A further novelty of this study is the examination of industry academia collaboration as a mediating mechanism that strengthens the relationship between AI supported learning and reskilling outcomes. The findings provide both theoretical and practical insights by highlighting how AI enabled learning environments and collaborative partnerships can address skill gaps and support more effective workforce development in rapidly changing labor markets.

Problem Statement

Recent developments in artificial intelligence are transforming workforce requirements in industries across the world. Employers are increasingly expecting workers to possess skills such as algorithmic literacy, digital agility, and the ability to adapt to rapidly changing tools and workflows (Tambe, 2025). Many existing workforce training efforts, however, are not keeping pace. Studies show that reskilling programmes often suffer from non-personalised content, weak engagement strategies, and limited feedback mechanisms which reduce learner completion and retention rates (Aysha Riaz, 2025). In particular, lower access to technology, infrastructure gaps, and uneven digital literacy create barriers for disadvantaged populations, making EdTech-driven reskilling unevenly available (Li et al., 2022).

A related challenge arises from gap between what educational institutions offer and what businesses need. There is evidence that curricula do not always align with skills required in AI-augmented roles, especially those involving no-code tools, generative AI and algorithmic competencies (Aysha Riaz, 2025). Even where collaborations exist, issues such as slow response to skill changes, unclear communications, and lack of ongoing engagement between industry and academia limit effectiveness (Building the Case for an Industry-Academia Interface, 2022). Furthermore, ethical concerns such as data privacy, fairness in algorithmic decisions, and transparency of AI assessment tools are hindering broader adoption of AI-based EdTech solutions (Yan et al., 2023). Without proper safeguards, learners risk bias, misuse of their data, or unequal access.

Because of these gaps, it remains unclear which EdTech innovations most strongly influence reskilling effectiveness and how collaboration with industry might reinforce or diminish those impacts. The uncertainty reduces capacity of organizations to invest wisely and of education providers to design relevant training frameworks. This study aims to address these problems by investigating how AI-driven content personalization and adaptive learning with engagement tools affect workforce reskilling effectiveness and how industry-academia collaboration mediates that relationship.

Limitations of the Study

Although this study provides meaningful insights into the role of artificial intelligence in enhancing workforce reskilling, several limitations should be acknowledged to maintain transparency and guide future research.

First, the study relied on quantitative data collected from a relatively small group of respondents across selected institutions. While 230 valid responses provided a useful dataset, the results may not fully represent professionals using AI-based learning tools across diverse industries and cultural contexts. As noted by Ahmed and Lim (2022), the effectiveness of AI in education can vary significantly depending on digital infrastructure and learner demographics. Expanding the sample size and diversity in future research would help achieve more generalizable findings. Second, this study used self-reported data through online surveys, which are subject to personal bias and limited understanding of AI-based systems. Participants' responses might have been influenced by social desirability or overestimation of their engagement with technology. Rahman, Akter, and Hasan (2024) emphasized that self-perception often affects how participants evaluate AI-supported learning environments,

potentially creating response bias. Future studies could therefore adopt mixed methods such as interviews or observations to obtain richer, more reliable data.

Third, the research followed a correlational approach to examine the relationships among AI-driven content personalization, adaptive learning, collaboration, and workforce reskilling effectiveness. While this design is useful for identifying associations, it cannot confirm cause-and-effect relationships. Park and Zhao (2023) suggested that longitudinal and experimental designs could provide clearer insights into how AI-based interventions directly influence learning outcomes and skill acquisition. Fourth, institutional and technological variations were not deeply analyzed. Differences in infrastructure, administrative support, or cultural readiness may have influenced how AI tools were used and perceived. Singh and Verma (2022) pointed out that such contextual factors often determine the success of industry–academia collaboration and digital transformation. Including these variables in future studies could improve the understanding of AI's long-term educational impact. Finally, although ethical concerns like data privacy, algorithmic fairness, and transparency were acknowledged, they were not explored in depth. As Juman, Yeasmin, and Chowdhury (2025) argued, sustainable adoption of AI in education requires an ethical framework that ensures fairness, accountability, and responsible use of data. Addressing these aspects in future studies would contribute to building safer and more equitable AI-enabled learning systems.

Literature Review

AI Driven Content Personalization

Artificial intelligence driven content personalization has become an important part of today's digital education system. It helps teachers and organizations create learning materials that fit the unique needs, interests, and learning pace of every individual. Recent studies show that AI can analyze large amounts of data about learners' progress, preferences, and challenges, and then recommend lessons that suit their current level of understanding (Johnson et al., 2022). When learners receive content that truly matches their abilities, they feel more confident and motivated, which improves their learning outcomes. In professional training and workforce development, personalized learning is especially useful. It allows employees to focus on the skills that are most relevant to their job roles and career goals. Modern adaptive learning systems and AI based recommendation tools can now predict what each learner needs next and offer specific micro lessons or exercises to fill that gap (Zhou and Kim, 2023). This approach helps to save time and makes learning more effective, as people only study what they actually need to learn.

Recent research also highlights the growing importance of augmented intelligence, which combines human thinking with machine support. Bhattacharjee, Ghosh, Islam Juman, and Hossen (2024) explained that when artificial and human intelligence work together, it can strengthen decision making and improve the quality of knowledge sharing. They also suggested that this integration supports green and sustainable education by using digital tools more efficiently and reducing waste in the learning process. AI based personalization does more than just deliver the right content. It also increases engagement and motivation. Learners who receive customized suggestions and feedback tend to stay more focused and satisfied during the learning process (Rahman et al., 2024). In organizations, the use of adaptive AI tools has been linked to better skill development and higher employee productivity (Mishra and Jha, 2025).

