Vol 11, Issue 6, (2021) E-ISSN: 2222-6990

# Language Learning Strategies Employed by Successful Language Learners

Amira Dayana Hanafiah, Farzaana Abdul Kadir, Siti Munirah Kamaruddin, Siti Nur Laily Hussin, & Harwati Hashim

Faculty of Education, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia

**To Link this Article:** http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v11-i6/10095 DOI:10.6007/IJARBSS/v11-i6/10095

Published Date: 19 June 2021

#### **Abstract**

Language Learning Strategies (LLSs) act as a catalyse to increase learners' understanding of the target language. Learners need to explore a wide range of LLSs and decide which strategy works best for them because there is no single strategy that has been proven to be the universal success of learning a second language. This paper aims to identify the language learning strategies preferred by successful language learners. The study employed a quantitative research design which involved 54 successful language learners who are serving as teachers and lecturers of English as a second language (ESL) at various learning institutions in Malaysia An online survey with a Likert Scale of 1 to 5 adopted from the Strategy Inventory for Language Learning (SILL) by Oxford (1990) was used to identify the language learning strategies preferred by the respondents and results revealed that metacognitive, compensation, social, memory and cognitive strategies were the most frequently employed while affective strategies were moderately employed strategies among the participants. Therefore, it is revealed that the successful language learners are highly aware of their language learning strategies used and this may not only benefit them as a successful language learner but also their students as they may train their students using varied strategies to succeed in English language learning.

**Keywords:** Language Learning Strategies (LLSs), Strategy Inventory for Language Learning (SILL), Successful Language Learners, English as Second Language (ESL)

## Introduction

English is a language that has been recognized as a global language that widely been used around the world. Learning English is pivotal as in this modernization and advancement of technology, English language is not only important for academic purposes, but also for communication, information, and technology. Thus, it is undeniable that having a second language is crucial for students to enhance their competency and knowledge as an individual. Language learning strategies (LLS) is a part of the language field which is developed to identify the suitable learning strategies that can be utilised for the language learner. In addition, according to Oxford (1990), learners will be able to improve their perception, proficiency, self-confidence, storage, reception, retention and retrieval of the language learned through using

Vol. 11, No. 6, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021

the appropriate strategies. Therefore, by identifying and implementing the ideal language learning strategies (LLS), the learners along with the educators will intensify their language competency.

In the context of Malaysia, LLS is crucial as the English language is considered a vital need especially in our education system. This is due to the fact that English is used as our second language, and we are one of the countries in Asia which implement a bilingual education system (Darmi & Albion, 2013). In addition, Yunus et al (2013) mentioned that it is significant to understand what students do in their language learning process and how it affects language success in the method that supports students in the learning of the English language. Due to that, it is necessary for the educators and learners to choose the suitable and right strategies to be implemented in their learning process. Nevertheless, it cannot be denied that very limited studies of language learning strategies (LLS) have been conducted, especially for successful language learners who are in-service ESL educators (Koç, 2017). This research is significant for the stakeholder to design and provide a better strategy to be implemented in our education system in the future.

## **Research Objective**

• To identify the language learning strategies preferred by successful language learners.

#### **Literature Review**

## **Definition and Classification of LLS**

The understanding of language learning strategies (LLS) is becoming more significant with the increasing importance of language learning around the world. This is because LLS aid the development of language learning among learners. Many scholars have given their definitions of LLS based on how the learners utilize the strategies to acquire and enhance their language skills. Some strategies are regarded as effective, yet some others are less effective.

Wenden & Rubin (1987) defined learning strategies as "any sets of operations, steps, plans, routines used by the learner to facilitate the obtaining, storage, retrieval and use of information" (p. 19). Oxford (1990) stated that LLS aims to make the language learning process "easier, faster, more enjoyable, more self-directed, more effective and more transferrable to new situations" (p. 8). O'Malley and Chamot (1990) termed LLS as "special thoughts or behaviors that individuals use to help them comprehend, learn or retain new information" (p. 1). Cohen (1998) referred LLS as conscious actions and efforts taken and identified by the learners in the language learning process. LLS can be defined as a set of techniques used consciously in language learning to increase learners' autonomy and improve learners' language skills.

According to Adan & Hashim (2021), there are many researchers who are constantly contributing to the study of LLS by improving the classification and typology of LLS since 1970s. The most common and comprehensive classification of LLS was introduced by Oxford. Oxford (1990) had classified LLS into two categories which are direct strategies and indirect strategies. Direct strategies encompass direct learning and mental processing of the language such as compensation, memory and cognitive strategies. Compensation strategies enable speakers to overcome their knowledge gaps and sustain the conversation, while memory strategies are used to store information, and cognitive strategies refer to the mental strategies that learners use to make sense of their learning (Oxford, 1990). Indirect strategies consist of metacognitive, affective and social strategies. These strategies support the language learning indirectly. Metacognitive strategies help learners to be self-regulated,

Vol. 11, No. 6, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021

affective strategies are concerned with learners' motivation, attitudes and emotional requirements, social strategies increase learners' social interaction in the target language (Oxford, 1990).

