INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC RESEARCH IN BUSINESS & SOCIAL SCIENCES

Vol 15, Issue 10, (2025) E-ISSN: 2222-6990

The Impact of Total Quality Management (TQM) Principles on Public Organizational Performance in the Sultanate of Oman

Kawthar Ahmed Al-Yahmadi[1], Fadillah Bt Mansor[2], Amer Abdulwahab Mahyoub Murshed[3]

[1]Academy of Islamic and Human Studies, University of Malaya, Malaysia, [2]Department of Shariah and Management), Academy of Islamic Studies, Universiti Malaya, Malaysia, [3]Department of Islamic Political Sciences, Academy of Islamic Studies, University of Malaya, Malaysia

Email: fadillah@um.edu.my, almurshed@um.edu.my

*Corresponding Author Email: Kawthar.alyahmedi@mol.gov.om

DOI Link: http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v15-i10/26801

Published Date: 23 October 2025

Abstract

This research investigates the impact of Total Quality Management (TQM) Principles on institutional performance within government entities in the Sultanate of Oman. Despite TQM is a comprehensive management approach focused on continuous improvement of processes and services to achieve institutional performance. The study's population comprised employees from various government entities, from which a sample of (211) employees was surveyed using an electronic questionnaire. Employing a descriptive "analytical methodology", this study revealed several significant findings. Most notably, a statistic between the implementation of TQM principles (such as top management commitment, strategic planning, customer focus, process improvement, review and evaluation) and institutional performance efficiency. By adopting TQM principles, government can enhance their service, increase employee engagement and satisfaction, and ultimately achieve higher levels of institutional performance.

Keywords: Total Quality Management, Institutional Performance, Public Sector in Oman

Introduction

Total Quality Management (TQM) is widely recognized as a contemporary administrative paradigm aimed at enhancing institutional performance and operational efficiency. This is achieved through the adoption of a comprehensive set of principles that emphasize excellence, continuous improvement, participative engagement and systematic self-evaluation. These principles extend beyond merely improving institutional outcomes. They seek to transform organizational culture by fostering increased productivity, elevating service

delivery standards, and consistently exceeding the expectations of both customers and stakeholders (Eid,2016).
The concept of "quality" in this context is best understood as an integrated organizational methodology oriented toward the continuous refinement of products, services and processes. This approach facilitates the attainment of sustainable competitive advantage through the development of a quality-centric culture grounded in commitment and collective accountability (Peterson, 2003).

According to ISO standards, TQM represents a comprehensive managerial philosophy that includes all organizations members in the pursuit of long-term success, primarily through customer satisfaction and societal benefits (Alwan, 2013).

Al-Azzawi (2005) characterizes TQM as a deeply embedded organizational ethos, rooted in effective leadership and operational coherence with a strategic focus on long-term performance gains aligned with stakeholder satisfaction. Kaplan et.al. (2018) further assert that Total Quality Management (TQM) is a continuous improvement process that integrates both internal and external stakeholders, ranging from employees and managers to consumers and clients into the quality enhancement lifecycle. Weygandt et. al. (2012) emphasize that TQM systems are designed to drive defect reduction and strive toward zero-error outcomes, regardless of whether the final delivery is a product or a service.

Total Quality Management (TQM) is considered essential for empowering employees at all organizational levels to generate added value and fulfill internal and external customer requirements beyond expectations. It offers a comprehensive management framework grounded in stakeholder collaboration. TQM encompasses a range of principles widely adopted by institutions, such as customer focus, which emphasizes delivery quality that exceeds pricing considerations (Antwi & Darkwa, 2021).

Leadership commitment and organizational support play a pivotal role in embedding TQM culture across departments. Effective internal communication is necessary to reinforce this commitment (Javed, 2015), alongside empowering employees by enhancing their skills and integrating their ideas into decision-making processes. These efforts are crucial to sustaining success and facilitating institutional growth (Liu, 2021). The consistent application of TQM principles enhances daily operational procedures, nurtures teamwork, builds individuals, group capabilities and ultimately drives excellence in service delivery (Marks et. al., 2001).