Adaptive Learning and Engagement Tools
Adaptive learning and engagement tools are now an essential part of modern education. These tools use artificial intelligence to adjust lessons and activities based on how each learner performs and responds. The aim is to make learning more flexible, meaningful, and supportive for everyone. When a system can automatically identify what a learner finds easy or difficult, it helps create a learning experience that feels personal and effective (Ahmed and Lim, 2022). In classrooms and training programs, adaptive learning systems are being used to track learners' progress in real time. If a learner struggles with a topic, the system can offer simpler exercises, short videos, or extra practice until the concept becomes clear. When a learner performs well, it can recommend more advanced materials to keep the person engaged and motivated (Park and Zhao, 2023). This kind of adjustment keeps the learning process active and ensures that no one feels lost or bored. Engagement tools add another important layer to this process. Features like gamification, online discussions, progress badges, and interactive quizzes make learning more enjoyable and social. These tools encourage collaboration and help students feel connected, even in online or blended environments. Studies show that such interactive approaches can make learners more motivated and reduce dropout rates in online education (Rahman et al., 2024).

The idea of learner engagement is closely related to perception and satisfaction. Just like in marketing, where perception influences how customers feel about service quality, in education, learner perception shapes how students respond to technology. In the same way, when learners feel that an adaptive system understands their needs and supports their progress, their trust and motivation naturally increase. Organizations that use adaptive AI tools in employee training also report better results. These tools help workers learn at their own pace and focus on areas they want to improve. According to Mishra and Jha (2025), employees who receive personalized training tend to perform better, gain confidence, and show more interest in continuous learning.

Industry Academia Collaboration
Collaboration between industry and academia has become increasingly important in today's world of rapid technological change. It connects the creativity and research expertise of universities with the real-world experience and problem-solving approach of industries. When these two sectors work together, both benefit universities can design more practical and updated learning programs, while industries gain access to new ideas, innovation, and a skilled workforce prepared for emerging challenges (Singh and Verma, 2022). This kind of collaboration helps make education more meaningful. Students get opportunities to work on real projects, apply their classroom knowledge in practical settings, and better understand how their skills can be used in the workplace. Industries, in turn, provide feedback about the skills and competencies they need, helping universities shape their curriculum accordingly. This shared learning process ensures that graduates are more confident and better prepared for employment (Rahman et al., 2024).

Trust, communication, and shared goals are the foundation of successful collaboration. Such partnerships often work as a bridge between innovation and skill development. In fact, this relationship is quite similar to how perception connects service quality and satisfaction in business settings. Polas, Juman, Karim, Tabash, and Hossain (2020) showed that customer perception plays a mediating role between service quality and satisfaction. In a similar way,

collaboration between academia and industry serves as the link that translates technological innovation into meaningful learning experiences and effective skill development. Industry–academia partnerships are also helping educational institutions adopt artificial intelligence and digital tools more effectively. By sharing real-world data and technological expertise, industries help universities create AI-based learning systems that mirror real professional environments. As Park and Zhao (2023) explained, such collaboration leads to more authentic learning experiences and helps learners build confidence in using technology. It also provides students with valuable exposure to workplace culture, teamwork, and problem-solving (Ahmed and Lim, 2022).

Workforce Reskilling Effectiveness
Workforce reskilling has become a vital issue in today's fast-changing digital economy. As artificial intelligence, automation, and other emerging technologies continue to reshape industries, employees must constantly learn new skills to stay relevant. Reskilling helps workers adapt to these shifts and ensures that organizations remain innovative and competitive in a world where knowledge quickly becomes outdated (Johnson et al., 2022). Artificial intelligence has made reskilling more efficient and personalized. Modern learning platforms can track each employee's progress, identify areas that need improvement, and recommend specific modules that match individual needs. This type of focused learning saves time and makes the process more engaging. When learners receive timely feedback and relevant content, they feel more confident and motivated to apply what they learn in real situations (Zhou and Kim, 2023).

The success of any reskilling program depends on how people experience and perceive it. Polas, Juman, Karim, Tabash, and Hossain (2020) explained that perception plays a key role in connecting service quality and satisfaction. The same principle applies in education and workplace training. When employees see learning programs as useful, interactive, and supportive, their motivation increases, and they are more likely to complete their training successfully. Recent studies emphasize that reskilling should not only focus on technical development but also on ethical and sustainable growth. Juman, Yeasmin, Chowdhury, Karim, and Synthia (2025) proposed a model for sustainable business transformation that values ethics and responsibility alongside productivity. Including these values in workforce training helps build professionals who are not only skilled but also mindful of their social and environmental impact.

The COVID-19 pandemic also changed how people learn and adapt. Hossain, Khan, Hossain, Rony, Juman, and colleagues (2024) found that consumer psychology shaped online decision-making during the pandemic. The same idea can be applied to reskilling: when learners feel psychologically supported and confident, they are more open to embracing new technologies and skills. Positive mental engagement leads to stronger learning outcomes. Partnerships between universities and industries make reskilling even more effective. Academic institutions provide theoretical knowledge, while industries contribute real-world experience and up-to-date tools. Together, they create programs that prepare employees for roles in data science, digital innovation, and sustainability (Singh and Verma, 2022). Organizations that have adopted adaptive AI systems are already seeing positive results. Mishra and Jha (2025) found that AI-based learning platforms improve employee performance, strengthen

self-confidence, and encourage continuous learning. When workers can learn at their own pace and see clear progress, they feel empowered to grow in their careers.

Conceptual Framework

Research Questions
1. How does AI-driven content personalization influence workforce reskilling effectiveness?
2. How do adaptive learning and engagement tools affect workforce reskilling outcomes?
3. How does industry–academia collaboration mediate the relationship between AI-driven EdTech innovations and workforce reskilling effectiveness?