Table 1
Classification of Language Learning Strategies (Oxford, 1990)

Direct Strategies		Indirect Strategies			
	Create mental linkage	_	Learning centering		
Mamary stratagies	Apply image and sounds	Matagagaitiva	Learning planning		
Memory strategies	Review	<ul> <li>Metacognitive strategies</li> </ul>	Self-evaluating in learning		
	Employ action		Self-monitoring for errors in the learning		
	Reasoning		Anxiety reduction		
	Practice	_	Self-rewards		
Cognitive strategies	Receive and send messages	Affective strategies	Salf ancouragement		
	Analyze		Self-encouragement		
	Summarize	_			
	Intelligent guess		Asking questions		
Compensation	Overcoming	- Casial strategies	Peer cooperation		
strategies	limitations in	Social strategies	Improve cultural		
	speaking and writing		competencies		

## **Factors Affecting Language Learning Strategies Used**

Previous literature has demonstrated that factors such as motivation, gender, age, learning styles, language proficiency and attitude influence the use of language learning strategies. However, the most common factor that affects LLS used highlighted by researchers is motivation. Adan & Hashim (2021) stated that when learners are motivated to learn the language, they will increase their efforts to attain language proficiency. Shi (2017) stated that motivated learners usually employ more strategies than less motivated learners and their choices of strategies are heavily influenced by their purpose of learning the language. It is essential for teachers to educate learners on the strategies to learn the language and guide them on how to seek the best strategies that suit their learning styles and preferences to increase their chances of being successful language learners.

## **Successful Language Learners**

The idea of good language learners began in the 1970's (Rubin, 1975) before becoming a topic of interest and discussion in Second Language Acquisition (SLA) over the next decade (O'malley and Chamot, 1990; Oxford & Crookall, 1989). The previous studies describe good language learners as active language learners who are problem solvers for their own learning. This description is parallel to Nazri et al. (2016) who state that successful language learners demonstrate the urge to exploit an active mind, solve problems with positivity, devote sufficient time to accomplish different tasks and learn the necessary information through

Vol. 11, No. 6, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021

numerous resources. In short, they are very successful in acquiring the second language (L2) until they can proficiently use the language and fluently communicate in English (Hashim et al., 2018).

Rubin (1975) further characterised successful language learners as people who always monitor both their own utterances and others', try their best to deliver and receive the intended message and make use of opportunities to use the target language. They also use the input of the target language and make errors work, make the most of social means and language patterns. In addition, successful language learners also read extensively, strategise their language learning, and above all, they try out diverse learning strategies. These characteristics are similar to language strategies enlisted in Rubin's (1994); Embi's (2000) research which were deployed by successful language learners.

Unlike first language learning which is primarily possible through inherent reasons of developmental and experiential, second language acquisition is believed to be predominantly influenced by learning strategies (Fillmore and Swain 1984; O'Malley et al., 1985). Consequently, unfolding strategies utilised by successful second language learners has become the central focus of learning strategy research in the SLA literature. These studies fundamentally provide us with an understanding of what successful language learners do to acquire L2, so that, these strategies can be trained on less successful learners. According to O'Malley et al (1985), studies focusing on the impacts of strategy training on different kinds of tasks and learners indicate that strategy teaching significantly increases the students' performance on various problem-solving and reading tasks (e.g. Ernomo, 2018; Wittrock, Marks and Doctorow, 1975; O'Malley et al., 1985).

In the early studies Rubin (1975), it was determined that successful language learners constantly used certain forms of learning strategies, such as contextual guessing. After a while, it turned out that successful language learners did not always use the same set of strategies, but rather carefully orchestrated strategies that were relevant and systematic for specific L2 tasks. Conversely, it was found that less successful learners had a different manner of using the strategies in completing the tasks, which was described as arbitrary, unrelated, and uncontrolled (e.g. Abraham & Vann, 1987; Chamot et al., 1996 as cited in O'Malley et al., 1985; Kiu & Yamat, 2020). In Kiu & Yamat's (2020) study of ESL primary students in Malaysia, it was revealed that the good learners used more strategies for active involvement and more frequently than did their weak learner counterparts, which was similar to Zakaria et al. (2018) research findings among secondary school students in Malaysia too. Likewise, many studies showed that successful language learners consistently used a greater variation of language learning strategies (e.g. Ang et al., 2017; Nazri et al., 2016; Rubaai & Hashim, 2019; Zakaria et al., 2018).

Rubin (1975) examined what we can learn about good learners and how the strategies they use can assist us in learning a foreign language. It is proposed that by following good learning strategies, teachers can help less successful learners who typically have limited strategies to improve their language learning. Students who are attentive of the strategies and characteristics of successful language learners will more likely become efficient in their learning (Ang et al., 2017; Kiu & Yamat, 2020; Lim et al., 2021; Nazri et al., 2016; Rahman, 2020; Rubaai & Hashim, 2019; Rubin, 1975; Sarafianou & Gavriilidou, 2015). Thus, teachers should take this opportunity to train their students to deploy the good learning strategies that are suitable for their students' needs (Ang et al., 2017; Basri & Hashim, 2019; O'Malley et al., 1985; Rubaai & Hashim, 2019; Rubin, 1975). In addition, it has been proven time and again that better performance or mastery of target language acquisition is caused by the use of

Vol. 11, No. 6, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021

language learning strategies (Ang et al., 2017; Hashim et al., 2018; Kiu & Yamat, 2020; Nadif & Benattabou, 2021; Rubin, 1975; Zare, 2012).