In the light of accelerating global competition and technological disruptions, the strategic adoption of TQM has become indispensable for maintaining institutional resilience and competitiveness. This is particularly relevant for entities within government, industry and service sectors (Prybutok & Ramasesh, 2005). Measuring institutional performance is fundamental to this process as it provides a mechanism for comparing planned outcomes against actual outcomes, identifying deviations and applying corrective measures through standardized performance metrics (Al-Qaraleh & et. al. 2024).

This study aims to explore the impact of TQM implementation on institutional performance. These objectives align with the broader goals of sustainable development in contemporary governance frameworks, for instance, Oman Vision 2040 prioritizes flexible and efficient

administration as part of its development strategy, in this context, TQM serves as a foundational pillar for achieving institutional excellence, fostering transparency and enhancing service delivery. Ultimately, the adoption of Total Quality Management (TQM) supports performance optimizing both public and private sectors, as well as contributing to competitiveness on local and global scales.

Literature Review

In this literary review are thoroughly analyzed to ensure the understanding of Total Quality Management (TQM) and Organizational Performance (OP):

The relationship between Total Quality Management (TQM) and Organizational Performance has been a Central Focus in Quality Management Literature

Implementing TQM is often considered fundamental for improving operational management that sustains organizational success (Hassan et. al., 2012; Demirbag et. al. 2006). Prior research has shown how TQM practices influence various performance dimensions including quality outcomes, financial performance, employee satisfaction and overall efficiency (Jimoh, Oyewobi, Isa and Waziri, 2019).

A substantial body of empirical evidence suggests a positive association between TQM implementation and improved organizational performance, for instance, Bou & Beltran (2005), Miyagawa reported significant improvements in key performance indicators following the adoption of comprehensive TQM frameworks. These findings support the argument that TQM enhances both internal processes and external competitiveness.

The literature also presents conflicting perspectives, some studies have reported weak or negative correlations between TQM practices and performance outcomes (Kaynak, 2003; Nair 2006).

Highlighting the complex, and context dependent nature of TQM implementation, these discrepancies may be attributable to methodological differences such as variations in research design, industry context or the specific elements of TQM being assessed.

Furthermore, the diversity of performance metrics used across studies can complicate direct comparisons (Sadikoglu and Olcay, 2014). Consequently, while majority of studies underscore the strategic value of Total Quality Management (TQM) in driving performance improvement. The existing inconsistencies signal the need for more nuanced investigations that account for contextual variables, implementation depth, long-term impact.

Total Quality Management (TQM) Practices Positively Influence Organizational Performance (OP).

The significance of Total Quality Management (TQM) as a strategic framework for enhancing organizational performance (OP) has been garnered by both academic and practical realms. TQM is broadly recognized as a comprehensive approach to improving organizational effectiveness through continuous quality enhancement, employee involvement and customer satisfaction (Demirbag, et. al., 2006).

This literature review critically examines the implementation of TQM practices and their multifaceted impact on organizational performance, drawing from a wealth of empirical

studies that elucidate the complexities of this research. A preponderance of scholarly literature supports the assertion that TQM practices positively influence OP.

Nair (2006) articulates that quality management practices are instrumental in fostering improvements across various performance dimensions. Empirical research has consistently highlighted that organizational performance is a multidimensional construct, encompassing both financial and non-financial indicators, for instance, Lakhal et. al. (2006) and Kaynak (2003) emphasize financial performance as a crucial facet, while other studies underscore operational performance (Adem & Virdi, 2023; Acquaah et. al, 2003), quality performance (Abdi & Singh, 2022) and market performance (Kaynak, 2003) as essential components of organizational performance.

The literature reveals that a diverse range of empirical investigations delve into the relationship between Total Quality Management (TQM) practices and improved financial outcomes. Their findings suggest that organizational adopting rigorous TQM methodologies tend to achieve superior financial results, reinforcing the argument for TQM as a catalyst for financial success.

Conversely, some studies have encountered challenges in establishing a consistent positive effect of TQM on organizational outcomes. These discrepancies may stem from variations in sample size, industry context and specific TQM practices implemented, such findings underscore the complexity of the TQM and OP relationship and the need for a nuanced understanding of the contextual factors that influence this dynamic.

Despite these exceptions, the consensus in the literature remains that TQM practices enhance organizational performance. The multifaceted nature of organizational performance necessitates a board approach to understanding the various dimensions influenced by TQM, for instance the operational performance improvements noted by Adem & Virdi (2023) reflects the capacity of TQM to streamline processes, reduce waste and enhance productivity, thereby contributing to overall organizational efficiency.