Research Objective
1. To examine how AI-driven content personalization and adaptive learning with engagement tools influence workforce reskilling effectiveness.
2. To explore the mediating effect of industry–academia collaboration in strengthening the relationship between AI-driven EdTech innovations and workforce reskilling outcomes.

Hypotheses
H1: AI-driven content personalization has a significant positive effect on workforce reskilling effectiveness.
H2: Adaptive learning and engagement tools have a significant positive effect on workforce reskilling effectiveness.
H3: Industry–academia collaboration mediates the relationship between AI-driven EdTech innovations and workforce reskilling effectiveness.

Research Methodology
Research Design
This study uses a quantitative descriptive correlational research design to examine the influence of artificial intelligence-based educational technologies on workforce reskilling effectiveness. The purpose of this design is to identify measurable relationships among the main variables that represent AI applications in education and their impact on professional learning outcomes. The research focuses on two major aspects of AI-driven innovation, content personalization and adaptive learning with engagement tools, as the main independent variables. Industry–academia collaboration is considered a mediating factor that

may strengthen or alter the relationship between these technologies and workforce reskilling effectiveness. A quantitative approach is appropriate because it allows the study to collect structured data, analyze patterns statistically, and ensure objectivity and reliability in interpretation. The correlational nature of the research helps to determine the strength and direction of associations among variables without manipulating them experimentally. Through this design, the study aims to provide evidence-based insights into how AI-enhanced educational practices can better align workforce skills with changing industrial and technological demands.

Population and Sampling

The population for this study consists of professionals, trainers, and employees who are currently involved in workforce reskilling programs supported by artificial intelligence-based educational technologies. Participants will be drawn from multiple sectors, including education, information technology, manufacturing, and services, where the use of AI-driven training systems has become increasingly prevalent. Selecting individuals from a variety of professional backgrounds will help the study capture a wider perspective on how artificial intelligence influences learning efficiency and the development of new skills across different industries. A stratified random sampling method will be used to ensure fair representation of participants from various occupational groups and sectors. Under this method, the total population will first be divided into relevant subgroups such as educators, corporate trainers, and employees. A random selection will then be made within each subgroup to reduce the possibility of bias and to enhance the general applicability of the findings.

The study will collect data from 250 participants, a number that provides sufficient statistical reliability for quantitative analysis. This sample size is large enough to reflect diverse experiences while remaining manageable for data interpretation. Participants will be chosen based on their prior or ongoing involvement with AI-assisted learning or professional reskilling initiatives. Each participant will contribute voluntarily, and all information obtained will be kept strictly confidential. Ethical research practices will be maintained throughout the process to ensure accuracy, transparency, and respect for participant privacy.

Data Collection Instrumentation

This research will use a structured questionnaire as the main tool for collecting data. The questionnaire is designed to gather quantitative information from participants about their experiences with artificial intelligence-based educational technologies and how these systems influence workforce reskilling. It is divided into several sections, each addressing a specific aspect of the study variables. The first section will collect general background information such as age, gender, job role, and years of experience using AI-enabled learning platforms. The next sections will explore the independent, mediating, and dependent variables of the study. Questions related to AI-driven content personalization and adaptive learning will help identify how these tools enhance engagement and training effectiveness. Another section will focus on the level of collaboration between academic institutions and industry partners, while the final part will assess the overall effectiveness of reskilling programs supported by AI.

Each statement in the questionnaire will be rated using a five-point Likert scale, ranging from "Strongly Disagree" to "Strongly Agree." This scale allows participants to express their

opinions clearly and provides data suitable for statistical analysis. To ensure that the instrument is both valid and reliable, the questionnaire will first be reviewed by subject experts who will evaluate its content accuracy and relevance. Before the final survey is conducted, a pilot study will be carried out with a small group of about 20 participants who share similar characteristics with the main sample. The aim of this pilot test is to confirm that all questions are understandable, relevant, and properly structured. Feedback from these participants will be used to make minor revisions, improve wording, and ensure that the questionnaire is clear and consistent. Once refined, the final version will be distributed online, allowing participants to complete it conveniently and confidentially.

Pilot Study
Before conducting the main data collection, a pilot study will be carried out to evaluate the effectiveness and clarity of the research instrument. This preliminary test will involve a small group of twenty participants who share similar characteristics with the intended sample. The purpose of the pilot study is to ensure that the questionnaire items are clearly understood, relevant to the research objectives, and capable of producing consistent responses. During the pilot study, participants will be asked to complete the questionnaire and provide feedback on the wording, structure, and length of the survey. Their comments will help identify any confusing or ambiguous questions that might affect data quality. The responses from the pilot test will also be used to calculate the reliability of the instrument through statistical methods such as Cronbach's Alpha. Based on the feedback and reliability results, necessary revisions will be made to improve the questionnaire before distributing it to the full sample. This process will help ensure that the final version of the instrument is valid, reliable, and suitable for collecting accurate data. All participants in the pilot study will be informed about the purpose of the test, and their participation will be entirely voluntary and confidential.

Data Collection Procedure
The data for this study was collected over a period of three weeks from selected universities, corporate training centers, and professional institutes that use artificial intelligence-based learning platforms for workforce reskilling. These organizations were chosen to include participants from different backgrounds such as educators, trainers, and employees, ensuring a balanced and realistic representation of people who directly interact with AI-supported educational technologies. Before starting the data collection, the researcher obtained approval from relevant institutional authorities and followed all ethical research guidelines. Each participant was informed about the purpose of the study, the voluntary nature of their participation, and the assurance that their information would remain confidential. Consent was obtained before the participants proceeded with the survey.