## **ESL In Malaysia**

The Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013 – 2025 aims to be able to produce human capital for our country, who can be global players through one of the eleven shifts that ensures proficiency in English (MOE, 2013). This step is also mandated to address the problem of our education system that even after 11 years of formal instruction in schools does not produce reasonable English users (Naginder, 2006; Jalaludin et al., 2008 as cited in Musa et al., 2012). In addition to the ministry's efforts to rectify this issue, a curriculum based on Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR) is being implemented (MOE, 2015). According to the English Language Education Reform in Malaysia: The Roadmap 2015 – 2025, language learning strategies are vital in the context of CEFR (MOE, 2015). In order for students to attain language input or proficiency in the language, they need to perform tasks that require them to deploy language strategies.

Teachers are not being trained to familiarise their students with LLS even though learning strategies have been integrated into the language learning curriculum (Sarafianou & Gavriilidou, 2015). Kussin et al (2018) acknowledged that our pedagogy practice is shifting to improve learners' language proficiency, along with myriad efforts and action plans to achieve the same goal. However, they critiqued that LLS has never received attention in teacher training, despite the fact that in almost every master plan, the word strategy was mentioned. Koç, (2017) highlighted that teachers cannot enhance their students' LLS use since they are not trained to do so. Perhaps this is the reason why Malaysia has fallen four places in 2019 Education First (EF) English Proficiency Index, as compared to 2018 and this trend followed in 2020 when we were placed at 30<sup>th</sup> (EF, 2020, p.7) as opposed to at 26th in 2019 (EF, 2019, p.6). Thus, it is hoped by investigating the LLS preferred by ESL educators in Malaysia will remind everyone of how explicitly training our students with good LLS helps them in acquiring English better (Kussin et al., 2018), just like how the ESL educators have successfully mastered the language through LLS.

# Methodology

In this current study, a quantitative approach was used to examine the use of LLS among ESL educators in Malaysia.

# **Research Design**

In order to recognise patterns among our samples either in their opinions, attitudes, behaviours or features (Creswell, 2012), a survey design was chosen as the research design of this current study. The Strategy Inventory for Language Learning (also known as SILL) by Oxford (1990) was adopted to be used as the online survey questionnaire.

## Instrumentation

The online version of SILL was made available as the tool to for this study data collection. The questionnaire was divided into two parts, namely the demographic of the respondents and SILL. This inventory is made up of fifty items and uses a five-point Likert scale that ranges from 1, which means they are very unlikely to use the mentioned strategy, to 5, which indicates the likelihood that they will use the said strategy. The respondents evaluated themselves using this instrument and reflected on the extent to which they use various

Vol. 11, No. 6, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021

language strategies throughout their ESL learning journey. There are six categories tested namely, cognitive, memory, compensation, metacognitive, social, and affective strategies.

## Respondents

The respondents of this study were 54 successful language learners who are ESL educators teaching in Malaysia. They are teaching in different educational institutions nationwide but all of them are successful language learners as they can only be parts of the system if they possess good command of the English language.

# **Sampling Technique**

To ensure the sample possessed the characteristics of successful language learners, purposive sampling was used. A link to the online SILL survey was shared to a few online groups of ESL educators in Malaysia.

## **Data Analysis**

Before using descriptive statistical analysis to analyse the data, the percentages and frequency of the responses were obtained from the instrument administered.

## Findings & Discussion

This section presents the results of this study and discusses the findings in relation to the literature. Tables are used to summarise the findings and further discussed according to the six language learning strategy domains tested in the questionnaire.

Table 2
Overall Mean Score of Language Learning Strategies

Language Learning Strategies	Mean	Rank
Metacognitive	4.2243	1
Compensation	3.9599	2
Cognitive	3.9563	3
Social	3.8858	4
Memory	3.5226	5
Affective	3.2963	6

Table 2 above presents the language learning strategies used by the participants based on the categories with the mean score of each category from the mostly used and least used. Oxford (1990) categorized the strategies in three range of scales from high (3.5 to 5), average (2.5 to 3.4) and low (1.0 to 2.4) in terms of the mean. Table 2 shows the mean score of each language learning strategies by category. Based on table 2, almost all of the language learning strategies are in the very frequently used by the respondents as their mean scores lie between 3.5 to 5. By ranking, metacognitive strategies topped the rank followed by compensation strategies at the second place, cognitive strategies at third, social strategies at the fourth place and memory strategies at the fifth place. All the strategies' mean score is more between 3.5 to 5 which means they are highly used among the respondents. In addition, affective strategies are placed at the sixth place with the mean score of 3.2963 meaning they are averagely used among the respondents.