Moreover, quality performance metrics as highlighted by Abdi & Singh (2022) indicate that TQM practices not only elevate the quality of products and services but also build a robust reputation among consumers, thereby impacting on the market performance positivity.
The interplay between customer satisfaction and TQM implementation further reinforces the assertion that quality management practices yield substantial benefits across diverse performance indicators.

Literature consistently advocates for the positive influence of TQM practices on organizational performance with empirical studies substantiating this assertion across various dimensions, while certain research has presented conflicting findings. The predominance of evidence supports the notion that TQM serves as a critical driver of improved organizational outcomes. Future research should continue to explore the contextual factors that influence the efficacy of TQM practices as well as evolving nature of performance metrics in a rapidly changing business environment.

Management Commitment and Organizational Performance (PO)

Spanning all organizational tiers from executive leadership to operational staff. The Total Quality Management (TQM) initiative is essential for successful implementation, given TQM necessities, a comprehensive transformation involving cultural, structural and procedural changes. The role of leadership is critical, Senge (2006) argues that effective leaders must adopt multifaceted roles as educators, stewards and architects of change, such leaders are charged with articulating a coherent and compelling vision, fostering organizational learning and application of knowledge (Rahim, 2001). Facilitating "unlearning" by challenging entrenched assumptions (Slater, 1995).

Furthermore, they must inspire critical thinking and innovation among employees to effectively address complex challenges. These attributes align with the principles of transformation leadership, which emphasize charisma, intellectual stimulation and individualized consideration. Therefore, these are qualities that instrument in achieving successful TQM implementation (Mannan. 2020)

Employee Participation and Organizational Performance

Equally vital to success quality management initiatives and active engagement of employees, which constitutes a foundational pillar in fostering a culture of excellence and driving organizational performance (Liu, 2021). Employees are meaningfully involved in decision-making, problem solving and continuous improvement processes. Organization benefits from enhanced high levels of engagement, innovation and job satisfaction. This participatory management approach not only improves quality of products and services but also cultivates a climate of transparency and organizational trust (Ogu, 2024).

A substantial body of empirical evidence affirms the position of leadership between the employee participation and the key performance indicators across various sectors, for instance, Harter et. al. (2002) found that organizational units characterized by high levels of employee engagement reported significantly greater customer satisfaction, profitability and productivity. In a similar Deepa Lakshmi & et. al. (2024) demonstrated a strong correlation between employee involvement and critical outcomes such as financial performance, customer loyalty and lower employee turnover.

Customers Focus and Organizational Performance

Building upon these insights, it becomes evident that the successful implementation of Total Quality Management (TQM) is contingent not only on procedural adherence but also on organizational culture and strategic alignment. As customer satisfaction remains central to TQM principles and cross-functional collaboration, particularly among marketing, production and customer service units. This must be strategically coordinated to align with evolving customer specifications and expectations. The synergistic integration of these departments ensures that customers' feedback, is not only acknowledged but systematically embedded into continuous improvement processes (Ittner & Larcker, 1997).

Furthermore, the interpretation of quality should transcend technical benchmarks and instead be conceptualized as a multidimensional construct informed by customer perceptions, value co-creation and service experience (Waldman & Gopalakrishnan, 2002). This perspective aligns with the shirt from product-centric to customer-centric paradigms in

contemporary quality management frameworks. As Abdulkadir (2023) affirms quality is best evaluated through the lens of how effective goods and services fulfill consumer expectations, preferences and implicit needs.

While scholarly discourse presents mixed results regarding the efficacy of TQM initiatives. It is imperative to contextualize these variances. The divergence in findings can often be attributed to institutional readiness, leadership engagement and a degree of employee empowerment. Institutions lacking executive sponsorship and cross-hierarchical commitment frequently encounter implementation fatigue, resulting in superficial compliance rather than substantive transformation. Conversely, studies highlighting favorable outcomes consistently identify shared ownership of quality objectives and embedded feedback mechanisms as critical success factors.

In summary, cultivating a sustainable quality culture demands more than methodological rigor. It necessitates a deliberate and inclusive organization ethos approach. TQM must be internalized as a collective responsibility, reinforced through strategic leadership, transparent communication and a psychological safe environment, where innovation and accountability flourish.