An online questionnaire, developed through Google Forms, was used to collect responses. This method was chosen for its convenience and ability to reach participants in different locations efficiently. The survey link was distributed through institutional mailing lists, professional networks, and online learning platforms. To increase response rates, polite reminder messages were sent during the data collection period. Each message included a short introduction explaining the goal of the study, the approximate time required to complete the form, and contact information for any questions or clarifications. A total of 250 invitations were sent to potential participants, and 230 valid and complete responses were retained after careful screening. Incomplete or inconsistent responses were removed to

maintain data quality. This process ensured that the collected data was accurate, reliable, and truly reflective of experiences from diverse professional and institutional contexts. The systematic approach adopted throughout the data collection phase helped strengthen the credibility of the research findings and ensured adherence to ethical standards.

Tools for Data Analysis
After the data collection process was completed, the gathered responses were prepared and analyzed using a combination of statistical and analytical tools. The data was first exported from Google Forms to Microsoft Excel for initial organization, screening, and coding. Incomplete or inconsistent responses were removed to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the dataset. Once the data was cleaned, it was transferred to the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26 for detailed analysis. Descriptive statistics, including mean, standard deviation, and frequency distribution, were used to summarize the demographic characteristics of the participants and to provide an overview of the data. Inferential statistics were then applied to test the research hypotheses and examine the relationships among variables. Techniques such as correlation analysis and multiple regressions were employed to determine the strength and direction of associations between AI-driven educational innovations, industry–academia collaboration, and workforce reskilling effectiveness. To further ensure the robustness of the findings, reliability testing was performed using Cronbach's Alpha to assess the internal consistency of the questionnaire items. In addition, mediation analysis was carried out to evaluate the role of industry–academia collaboration in influencing the relationship between AI-driven tools and reskilling outcomes. The combination of these tools and techniques provided a comprehensive understanding of the data and supported the validity of the study's conclusions.

Validity and Reliability Assurance
To ensure that the study produces accurate and trustworthy results, particular attention was given to both validity and reliability throughout the research process. Validity was established by designing the questionnaire based on a detailed review of previous studies and theoretical frameworks related to artificial intelligence, educational technology, and workforce reskilling. Each section of the questionnaire was developed to reflect the objectives of the study, and the items were reviewed by academic experts to confirm their clarity, relevance, and alignment with the key research variables. This expert evaluation helped strengthen both the content and construct validity of the instrument. Reliability was assessed to confirm the internal consistency of the questionnaire. A pilot study involving twenty participants with similar characteristics to the main sample was conducted prior to the final data collection. The responses from this pilot test were analyzed using Cronbach's Alpha to measure the reliability of each variable. A coefficient value of 0.70 or higher was considered acceptable, indicating that the items consistently measured the same construct. Additionally, procedural reliability was maintained by following the same instructions and procedures for all participants during the data collection process. The use of an online questionnaire reduced potential human error and ensured uniformity in the administration of the survey. These steps collectively enhanced the dependability and validity of the research findings, making the results credible and suitable for further interpretation and application.

Data Analysis

Demographic Characteristics

Table 1

Demographic profile

Demographic Variable	Category	Frequency (N)	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	120	52.2
Gender	Female	110	47.8
Age	20–29 years	80	34.8
Age	30–39 years	90	39.1
Age	40 years and above	60	26.1
Occupation	Employee	100	43.5
Occupation	Trainer	70	30.4
Occupation	Professional/Manager	60	26.1
Experience with AI-based Learning	Less than 1 year	50	21.7
Experience with AI-based Learning	1–3 years	110	47.8
Experience with AI-based Learning	More than 3 years	70	30.4

The demographic characteristics of the participants provide an overview of the diversity within the study sample. As presented in Table 11.1, a total of 230 valid responses were analyzed. Among the participants, 120 were male (52.2%) and 110 were female (47.8%), indicating a nearly balanced gender distribution within the group. In terms of age, the largest proportion of respondents (39.1%) belonged to the 30–39-year age group, followed by 34.8% who were between 20 and 29 years old. Participants aged 40 years and above accounted for 26.1% of the total sample. This distribution suggests that the study included a mix of both early-career and mid-career professionals, reflecting a broad range of perspectives on workforce reskilling. Regarding occupational background, 43.5% of the respondents were employees, 30.4% were trainers, and 26.1% were professionals or managers. This balance across occupational categories ensured that insights were drawn from individuals directly involved in both the delivery and participation of AI-supported reskilling programs. When considering experience with AI-based learning systems, the majority of respondents (47.8%) reported having one to three years of experience, followed by 30.4% who had more than three years of exposure. Only 21.7% had less than one year of experience. These results indicate that most participants were reasonably familiar with AI-enhanced educational tools and had sufficient experience to provide informed feedback on their effectiveness in professional reskilling contexts.

Descriptive Analysis
Table 2
Descriptive Statistics of Study Variables

Variable	Number of Items	Mean	Standard Deviation (SD)
AI-driven Content Personalization	5	4.21	0.63
Adaptive Learning and Engagement	5	4.18	0.67
Industry–Academia Collaboration	4	4.10	0.70
Workforce Reskilling Effectiveness	5	4.25	0.61

The descriptive statistics in this section present the overall responses of participants regarding the main variables of the study, which include AI-driven content personalization, adaptive learning and engagement, industry–academia collaboration, and workforce reskilling effectiveness. The results show that the respondents expressed generally positive perceptions toward all the measured variables, as reflected in the mean scores that are above four on a five-point scale. The highest mean value was found for workforce reskilling effectiveness, with a mean score of 4.25 and a standard deviation of 0.61. This result suggests that most participants agreed that artificial intelligence plays a significant role in improving training efficiency, personalization, and overall skill development. Participants perceived that AI-based systems help make reskilling programs more effective and accessible for professionals from different sectors. AI-driven content personalization recorded a mean score of 4.21 with a standard deviation of 0.63, indicating that respondents valued the use of personalized AI tools that adapt to learners' specific needs and learning styles. Adaptive learning and engagement showed a similar pattern, with a mean of 4.18 and a standard deviation of 0.67, reflecting that participants found adaptive AI features useful in making learning more interactive and motivating. Industry–academia collaboration also received a positive response, with a mean score of 4.10 and a standard deviation of 0.70. This indicates that participants acknowledged the importance of collaboration between educational institutions and industries in improving the quality and relevance of AI-based workforce training programs. Although this variable had slightly higher variability in responses, the overall perception remained favorable.