Nazri et al (2016) in their research among ESL learners in a university revealed exact same findings as in this research which the metacognitive strategies ranked the first followed

Vol. 11, No. 6, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021

by compensation, cognitive, social, memory and lastly affective. Similarly, Yunus et al. (2013) and Rahman (2020) in their research towards Malaysian gifted students and EFL learners respectively found that metacognitive strategies were used the most meanwhile the least rank was affective strategies. In addition, Ang et al. (2017), Ahamad (2019) as well as Adan and Hashim (2021) also found the corresponding result in their research where metacognitive strategies were the highest use among ESL learners. Koç (2017) in his study towards English teachers found that the teachers used least affective strategies because they believe that it is not necessary for them to decrease their anxiety since they can acquire the language by utilising more mechanical tasks such as repetitive writing and memorization can be acquired with the help of the dictionary or exercise with assessment. Thus, the metacognitive strategies are used by most of the language learners beyond their limitations including English educators.

The following tables (Table 3 to Table 8) display the percentage, frequency and mean of the responses for each item by the scale namely, "Never or almost never true of me" (N), "Usually not true of me" (NT), "Somewhat true of me" (ST), "Usually true of me" (T) and "Always or almost always true of me" (AT). Each table represents one classification of language learning strategies based on SILL by Oxford (1990).

Table 3

Memory Strategy

No.	Item	N, n, (%)	NT, n, (%)	ST, n, (%)	T, n, (%)	AT, n, (%)	Mean
1	I think of relationships between what I already know and new things I learn in the English.	1 (1.9)	1 (1.9)	6 (11.1)	30 (55.6)	16 (29.6)	4.0926
2	I use new English words in a sentence so I can remember them.	0	3 (5.6)	9 (16.7)	25 (46.3)	17 (31.5)	4.0370
3	I connect the sound of a new English word and an image or picture of the word to help me remember the word.	3 (5.6)	3 (5.6)	10 (18.5)	23 (42.6)	15 (27.8)	3.8148
4	I remember a new English word by making a mental picture of a situation in which the word might be used.	0	3 (5.6)	8 (14.8)	22 (40.7)	21 (38.9)	4.1296
5	I use rhymes to remember new English words.	9 (16.7)	9 (16.7)	17 (31.5)	11 (20.4)	8 (14.8)	3.0000
6	I use flashcards to remember new English words.	12 (22.2)	21 (38.9)	13 (24.1)	6 (11.1)	2 (3.7)	2.3519
7	I physically act out new English words.	7 (13.0)	14 (25.9)	13 (24.1)	14 (25.9)	6 (11.1)	2.9630
8	I review English lessons often.	0	2 (3.7)	12 (22.2)	20 (37.0)	20 (37.0)	4.0741

Vol. 11, No. 6, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021

9	I remember new English	2 (3.7)	12 (22.2)	16 (29.6)	19	5 (9.3)	3.2407
	words or phrases by				(35.2)		
	remembering their						
	location on the page, on						
	the board, or on a street						
	sign.						

Table 3 shows the memory strategies where the strategy "I remember a new English word by making a mental picture of a situation in which the word might be used" (item 4) was the mostly used among the other memory strategies with the mean of 4.1296. The finding was in line with the research done by Lim et al. (2021). The least used memory strategy "I used flashcards to remember new English words" (item 6), holding the mean 2.3519. The findings are parallel with Yunus et al. (2013), Nazri et al. (2016), Ang et al. (2017) and Rahman (2020). According to Oxford (2003) memory strategies support learners by enabling them to link concept in second language without necessarily having deep understanding which also often positively associated with second language proficiency. However, when learners' vocabulary and structure of the target language enlarge, they tend to use lesser memory strategies. It is believed that, learning language using flashcards is normally related to very young learners while adult learners utilised other memory strategies (Lim et al., 2021).

Table 4
Cognitive Strategies

No.	Item	N, n	NT, n	ST, n	T, n	AT, n	Mean
		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
10	I say or write new English	2	2	13	22	15 (27.8)	3.8519
	words several times.	(3.7)	(3.7)	(24.1)	(40.7)		
11	I try to talk like native	2	10	12	18	12 (22.2)	3.5185
	English speakers.	(3.7)	(18.5)	(22.2)	(33.3)		
12	I practice the sounds of	0	0	5	29	20 (37.0)	4.2778
	English.			(9.3)	(53.7)		
13	I use the English words I	1	0	8	29	16 (29.6)	4.0926
	know in different ways.	(1.9)		(14.8)	(53.7)		
14	I start conversations in	1	1	14	22	16 (29.6)	3.9444
	English.	(1.9)	(1.9)	(25.9)	(40.7)		
15	I watch English language	1	0	2	11	40 (74.1)	4.6481
	TV shows spoken in	(1.9)		(3.7)	(20.4)		
	English or go to movies						
	spoken in English.						
16	I read for pleasure in	1	1	10	8	34 (63.0)	4.3519
	English.	(1.9)	(1.9)	(18.5)	(14.8)		
17	I write notes, messages,	0	2	6	14	32 (59.3)	4.4074
	letters, or reports in		(3.7)	(11.1)	(25.9)		
	English.						
18	I first skim an English	3	2	3	27	19 (35.2)	4.0556
	passage (read over the	(5.6)	(3.7)	(5.6)	(50.0)		
	passage quickly) then go						
	back and read carefully.						