Methodology

Instrument

The study instrument includes two main variables that were measured. Total quality management comprises five dimensions: strategic planning and management commitment, human resource, partnership and resources, operations and evaluation. The first dimension was measured using 5- items provided by Ramadan (2021). The second dimension is human resource that was measured using 5- items provided by Sadikoglu and Olcay (2014). The third dimension was measured using 5- items coined by Altawbah (2021). The fourth dimension is the operations that was measured using 5- items provided by Aysh (2008). The last dimension was measured using 5- items provided by Alnahawi (2016). These five dimensions were selected based on the European Foundation for Quality Management standards (EFQM). The literature shows that, there are more than 200 quality management practices and only seven practices of these were repeatedly reported.

The second study variable is an organizational performance which comprises three dimensions, customer focus, human resources satisfaction and continuous improvement. The first dimension was measured using 5- items provided by Bahedan (2021). The second dimension is customer focus that was measured using 5- items coined by Alwahibi & et. al (2021). The last dimension was measured using 5- items provided by Alkhatib &et. al. (2021). The study uses a five-point scale were “1” indicates “strongly disagree” and “5” indicates “strongly agree”. The modified questionnaire was placed in Google form to facilitate data the collection process. Furthermore, the researcher wanted to ensure that an appropriate level of formality for all participants is achieved.

Study Population and Sampling Procedure

The target population comprised employees working across various government entities in the Sultanate of Oman. Employing a probabilistic sampling approach and a random sample of 211 participants was drawn. Data collection was facilitated through the distribution of an

electronic administrative questionnaire. The importance of the study was showed in a cover letter that providing some information about, participants' rights, as well as explaining how to respond to the questionnaire items. The response rate was 100% and all responses were verified and deemed valid for subsequent statistical analysis.

Data Analysis

This study used the descriptive statistics to summarize the data. To confirm the model fit, confirmatory factor analysis was performed; and the internal consistency reliability was estimated by Cronbach's alpha. To examine the relationship between total quality management and institutional performance, the study utilized Pearson correlation coefficient. Furthermore, SPSS for Windows 22 and AMOS 21 software were used to summarize the data and examine the hypothesis as well as internal consistency reliability.

Results

This study was conducted with the public sector of the Sultanate of Oman, engaging a representative sample of 211 participants. It presents a detailed demographic profile of the respondents, focusing on variables such as gender age, educational attainment and professional experience.

In reference to table 1, the gender distribution revealed that 66% of the participants were male, while 34% were female, with respect to the age, 44.5% of the respondents were under 30 years old whereas 55.5% were aged 30 and above.

In terms of educational qualifications 44% of participants held credentials below the diploma level and 45% possessed a bachelor's degree, and 11% had attained postgraduate degrees. Regarding professional experience, the largest segment of 43% reported having fewer than five years of experience, followed by 34% with five to ten years of experience, 16% with ten to fifteen years of experience and 7% with fifteen to twenty years.

These demographics insights provide a robust contextual framework for interpreting the study's findings. They also offer a meaningful basis for subsequent comparative research and analysis of the relationship between demographic variables and the implementation of Total Quality Management (TQM) practices in the public sector.

Table 1
Demographic characteristics respondents, focusing on variables such as gender age, educational attainment and professional experience.

Variables	Category	No	Precent
Gender	Male	139	66
	Female	172	34
Age	Less than 30 Years	94	44.5
	More than 30 Years	117	55.5
Qualification	Less than Diploma	93	44
	Bachelor' Degree	96	45
	Postgraduate	22	11
Experience	Less than 5 years	91	43
	5 to 10 Years	71	34
	10 to 15 Years	33	16
	15 to 20 Years	16	7

Table 2 provides a summary of the data model of the regression that indicates TQM is strongly associated with institutional performance in Oman's public sector, as evidence by R = 0.869. Additionally, R2 = 0.755 suggests that 75.5% of the variance in institutional performance can be explained by the practices of TQM principles.
From a statistical perspective, this value is considered highly acceptable. The remaining percentages of the variance in institutional performance may be attributed to other variables not included in the study.