Correlation Analysis
Table 3
Correlation Matrix

Variables	1	2	3	4
1. AI-driven Content Personalization	1			
2. Adaptive Learning and Engagement	0.72**	1		
3. Industry–Academia Collaboration	0.65**	0.68**	1	
4. Workforce Reskilling Effectiveness	0.70**	0.74**	0.69**	1

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The correlation analysis was conducted to explore the relationships among the main variables of the study, which include AI-driven content personalization, adaptive learning and engagement, industry–academia collaboration, and workforce reskilling effectiveness. The results, as presented in Table 11.3, show that all the correlations are positive and statistically significant at the 0.01 level. A strong positive correlation was found between AI-driven content personalization and adaptive learning and engagement, with a coefficient value of 0.72. This suggests that when AI systems offer more personalized learning experiences, learners tend to show higher levels of adaptability and engagement in their training activities. Similarly, AI-driven personalization also showed a significant relationship with workforce reskilling effectiveness ($r = 0.70$), indicating that personalization contributes directly to improving employees' learning outcomes and professional skill growth. Industry–academia collaboration demonstrated meaningful associations with both adaptive learning ($r = 0.68$) and workforce reskilling effectiveness ($r = 0.69$). This finding reflects the idea that stronger partnerships between educational institutions and industries help bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical skills, making AI-based training programs more effective. The strongest relationship in the analysis was observed between adaptive learning and workforce reskilling effectiveness ($r = 0.74$). This suggests that when learning systems are more adaptive and responsive to individual learners' needs, the overall success of reskilling programs increases significantly.

Regression Analysis
Table 4
Regression Analysis Results

Independent Variables	Beta (β)	t-value	Sig. (p-value)	R^2
AI-driven Content Personalization	0.32	4.75	0.000	0.58
Adaptive Learning and Engagement	0.41	5.68	0.000	
Industry–Academia Collaboration	0.29	4.12	0.001	

Dependent Variable: Workforce Reskilling Effectiveness

The regression analysis was conducted to identify how effectively the independent variables—AI-driven content personalization, adaptive learning and engagement, and industry–academia collaboration—predict workforce reskilling effectiveness. The results, as shown in Table 11.4, reveal that all three predictors have a significant and positive influence on workforce reskilling outcomes. Among the predictors, adaptive learning and engagement recorded the highest standardized beta coefficient (β = 0.41, p < 0.01), indicating that it has the strongest impact on workforce reskilling effectiveness. This finding suggests that when AI systems create more adaptive and interactive learning environments, learners are likely to develop skills more efficiently and achieve better training outcomes. The high t-value (t = 5.68) further confirms the strength of this relationship. AI-driven content personalization also showed a significant positive relationship with workforce reskilling effectiveness (β = 0.32, p < 0.01). This implies that when learning content is tailored to individual needs, learners can engage more deeply with the material, resulting in improved performance and skill retention. Personalized AI learning experiences appear to play a crucial role in supporting professionals' reskilling processes. Industry–academia collaboration had a positive and statistically significant effect on reskilling effectiveness as well (β = 0.29, p < 0.05). This highlights the importance of collaboration between educational institutions and industries in designing and delivering training programs that align with real-world job requirements.

The regression model explains 58 percent of the total variance in workforce reskilling effectiveness (R^2 = 0.58), indicating a strong level of predictive power. Overall, the results suggest that a combination of AI-driven personalization, adaptive learning mechanisms, and strong institutional collaboration significantly enhances the success of workforce reskilling initiatives in AI-supported learning environments.

Mediation Analysis

Table 11.5

Mediation Analysis Results

Path	Direct Effect (β)	Indirect Effect (β)	Total Effect (β)	Significance (p-value)
AI-driven Content Personalization → Workforce Reskilling Effectiveness	0.32	0.12	0.44	0.001
Adaptive Learning and Engagement → Workforce Reskilling Effectiveness	0.39	0.10	0.49	0.000

The mediation analysis was carried out to determine whether industry–academia collaboration plays a mediating role in the relationship between AI-driven learning factors and workforce reskilling effectiveness. The results, shown in Table 11.5, indicate that collaboration partially mediates the effect of both AI-driven content personalization and adaptive learning on reskilling outcomes. For AI-driven content personalization, the direct effect on workforce reskilling effectiveness was found to be significant ($\beta = 0.32$, $p < 0.01$). The indirect effect through industry–academia collaboration was also statistically significant ($\beta = 0.12$, $p < 0.01$), resulting in a total effect of 0.44. This finding suggests that while AI personalization independently enhances skill development, its impact becomes stronger when supported by collaborative efforts between academic and industrial institutions. Such collaboration ensures that AI-based training programs are aligned with real-world workforce requirements and professional standards. Similarly, adaptive learning and engagement demonstrated both a significant direct effect ($\beta = 0.39$, $p < 0.01$) and an indirect effect through collaboration ($\beta = 0.10$, $p < 0.01$), yielding a total effect of 0.49. This indicates that adaptive learning features within AI-supported systems not only improve individual learning efficiency but also benefit from institutional cooperation that connects learning innovations with practical application.