Vol. 11, No. 6, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021

19	I look for words in my own language that are similar to new words in English.	7 (13.0)	15 (27.8)	13 (24.1)	12 (22.2)	7 (13.0)	2.9444
20	I try to find patterns in English.	2 (3.7)	7 (13.0)	14 (25.9)	20 (37.0)	11 (20.4)	3.5741
21	I find the meaning of an English word by dividing it into parts that I understand.	5 (9.3)	6 (11.1)	13 (24.1)	18 (22.2)	12 (22.2)	3.4815
22	I try not to translate English word for word.	3 (5.6)	1 (1.9)	6 (11.1)	22 (40.7)	20 (40.7)	4.0926
23	I make summaries of information that I hear or read in English.	0	1 (1.9)	11 (20.4)	21 (38.9)	21 (38.9)	4.1481

For the cognitive strategies, Table 4 displays that the "I watch English language TV shows spoken in English or go to movies spoken in English" (item 16) strategy was highly utilised as its mean score is 4.6481 as it is similar with the finding on the research done by Yunus et al. (2013). In contrary, Rahman (2020) found that the strategy "I watch English language TV shows spoken in English or go to movies spoken in English" (item 16) as the least frequent strategies used by the EFL learners of a university in Saudi Arab. Meanwhile, "I look for words in my own language that are similar to new words in English" (item 19) strategy reported the lowest mean (2.944) which means it was the lowest used. Both findings are in line with the research done by Nazri et al. (2016). Oxford (2003) mentioned that utilizing cognitive strategies allow language learners to manipulate the language material in direct ways. By looking at the contradicting result of Rahman (2020), it can be concluded that context may play a role for the usage of strategies among the language learners.

Table 5
Compensation strategies

No	Item	N, n (%)	NT, n (%)	ST, n (%)	T, n (%)	AT, n (%)	Mean
24	To understand unfamiliar English words, I make guesses.	0	0	2 (3.7)	24 (44.4)	28 (51.9)	4.481 5
25	When I cannot think of a word during a conversation in the English, I use gestures.	1 (1.9)	3 (5.6)	9 (16.7)	19 (35.2)	22 (40.7)	4.074 1
26	I make up new words if I do not know the right ones in English.	9 (16.7)	16 (29.6)	10 (18.5)	13 (24.1)	6 (11.1)	2.833
27	I read English without looking up every new word.	2 (3.7)	4 (7.4)	12 (22.2)	11 (20.4)	25 (46.3)	3.981 5

Vol. 11, No. 6, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021

28	I try to guess what the other person will say next in English.		1 (1.9)	14 (25.9)	20 (37.0)	15 (27.8)	3.759 3
29	If I cannot think of an English word, I use a word or phrase that means the same thing.	0	0	1 (1.9)	18 (33.3)	35 (64.8)	4.629 6

Based on the data revealed in Table 5 of the compensation strategies, "if I cannot think of an English word, I use a word or phrase that means the same thing." (item 29) was the most preferred strategy with the mean 4.6296 which similar with finding by Yunus et al. (2013) and Rahman (2020). Whereas "I make up new words if I do not know the right ones in English" (item 26) was the least used strategy, where the mean score only carried 2.8333. Both results are similar to the finding by Nazri et al. (2016) and Ang et al. (2017). Oxford (1990) stated that compensation strategies are useful to overcome any limitation of knowledge in the four skills which are reading, writing, speaking, listening. The finding indicates that the respondents tend to dig for words when using English language and not easily find a way to use their first language instead.