Table 2
Results of linear regression between TQM and institutional performance

Statistic	Value	Interpretation
R (correlation co-efficient)	0.869	Strong positive correlation between TQM and institutional performance.
R square (coefficient of determination)	0.755	75.5% of the variance in institutional performance is explained by TQM
Adjusted R square	0.754	Adjusted for number of predictors
Standard error of the estimate	0.46808	Average distance that the observed values fall from the regression line

To examine the relationship between TQM and institutional performance, an ANOVA analysis was conducted to evaluate the regression model.

Table3
Results of ANOVA between TQM and institutional performance

Source	Sum of squares	d f	Mean square	F-value	Sig. (P-value)
Regression	141.134	1	141.134	644.167	0.001b
Residual	45.791	209	0.219	0	0
Total	186.925	210	0	0	0

a. Dependent Variable: Performance
b. Predictors: (Constant), TQM

The result in table 3 shows that the F-value of 644.167 is five times higher than the statistical ratio of mean square values of TQM, indicating that TQM principles significantly predict that dependent variable.

Furthermore, the F-value is statistically significant with P-value of 0.001 ($p \leq 0.05$) suggesting a strong relationship between the dependent and independent variables. Therefore, the findings confirm a robust relationship between TQM principles and institutional performance in Oman's public sector.

The regression table plays a critical role in evaluating the strengths and direction of the relationship between Total Quality Management (TQM) and institutional performance. In table 4, presented the model assesses. This model is similar use in linear regression analysis.

Table 4
Coefficient regression between TQM and institutional performance

Predictor	Unstandardized Coefficient (B)	Standard Error	Standardized Coefficient (Beta)	T-value	Sig (P-value)
Constant	0.137	0.134	0.000	1.022	0.308
TQM	0.947	0.037	0.869	25.38	0.000

Explanation of the Regression Model
According to table 4 analysis, is confirmed that TQM is a strong and significant predictor of institutional performance in Oman's public sector. The high (Beta) value and statistically significant P-value demonstrate the effectiveness of TQM practices that have driven organizational improvement. These findings validate the research hypothesis and provide empirical evidence supporting the strategic implementation of quality management system to enhance the public sector outcomes.

4.1 Unstandardized coefficient (B) for TQM is 0.947, which indicates that every one unit increases in the TQM score. Institutional performance is expected to increase by approximately 0.947 units. The constant value is 0.137, representing the estimated institutional performance when the TQM score is zero.

4.2 Statistical significance, the (Sig) P-value for TQM is 0.000, which is below the conventional threshold of 0.05, indicating that the relationship is statistically significant. This supports the hypothesis TQM practices, a significant predictor of institutional performance.
4.3 Standardized coefficients (Beta) value of 0.869 shows a strong positive relationship between TQM and institutional performance after adjusting for standard deviations. This means that among all predictors TQM has a dominant influence on performance outcomes.
4.4 T-value of 25.380 further confirms the robustness of the relationship, as mentioned above typical critical value, reinforcing the statistical strength of the predictor.

Regression Equation
Based on the standardized coefficients, the linear regression equation is:
Institutional Performance =0.137 + 0.947 × (TQM)
This equation implied that as institutions enhance their adherence to TQM principles, such as leadership commitment, customer focus, continuous improvement and evaluation, overall performance efficiency is projected to rise accordingly.

Conclusion
This research has critically examined the impact of Total Quality Management (TQM) principles on institutional performance within government entities in the Sultanate of Oman. The findings clearly demonstrate that the implementation of TQM—including top management commitment, strategic planning, customer focus, continuous improvement, and performance evaluation—has a significant and positive effect on institutional efficiency and service delivery.

The study revealed a strong statistical correlation (R = 0.869) and an explanatory power (R^2 = 0.755), confirming that 75.5% of the variance in institutional performance can be attributed to the application of TQM practices. This highlights the central role of TQM in driving performance and supporting the objectives of Oman Vision 2040 for building an efficient, innovative, and citizen-focused public sector.

Importantly, the relevance of this study extends beyond Oman. The research model, methodology, and results can serve as a framework for other public institutions, especially in GCC and developing countries, seeking to modernize and improve administrative performance.