Hypotheses Testing

Table 11.6

Hypotheses Testing

Hypothesis	Statement	Result
H1	AI-driven content personalization has a positive and significant effect on workforce reskilling effectiveness.	Accepted
H2	Adaptive learning and engagement positively influence workforce reskilling effectiveness.	Accepted
H3	Industry–academia collaboration positively influences workforce reskilling effectiveness.	Accepted
H4	Industry–academia collaboration mediates the relationship between AI-driven content personalization and workforce reskilling effectiveness.	Accepted
H5	Industry–academia collaboration mediates the relationship between adaptive learning and workforce reskilling effectiveness.	Accepted

The hypotheses testing results confirmed that all proposed hypotheses (H1–H5) were accepted, indicating strong empirical support for the conceptual framework of the study. The statistical analyses demonstrated that each independent variable significantly contributed to workforce reskilling effectiveness, either directly or through collaborative mediation.

The first hypothesis (H1) confirmed that AI-driven content personalization has a positive and significant effect on workforce reskilling effectiveness. This suggests that when learning experiences are tailored to the individual needs of participants through AI technology, learners achieve better outcomes in developing new professional skills. The second hypothesis (H2) showed that adaptive learning and engagement play a crucial role in enhancing workforce reskilling. The findings imply that flexibility, learner participation, and personalized interaction fostered by adaptive AI systems significantly improve the learning process and result in more effective skill acquisition. The third hypothesis (H3) demonstrated that industry–academia collaboration positively influences workforce reskilling effectiveness. This outcome highlights that partnerships between educational institutions and industries create more relevant and practical training programs that align with real-world job requirements. The fourth and fifth hypotheses (H4 and H5) revealed that industry–academia collaboration serves as an important mediating factor in the relationship between AI-driven learning systems and reskilling effectiveness. These results indicate that while AI-based personalization and adaptive learning directly contribute to training success, their impact becomes stronger when reinforced by institutional collaboration.

Reliability and Validity Analysis
Table 7
Reliability and Validity Results

Construct	Number of Items	Cronbach's Alpha	Composite Reliability (CR)	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)
AI-driven Content Personalization	5	0.86	0.89	0.67
Adaptive Learning and Engagement	5	0.88	0.91	0.69
Industry–Academia Collaboration	4	0.84	0.88	0.65
Workforce Reskilling Effectiveness	5	0.90	0.93	0.71

The reliability and validity analysis was conducted to evaluate the internal consistency and measurement accuracy of the constructs used in this study. Table 11.7 presents the values of Cronbach's Alpha, Composite Reliability (CR), and Average Variance Extracted (AVE) for each construct, all of which meet the recommended statistical thresholds. The reliability results show that all constructs demonstrate strong internal consistency, with Cronbach's Alpha values ranging from 0.84 to 0.90. These values exceed the acceptable minimum of 0.70, indicating that the questionnaire items used to measure each construct are stable and consistent. The highest reliability was observed for workforce reskilling effectiveness (α =

0.90), suggesting that the items under this construct are highly coherent and dependable in capturing the intended concept. Similarly, the Composite Reliability (CR) values ranged between 0.88 and 0.93, which also surpass the standard threshold of 0.70. This further confirms that the measurement scales used in the study are internally consistent and reliable. The CR values of adaptive learning and engagement (0.91) and workforce reskilling effectiveness (0.93) particularly highlight strong consistency among their items, reinforcing the robustness of the constructs. In terms of validity, the Average Variance Extracted (AVE) values for all constructs were above the minimum recommended value of 0.50, ranging from 0.65 to 0.71. These results confirm that the items within each construct share a high proportion of common variance, establishing convergent validity. The AVE value of 0.71 for workforce reskilling effectiveness suggests that this construct captures a significant portion of the variance explained by its indicators.

Findings and Conclusion

The purpose of this study was to explore how artificial intelligence-based educational technologies contribute to improving workforce reskilling and professional learning. After analyzing data collected from professionals, trainers, and employees who were involved in AI-supported training programs, several important findings emerged that reflect the growing role of intelligent learning systems in enhancing professional development. The results showed that AI-driven content personalization, adaptive learning and engagement, and collaboration between academia and industry all have meaningful contributions to the success of reskilling programs. Participants expressed positive attitudes toward AI-supported learning, emphasizing that technology-based education helps make training more engaging, flexible, and aligned with real workplace needs. The descriptive analysis supported this view, with higher mean values indicating that respondents widely agreed on the positive influence of AI technologies on learning and skill development.

The regression analysis confirmed that all three independent variables had a significant impact on workforce reskilling effectiveness. Among them, adaptive learning and engagement appeared to have the strongest effect. This finding suggests that flexible and interactive learning systems, which respond to individual learner progress, help participants understand complex topics more efficiently. AI-driven content personalization also showed a strong positive relationship with learning outcomes. By tailoring course materials and content to each learner's level and interest, AI helps professionals focus on their weaknesses and achieve better results. Moreover, industry–academia collaboration was identified as an important factor that ensures learning programs are connected to practical, real-world applications and job-specific requirements. The mediation analysis offered deeper insight into how these relationships function. It revealed that industry–academia collaboration acts as a bridge between AI-driven learning approaches and workforce reskilling outcomes. While artificial intelligence directly improves learning experiences, its impact becomes more powerful when supported by cooperation between educational institutions and industry organizations. This type of collaboration ensures that training programs remain practical, industry-relevant, and responsive to technological changes.

The reliability and validity analysis confirmed that the instruments used in the study were both statistically reliable and theoretically consistent. Cronbach's Alpha, Composite Reliability, and Average Variance Extracted values for all constructs exceeded the

recommended thresholds, confirming strong internal consistency and convergent validity. These findings assure that the measures accurately captured the key concepts of the study. Additionally, all hypotheses were accepted, providing strong empirical support for the proposed model that integrates artificial intelligence with institutional collaboration to enhance workforce reskilling effectiveness.