Table 6

Metacognitive Strategies

30	o. It	tem	N, n	NT, n	ST, n	T, n	AT, n	Mean
as I can to use my English.  31 I notice my English 0 0 3 21 20 (55.6) mistakes and use that information to help me do better.  32 I pay attention when 0 0 2 20 32 (59.3) someone is speaking English.  33 I try to find out how to be 0 0 2 20 32 (59.3) a better learner of English.  34 I plan my schedule so I 3 10 19 10 12 (22.2) will have enough time to (5.6) (18.5) (35.2) (18.5) study English.  35 I look for people I can 0 1 14 16 23 (42.6) talk to in English. (1.9) (25.9) (29.6)  36 I look for opportunities 0 0 6 18 30 (55.6) to read as much as possible in English.  37 I have clear goals for 0 2 13 17 22 (40.7) improving my English (3.7) (24.1) (31.5) skills.  38 I think about my progress 1 5 8 19 21 (38.9)			(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
English.  31 I notice my English 0 0 3 21 20 (55.6) mistakes and use that information to help me do better.  32 I pay attention when 0 0 2 20 32 (59.3) someone is speaking English.  33 I try to find out how to be 0 0 2 20 32 (59.3) a better learner of English.  34 I plan my schedule so I 3 10 19 10 12 (22.2) will have enough time to (5.6) (18.5) (35.2) (18.5) study English.  35 I look for people I can 0 1 14 16 23 (42.6) talk to in English.  36 I look for opportunities 0 0 6 18 30 (55.6) to read as much as possible in English.  37 I have clear goals for 0 2 13 17 22 (40.7) improving my English (3.7) (24.1) (31.5) skills.  38 I think about my progress 1 5 8 19 21 (38.9)	) [1	try to find as many ways	0	0	7	18	29 (53.7)	4.4074
31         I notice my English 0 mistakes and use that information to help me do better.         (5.6)         (38.9)           32         I pay attention when 0 someone is speaking English.         0 2 20 32 (59.3)           33         I try to find out how to be 0 find better learner of English.         (3.7)         (37.0)           34         I plan my schedule so I 3 study English.         10 19 10 12 (22.2)         12 (22.2)           35         I look for people I can 0 1 1 14 16 23 (42.6)         16 23 (42.6)         18 30 (55.6)           36         I look for opportunities 0 0 6 18 30 (55.6)         (11.1) (33.3)         30 (55.6)           36         I look for opportunities 0 0 0 6 18 30 (55.6)         (11.1) (33.3)         30 (55.6)           37         I have clear goals for 0 2 13 17 22 (40.7)         22 (40.7)         17 22 (40.7)           38         I think about my progress 1 5 8 19 21 (38.9)         19 21 (38.9)	a	s I can to use my			(13.0)	(33.3)		
mistakes and use that information to help me do better.  32	E	nglish.						
information to help me do better.  32	. 1	notice my English	0	0	3	21	20 (55.6)	4.5000
32   I pay attention when   0   0   2   20   32 (59.3)	rr	nistakes and use that			(5.6)	(38.9)		
32       I pay attention when 0 someone is speaking English.       (3.7)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)       (37.0)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Someone is speaking English.   (3.7)	d	o better.						
English.  33 I try to find out how to be 0 0 2 20 32 (59.3) a better learner of (3.7) (37.0) English.  34 I plan my schedule so I 3 10 19 10 12 (22.2) will have enough time to (5.6) (18.5) (35.2) (18.5) study English.  35 I look for people I can 0 1 14 16 23 (42.6) talk to in English. (1.9) (25.9) (29.6)  36 I look for opportunities 0 0 6 18 30 (55.6) to read as much as possible in English.  37 I have clear goals for 0 2 13 17 22 (40.7) improving my English (3.7) (24.1) (31.5) skills.  38 I think about my progress 1 5 8 19 21 (38.9)	. 1	pay attention when	0	0	2	20	32 (59.3)	4.5556
33       I try to find out how to be a better learner of English.       0       2       20       32 (59.3)         34       I plan my schedule so I a will have enough time to (5.6) study English.       10       19       10       12 (22.2)         35       I look for people I can 0 talk to in English.       11       14       16       23 (42.6)         36       I look for opportunities 0 to read as much as possible in English.       0       6       18       30 (55.6)         37       I have clear goals for 0 timproving my English skills.       (3.7) (24.1) (31.5) (31.5) (31.5)       (31.5) (31.5)         38       I think about my progress 1       5       8       19       21 (38.9)		, ,			(3.7)	(37.0)		
a better learner of English.  34 I plan my schedule so I 3 10 19 10 12 (22.2) will have enough time to (5.6) (18.5) (35.2) (18.5) study English.  35 I look for people I can 0 1 14 16 23 (42.6) (1.9) (25.9) (29.6)  36 I look for opportunities 0 0 6 18 30 (55.6) to read as much as possible in English.  37 I have clear goals for 0 2 13 17 22 (40.7) improving my English (3.7) (24.1) (31.5) skills.  38 I think about my progress 1 5 8 19 21 (38.9)	E	nglish.						
English.  34 I plan my schedule so I 3 10 19 10 12 (22.2) will have enough time to (5.6) (18.5) (35.2) (18.5) study English.  35 I look for people I can 0 1 14 16 23 (42.6) (1.9) (25.9) (29.6)  36 I look for opportunities 0 0 6 18 30 (55.6) to read as much as possible in English.  37 I have clear goals for 0 2 13 17 22 (40.7) improving my English (3.7) (24.1) (31.5) skills.  38 I think about my progress 1 5 8 19 21 (38.9)	11	try to find out how to be	0	0		20	32 (59.3)	4.5556
34       I plan my schedule so I 3 will have enough time to (5.6) study English.       10       19       10       12 (22.2) (18.5) (18.5) (18.5) (18.5) (18.5) (18.5) (18.5) (18.5) (18.5) (18.5) (18.5) (18.5) (18.5) (18.5) (18.5) (18.5) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6) (19.6	a	better learner of			(3.7)	(37.0)		
will have enough time to (5.6)       (18.5)       (35.2)       (18.5)         study English.         35       I look for people I can 0 talk to in English.       14 16 23 (42.6)         36       I look for opportunities 0 to read as much as possible in English.       (1.9)       (25.9)       (29.6)         37       I have clear goals for 0 timproving my English skills.       (3.7)       (24.1)       (31.5)         38       I think about my progress 1       5       8       19       21 (38.9)	Е	nglish.						
study English.       35 I look for people I can 0 talk to in English.     1 14 16 23 (42.6)       36 I look for opportunities 0 to read as much as possible in English.     0 6 18 30 (55.6)       37 I have clear goals for 0 improving my English skills.     2 13 17 22 (40.7)       38 I think about my progress 1 5 8 19 21 (38.9)		•	3				12 (22.2)	3.3333
35       I look for people I can 0 talk to in English.       1       14       16       23 (42.6)         36       I look for opportunities 0 to read as much as possible in English.       0       6       18       30 (55.6)         37       I have clear goals for 0 improving my English skills.       2       13       17       22 (40.7)         38       I think about my progress       1       5       8       19       21 (38.9)		•	(5.6)	(18.5)	(35.2)	(18.5)		
talk to in English. (1.9) (25.9) (29.6)  36 I look for opportunities 0 0 6 18 30 (55.6) to read as much as possible in English.  37 I have clear goals for 0 2 13 17 22 (40.7) improving my English (3.7) (24.1) (31.5) skills.  38 I think about my progress 1 5 8 19 21 (38.9)	st	tudy English.						
36 I look for opportunities 0 0 6 18 30 (55.6) to read as much as possible in English.  37 I have clear goals for 0 2 13 17 22 (40.7) improving my English (3.7) (24.1) (31.5) skills.  38 I think about my progress 1 5 8 19 21 (38.9)	1	look for people I can	0	1	14	16	23 (42.6)	4.1296
to read as much as possible in English.  37 I have clear goals for 0 2 13 17 22 (40.7) improving my English (3.7) (24.1) (31.5) skills.  38 I think about my progress 1 5 8 19 21 (38.9)	ta	alk to in English.		(1.9)	(25.9)	(29.6)		
possible in English.  37 I have clear goals for 0 2 13 17 22 (40.7) improving my English (3.7) (24.1) (31.5) skills.  38 I think about my progress 1 5 8 19 21 (38.9)	5 1	look for opportunities	0	0	6	18	30 (55.6)	4.4444
37       I have clear goals for 0 improving my English skills.       2       13       17       22 (40.7)         38       I think about my progress 1       5       8       19       21 (38.9)	to	o read as much as			(11.1)	(33.3)		
improving my English (3.7) (24.1) (31.5) skills.  38 I think about my progress 1 5 8 19 21 (38.9)	р	ossible in English.						
skills.         38       I think about my progress       1       5       8       19       21 (38.9)	' Ī	have clear goals for	0	2	13	17	22 (40.7)	4.0926
38 I think about my progress 1 5 8 19 21 (38.9)	ir	mproving my English		(3.7)	(24.1)	(31.5)		
71 8	sl	kills.						
in learning English (1.0) (0.2) (14.9) (25.2)	11	think about my progress	1	5	8	19	21 (38.9)	4.0000
iii ledi iiiig Eiiglisii. (1.3) (3.3) (14.8) (35.2)	ir	n learning English.	(1.9)	(9.3)	(14.8)	(35.2)		