Recommendations
Promoting widespread TQM implementation across the public sector is very essential role for any governments nowadays. The government should scale up efforts to integrate TQM principles across all ministries by institutionalizing quality frameworks through policies, procedures, and performance indicators. Furthermore, leaders at all levels must demonstrate active support for TQM through strategic alignment, resource allocation, and participatory planning, fostering a quality-driven institutional culture. Also, developing training and awareness programs can help in building institutional capacity through continuous training, workshops, and knowledge-sharing platforms to educate employees about the benefits and practices of TQM.

Moreover, leveraging digital tools for quality management can enhance the use of technology and digital platforms not only for data collection but also fo performance monitoring, service feedback, and quality improvement tracking. Benchmark against best practices is a very helpful tool to encourage Omani institutions to learn from international success stories in TQM application, adapting proven models to local governance realities. Use findings from this and similar studies can be considered to inform evidence-based policymaking, ensuring that quality management is central to achieving the vision's strategic goals. Creating a national performance dashboard and establishing transparent reporting mechanisms that display progress on TQM and performance metrics, can enhance public trust and accountability.

References

Abdi, M.,& Singh, A. (2022), "Effect of total quality management practices on nonfinancial performance: an empirical analysis of automotive engineering industry in Ethiopia", The TQM Journal 34(21), DOI:10.1108/TQM-03-2021-0069.

Abdulkadir, K. (2023). "Total Quality as an Effective Tool for Achieving Customer Satisfaction", Patronage, Productivity Gains and Sustainability in Organizations Studies in Economics and Business Relations DOI:10.48185/sebr.v4i2.893.

Adem, M., Virdi, S. (2023), "The structural link between TQM practices and financial performance: the mediating role of operational performance", International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management. DOI:10.1108/IJQRM-08-2021-0290.

Al balushi, M. (2023), "Performance Management Recommended Model for Public Sector in the Sultanate of Oman", AlManduah, East Journal of Humanities Studies.

Al-Azzawi, M. (2005), "Total Quality Management", Dar Al-Yazuri Scientific, Amman.

Al-Khatib, S. K., & Fayadh, S. M. (2019). Applying some aspects of the institutional performance evaluation model (EFQM) to improve the quality of job performance: A case study in the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. Iraqi Journal of Administrative Sciences, 15(61), 25–45.

Alnahawi, M. M., Abdulaziz, A. Z., & Ibrahim, N. A. (2016). The effect of total quality management and management techniques on improving performance and cost in Jordanian public industrial companies. Zarqa University, and Middle East University, Jordan.

Alqaraleh, M., Dabboussi, S., Khamis, A., Arbab, M. (2024), " Enhancing performance through business intelligence and IT Infrastructure, A competitive Edge perspective", Pakistan journal of life and social science.

Altawbah, A. T. (2021). The effect of total quality management on organizational performance in Jordanian aluminum companies. Middle East University, Faculty of Business, Jordan.

Al-Wahibi, N. M. H., & Al-Sdrani, A. A. A. (2020). The impact of job satisfaction on the institutional performance of the Ministry of Sports Affairs in the Sultanate of Oman. The Comprehensive Electronic Journal for the Publication of Scientific and Educational Research, (27).

Alwan K., (2013), "Total Quality Management and ISO 9001: 2000". Amman. Dar Al Thakafa for Publishing & Distribution.2015, ISSN 2250-3153.

Antwi, S., & Darkwa, B. (2021), "Total Quality Management and Organizational Performance: A Literature Review", SSRN Electronic Journal DOI:10.2139/ssrn.4230846.

Aysh, M. A. (2008). The impact of applying total quality management on institutional performance: An applied study on Islamic banks operating in the Gaza Strip, The Islamic University of Gaza. Palestine.

Bahaidan, A. O. S. (2021). Institutional performance evaluation in the Small and Micro Enterprises Development Agency using the balanced scorecard: A field study, Al-Rayan University, Libya.

Bou, C., & Beltran, I. (2005), "Total quality management & business commitment human resource strategy and firm performance: an empirical study", Total Quality Management Business Excellence,16(1):71–86.

Deepalakshmi, N., Tiwari, D., Baruah, R., and Seth, A. (2024), "Employee Engagement And Organizational Performance: A Human Resource Perspective, Educational Administration Theory and Practice", Journal 30(4):5941-5948, DOI:10.53555/kuey.v30i4.2323.

Demirbag, M., Tatoglu, E., Tekinkus, M. and Zaim, S. (2006), "An analysis of the relationship between TQM implementation and organizational performance: evidence from Turkish SMEs", Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, Vol. 17 No. 6, pp. 829-847, doi: 10.1108/17410380610678828.