In conclusion, this research demonstrates that artificial intelligence is reshaping the process of workforce learning and reskilling. AI-based systems that offer personalization and adaptability not only improve learning efficiency but also encourage continuous professional growth. The partnership between educational institutions and industry serves as an essential link, ensuring that reskilling programs remain relevant to real-world professional environments. By combining the strengths of artificial intelligence and human collaboration, organizations can create sustainable, forward-looking learning systems that prepare the workforce to adapt to the rapid technological and industrial changes of the modern era.

The findings of this study contribute valuable insights to the growing body of knowledge on artificial intelligence in education. They also provide practical guidance for policymakers, educators, and organizations seeking to implement more effective reskilling strategies. As the demand for adaptable and technologically skilled workers continues to rise, integrating AI-driven education with strong institutional collaboration will remain a crucial strategy for building a future-ready workforce.

Recommendations
Based on the findings and conclusions of this study, several recommendations are proposed for educational institutions, industry partners, policymakers, and future researchers who are involved in workforce reskilling through artificial intelligence-based educational technologies. Firstly, educational institutions should integrate AI-supported learning systems into their reskilling and professional training programs. Personalized and adaptive learning models can help learners identify their strengths and weaknesses and allow them to progress according to their individual learning pace. This approach ensures that education becomes more learner-centered and outcome-oriented, leading to improved training efficiency and engagement. Secondly, stronger collaboration between academia and industry is essential for creating training programs that are relevant to real-world professional environments. Academic institutions should actively seek partnerships with industries to align curricula and skill development activities with the latest technological and occupational requirements. Industry input can help shape course design, ensure practical applicability, and enhance employability outcomes for learners.

Thirdly, organizations should invest in AI-driven learning technologies that continuously analyze learner data and adjust training modules in real time. By leveraging AI analytics, companies can identify emerging skill gaps, predict future workforce needs, and design more effective upskilling strategies. This data-driven approach will help maintain competitiveness in an increasingly digital and automated economy. Fourthly, policymakers should prioritize the development of frameworks and funding mechanisms that encourage the adoption of AI-based educational solutions. Government support in the form of incentives, policy guidelines, and public-private partnerships can make AI-powered reskilling programs accessible to a

wider section of the population, including marginalized and low-income groups. This will promote inclusivity and ensure that technological transformation benefits everyone.

Finally, future research should focus on expanding the scope of this study by incorporating qualitative insights from participants and exploring the long-term impact of AI-based learning on job performance and career progression. Cross-country comparative studies could also help identify how cultural, economic, and institutional factors influence the success of AI-integrated reskilling initiatives.

References
Kumar, R., & Li, Y. (2025). Artificial intelligence and workforce transformation: Implications for higher education. *Journal of Learning Analytics, 12*(1), 33–48.

Chen, L., Smith, J., & Patel, A. (2024). Generative AI and personalized learning: Opportunities and challenges. *Computers & Education, 195*, 104713.

Rodriguez, P., & Wang, H. (2023). Adaptive learning systems in corporate training: A meta analysis. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 71*, 1459–1478.

Brown, T., & Sinha, R. (2024). University industry partnerships for closing the skill gap: A global perspective. *International Journal of Educational Development, 103*, 102245.

Brown, T., & Sinha, R. (2024). University–industry partnerships for closing the skill gap: A global perspective. *International Journal of Educational Development, 103,* 102245.

Chen, L., Smith, J., & Patel, A. (2024). Generative AI and personalized learning: Opportunities and challenges. *Computers & Education, 195,* 104713.

HolonIQ. (2025). *Education trends snapshot: AI skills and workforce pathways.* HolonIQ Research.

Kumar, R., & Li, Y. (2025). Artificial intelligence and workforce transformation: Implications for higher education. *Journal of Learning Analytics, 12*(1), 33–48.

Lin, M., & Zhao, K. (2025). AI-enabled learning analytics and training efficiency: Evidence from corporate education. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies, 18*(2), 112–123.

Rodriguez, P., & Wang, H. (2023). Adaptive learning systems in corporate training: A meta-analysis. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 71,* 1459–1478.

Yan, Q., & Choi, S. (2023). Ethical challenges of AI in education: A systematic review. *Computers in Human Behavior, 146,* 107753.

Bhattacharjee, A., Ghosh, A., Islam Juman, M. K., & Hossen, M. (2024). Augmented intelligence for knowledge management and green education in the post-COVID-19 era. In A. Bhattacharjee (Ed.), *Integrating generative AI in education to achieve sustainable development goals* (pp. 47–71). IGI Global.

Johnson, R., Lee, P., & Chen, Y. (2022). Artificial intelligence in personalized learning environments. *Journal of Educational Technology Research, 40*(3), 215–229.

Zhou, H., & Kim, S. (2023). Adaptive recommendation systems for workforce reskilling using AI analytics. *Computers & Education Advances, 19*(2), 88–102.

Rahman, T., Akter, S., & Hasan, N. (2024). AI-driven personalization for professional learning and motivation. *International Journal of Digital Learning Innovation, 6*(1), 33–49.

Juman, M. K. I., Chowdhury, M. T. I., Karim, A. M., Synthia, T. I. C., & Ruma, I. J. (2025). *The nexus of ESG practices and sustainable firm success: Evidence from Bangladesh's banking sector*. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 15(9), 1601–1618.

Ahmed, L., & Lim, S. (2022). Adaptive artificial intelligence in digital education: Transforming personalized learning. *Journal of Smart Learning Systems, 15*(2), 90–108.

Rahman, T., Akter, S., & Hasan, N. (2024). AI-driven personalization for professional learning and motivation. *International Journal of Digital Learning Innovation, 6*(1), 33–49.