Vol. 11, No. 6, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021

Table 6 revealed the mean score of metacognitive strategies ranging from 4.5556 to 3.3333 where the mean 4.5556 belongs to the most frequently used "I pay attention when someone is speaking English" (item 32) and "I try to find out how to be a better learner of English" (item 33) strategies, and the mean of 3.3333 to "I plan my schedule so I will have enough time to study English" (item 34) the least used metacognitive strategy. Interestingly, both items 32 and 34 have similar finding with Yunus et al. (2013), Nazri et al. (2016) and Rahman (2020). Meanwhile, Ang et al. (2017) too found that item 33 was the most used among the metacognitive strategies.

Oxford (2003) stated that metacognitive strategies are usually utilised for managing the overall learning process and used as a strong predicted of second language proficiency. These strategies can positively influence learners by supporting them to deeply think about the content of the language (Ang et al., 2017). Paying attention and constantly trying to improve oneself are among the criteria of effective educators and good language learners. Good language learners learn actively by solving their own problem when learning (Fitriani, 2020). Therefore, via reflecting on the gaps they learn better

Table 7

Affective Strategies

,,,,,,,	tive strategies						
No.	Item	N, n (%)	NT <i>,</i> n (%)	ST, n (%)	T <i>,</i> n (%)	AT, n (%)	Mean
39	I try to relax whenever I feel afraid of using English.	2 (3.7)	4 (7.4)	10 (18.5)	16 (29.6)	22 (40.7)	3.9630
40	I encourage myself to speak English even when I am afraid of making a mistake.	2 (3.7)	1 (1.9)	5 (9.3)	18 (33.3)	28 (51.9)	4.2778
41	I give myself a reward or treat when I do well in English.	11 (20.4)	6 (11.1)	16 (29.6)	15 (27.8)	6 (11.1)	2.9815
42	I notice if I am tense or nervous when I am studying or using English.	6 (11.1)	16 (29.6)	12 (22.2)	8 (14.8)	12 (22.2)	3.0741
43	I write down my feelings in a language learning dairy.	17 (31.5)	14 (25.9)	10 (18.5)	5 (9.3)	8 (14.8)	2.5000
44	I talk to someone else about how I feel when I am learning English.	12 (22.2)	10 (18.5)	10 (18.5)	11 (20.4)	11 (20.4)	2.9815

The result of affective strategies (Table 7) exhibits the "I encourage myself to speak English even" (item 40) with the mean score of 4.2778, the highest frequency which is parallel with the research by Yunus et al. (2013) and Nazri et al (2016). On the other hand, the lowest mean score (2.5000) was recorded for "I write down my feelings in a language learning diary" (item 43) strategy, the rarest used strategy. The result is similar to the finding by Yunus et al. (2013), Ang et al. (2017) and Rahman (2020). Affective strategies are significantly associated with second language proficiency, but students no longer use much of these strategies as they

Vol. 11, No. 6, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021

progress to higher proficiency (Oxford, 2003). This study supports Oxford's claim as overall affective strategies were the least used among the respondents. According to Ang et al. (2017), learners required both intrinsic and extrinsic motivation to promote their language competency and proficiency. Thus, encouraging own self is a crucial factor to be a successful language learner (Adan and Hashim, 2021).