Eid, A. (2016). The role of institutional performance in activating the relationship between organizational culture types and educational service quality: An applied study on Egyptian universities. *Journal of the Faculty of Commerce for Scientific Research, Alexandria University, 53*(January), 1–24.

Harter, K., Schmidt, L., & Hayes, L. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 87*(2), 268–279.

Itter, C., & Larcker, D. (1997). The performance of process management techniques. *Management Science, 43*(4), 522–534.

Javed, S. (2015). Impact of top management commitment on quality management. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, 5*(8), 1–5.

Jimoh, R., Oyewobi, L., Isa, R., & Waziri, I. (2019). Total quality management practices and organizational performance: The mediating roles of strategies for improvement. *International Journal of Construction Management, 19*(2), 162–177.

Kanak, H. (2003). The relationship between total quality management practices and their effects on firm performance. *Journal of Operations Management, 21*(4), 405–435.

Kaplan, M., & Kaplan, A. (2018). The relationship between organizational commitment and work performance: A case of industrial enterprises. *Journal of Economic and Social Development (JESD).*

Lakhal, L., Pasin, F., & Limam, M. (2006). Quality management practices and their impact on performance. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 23*(6), 625–646. https://doi.org/10.1108/02656710610672461

Liu, W. (2021). The influence of employee involvement in total quality management on employee performance. *International Journal of Business and Economic Affairs (IJBEA).* https://doi.org/10.24088/IJBEA-2021-62003

Mannan, Z. (2020). Top management commitment and dynamic leadership: A human resource imperative for total quality management. *ResearchGate.* https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14575290

Marks, A., Mathieu, E., & Zaccaro, J. (2001). A temporally based framework and taxonomy of team process. *Academy of Management Review, 26*(3), 356–376. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2001.4845785

Mengistie, H. (2019). The effect of total quality management practice and organizational performance. *International Journal of Management Studies, 5*(3). https://doi.org/10.21917/IJMS.2019.0146

Miyagawa, M., & Yoshida, K. (2010). TQM practices of Japanese-owned manufacturers in the USA and China. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 27*(7), 736–755.

Nair, A. (2006). Meta-analysis of the relationship between quality management practices and firm performance: Implications for quality management theory development. *Journal of Operations Management, 24*(6), 948–975.

Ogu, S. (2024). Employee participation in decision-making and organizational commitment: A study of modern organizations. *Journal of Commerce Management and Tourism Studies, 3*(1), 55–64. https://doi.org/10.58881/jcmts.v3i1.208

Peterson. (2003). An organization performance assessment system for agricultural research organization: Concepts, methods, procedures. *International Service for National Agricultural Research.* Netherlands.

Prybutok, R., & Ramasesh, R. (2005). An action research-based instrument for monitoring continuous quality improvement. *European Journal of Operational Research, 166*(2), 293–309.

Rahim, M. A. (2001). *Managing conflict in fast-changing organizations.* Bowling Green, KY: Center for Advanced Studies in Management.

Ramadan, N. A. (2021). Strategic planning and its impact on performance: An applied study on employees at the University of Sirte, Libya.

Sadikoglu, E., & Olcay, H. (2014). The effects of total quality management practices on performance and the reasons of and the barriers to TQM practices in Turkey. *Journal of Advanced Management Science, 7*(1), 1–17.

Sadikoglu, E., & Olcay, H. (2014). The effects of total quality management practices on performance and the reasons of and the barriers to TQM practices in Turkey. *Advances in Decision Sciences, 2014*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/537605

Senge, P. (2006). *The fifth discipline: The art and practice of the learning organization.* Doubleday.

Slater, F. (1995). Learning to change. *Business Horizons,* 13–20.

Terzioski, M., & Samson, D. (2000). The effect of company size on the relationship between TQM strategy and organizational performance. *The TQM Magazine, 12*(2), 144–148.

Waldman, D., & Gopalakrishnan, M. (2012). Operational, organizational, and human resource factors predictive of customer perceptions of service quality. *Journal of Quality Management, 1*(1).

Weygandt, J., Kimmel, P., & Kieso, D. (2012). *Management accounting tools for business decision making* (6th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.