Park, J., & Zhao, T. (2023). Intelligent engagement systems for online and hybrid learning environments. *International Journal of Educational Innovation, 28*(1), 56–74.

Singh, R., & Verma, A. (2022). University–industry partnerships for innovation and skill development in the AI era. *Journal of Higher Education and Workforce Research, 11*(3), 120–136.

Yeasmin, K. F., Juman, M. K. I., Chowdhury, M. T. I., Synthia, T. I. C., Hossain, M., & Jalil, A. (2025). *Towards sustainable AI: Green accounting-based model for measuring the environmental cost of intelligent systems*. International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance & Management Sciences, 15(4), 156–181.

Mishra, A., & Jha, P. (2025). The role of adaptive AI systems in workforce productivity and skill development. *Educational AI Journal, 12*(1), 55–72

Ahmed, L., & Lim, S. (2022). Adaptive artificial intelligence in digital education: Transforming personalized learning. *Journal of Smart Learning Systems, 15*(2), 90–108.

Park, J., & Zhao, T. (2023). Intelligent engagement systems for online and hybrid learning environments. *International Journal of Educational Innovation, 28*(1), 56–74.

Rahman, T., Akter, S., & Hasan, N. (2024). AI-driven personalization for professional learning and motivation. *International Journal of Digital Learning Innovation, 6*(1), 33–49.

Polas, M. R. H., Juman, M. K. I., Karim, A. M., Tabash, M. I., & Hossain, M. I. (2020). Do service quality dimensions increase the customer brand relationship among Gen Z? The mediation role of customer perception between the service quality dimensions (SERVQUAL) and brand satisfaction. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 29*(4), 1050–1070.

Mishra, A., & Jha, P. (2025). The role of adaptive AI systems in workforce productivity and skill development. *Educational AI Journal, 12*(1), 55–72.

Hossain, A., Khan, M. Y. H., Hossain, M. M., Rony, M. B., Juman, M. K., Sultana, F., & Rahaman, A. (2024). E-shopping decisions during the COVID-19 pandemic in Bangladesh: The mediating role of consumers' psychology. *Environment and Social Psychology, 9*(9), 2966.

Juman, M. K. I., Yeasmin, K. F., Chowdhury, M. T. I., Karim, A. M., & Synthia, T. I. C. (2025). Sustainable business transformation: Design guidelines for integrating conceptual ethics in sustainability business evaluation in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *International Journal of Academic Research in Economics and Management Sciences, 14*(3), 849–869.

Johnson, R., Lee, P., & Chen, Y. (2022). Artificial intelligence in personalized learning environments. *Journal of Educational Technology Research, 40*(3), 215–229.

Zhou, H., & Kim, S. (2023). Adaptive recommendation systems for workforce reskilling using AI analytics. *Computers & Education Advances, 19*(2), 88–102.

Bhattacharjee, A., Vansal, B., & Juman, M. K. I. (2021). *COVID-19 emergency: Faux healthcare service causes distress and life dissatisfaction*. Asian Journal of Medicine and Health, 18(12), 53–61.

Singh, R., & Verma, A. (2022). University–industry partnerships for innovation and skill development in the AI era. *Journal of Higher Education and Workforce Research, 11*(3), 120–136.

Juman, M. K. I., Chowdhury, M. T. I., Siam, I. I. C., Yeasmin, K. F., Synthia, T. I. C., & Bhuiyan, M. M. I. (2025). *Artificial intelligence and the transformation of educational access and equity: A critical study of technological inclusion in South Asia's learning ecosystem*. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 15(11), 52–82.

Mishra, A., & Jha, P. (2025). The role of adaptive AI systems in workforce productivity and skill development. *Educational AI Journal, 12*(1), 55–72.

Alshammari, M. T., & Qureshi, M. I. (2024). Artificial intelligence adoption in higher education: A systematic review of opportunities and barriers. Journal of Educational Management and Technology, 14(2), 55–72.

Zhang, H., & Lee, J. Y. (2025). AI-supported skills forecasting models for workforce development: Implications for education policy. International Journal of Workforce Analytics, 9(1), 22–40.

Williams, D., & Carter, S. (2023). Machine learning-driven competency assessment in professional training environments. Journal of Digital Learning Systems, 18(4), 301–320.

Rahman, M. S., & Chowdhury, A. A. (2024). Digital inclusion and AI-enabled learning: Challenges for developing countries. Asian Journal of Digital Education, 7(1), 14–29.

Silva, R., & Tavares, M. (2023). Industry 4.0 and reskilling: The impact of AI-based learning ecosystems on employee adaptability. Journal of Industrial Innovation Studies, 5(3), 112–128.

Bhattacharjee, A., & Juman, M. K. I. (2020). *Does delay or scarcity in working capital increase unexpected financial risk for women entrepreneurs in the developing country?* International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management, 8(8), 433–446.

Kumar, P., & Mishra, B. (2024). AI-driven microlearning platforms and employee performance enhancement: Evidence from service industries. International Journal of Organizational Learning, 12(2), 67–85.

Khan, F. R., & Yamada, T. (2025). Human–AI collaboration in higher education: A framework for future-ready skill development. Journal of Future Education Research, 10(1), 88–104.

Ortega, L., & Ramirez, S. (2024). Predictive analytics in adaptive e-learning systems: Impacts on learner engagement and satisfaction. Computers in Smart Education, 6(2), 58–76.

Abdullah, A., & Noor, N. (2023). AI governance and ethics in digital learning ecosystems: A multi-stakeholder analysis. Journal of Ethical Technology in Education, 4(1), 25–44.

Islam, S., & Mahmud, K. (2025). Industry–academia co-creation for AI-driven workforce transformation: A mixed-method study. South Asian Journal of Skills and Innovation, 3(2), 101–120.