Table 8
Social Strategies

No.	Item	N, n (%)	NT, n (%)	ST, n (%)	T, n (%)	AT, n (%)	Mean
45	If I do not understand something in English, I ask the other person to slow down or say it again.	(%) 2 (3.7)	(%) 2 (3.7)	(%) 9 (16.7)	(%) 23 (42.6)	(%) 18 (33.3)	3.9815
46	I ask English speakers to correct me when I talk.	4 (7.4)	14 (25.9)	12 (22.2)	14 (25.9)	10 (18.5)	3.2222
47	I practice English with other students.	0	2 (3.7)	5 (9.3)	21 (38.9)	26 (48.1)	4.3148
48	I ask for help from English speakers.	2 (3.7)	3 (5.6)	20 (37.0)	15 (27.8)	14 (25.9)	3.6667
49	I ask questions in English.	0	0	7 (13.0)	19 (35.2)	28 (51.9)	4.3889
50	I try to learn about the culture of English speakers.	1 (1.9)	7 (13.0)	15 (27.8)	13 (24.1)	18 (33.3)	3.7407

As indicated in Table 8, the "I ask English speakers to correct me when I talk" (item 46) was the least frequently utilised social strategy with the mean of 3.2222. The finding of Nazri et al. (2016) is alike, as they also found that item 46 was the least used strategies in their research. Meanwhile "I ask questions in English" (item 49) strategy as the most utilised social strategy for English language learning with mean score 4.3889 which is similar to the finding by Ang et al. (2017) and Rahman (2020). Asking for clarification and repetition as strategies allow opportunities for the learners to experience meaningful learning (Ang et al., 2017). Successful language learners find opportunity to learn the target language via any available resources (Nazri et al., 2016). In case of social strategies, English speakers play the role of being the resource from which learners can learn.

#### **Implications and Conclusion**

This quantitative study attempts to reveal the language learning strategies preferred by ESL teachers and lecturers' (successful language learners) via SILL questionnaire by Oxford (1990). The findings of the study imply that the participants have reported high frequent use of five of the six categories of language learning strategies suggested by Oxford (1990) namely metacognitive, compensation, cognitive, social and memory and moderate use of affective strategies. This shows that the ESL educators are more aware of the strategies they use to learn English language besides using most of the strategies regularly. It is a positive sign where the ESL educators are more conscious of their language learning strategies used which may help them to expose their students to varieties of strategies when teaching. Educators should

Vol. 11, No. 6, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021

train their students to use strategies when learning language. So, students will be able to knowingly find specific strategies that suit them to learn language at their best. Second language teachers may benefit from assessment of strategies utilised by their learners to enhance understanding of the strategies (Oxford, 2003). Awareness of language learning strategies can substantially boost teaching and learning process (Nazri et. al, 2016).

Via this study, syllabus designers and material developers may learn about the most used strategies for each category of strategies by successful language learners and use the information to enhance English language syllabus and materials with regards to the four skills. Materials which may support learners to learn the syllabus effectively via applying language learning strategies can lead the learners to fasten their language learning development. Besides, teachers can benefit from this study as they will be able to make best use of the strategies in their instructions. As it is crucial for teachers to train students to use language learning strategies, it is also imperative for them to make sure their instructions lead to best learning experience for their students. Therefore, by being aware of the language learning strategies used by them to be successful language learners, they will be very sure and confident when training students to utilise the strategies so that their students too will excel in their language learning journey. Significantly, by referring to this study, students or other language learners may find out the most and least strategies preferred by ESL teachers and lecturers who are their role model when it comes to learning.

#### References

- Adan, D. A., & Hashim, H. (2021). Language Learning Strategies Used by Art School ESL Learners. Creative Education, 12, 653-665. https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2021.123045
- Ang, S., Embi, M. A., & Yunus, M. M. (2017). Strategies of Successful English Language Learners among Private School Students. *Jurnal Pendidikan Humaniora*, *5*(2), 47–57. https://doi.org/10.17977/um030v5i22017p047
- Basri, H., & Hashim, H. (2019). Language Learning Strategies Used By Successful English as a Second Language ( ESL ) Learners among Primary School Students. 5(2), 9–12.
- Cohen, A. D. (1998). Strategies in learning and using a second language. London: Longman Creswell, J. W. (2012). Educational research planning, conducting and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research. New York City: Pearson.
- Education First, E. F. (2019). *EF English Proficiency Index A Ranking of 100 Countries and Regions by English Skills*. https://www.ef.com/wwen/epi/
- Education First, E. F. (2020). *EF English Proficiency Index A Ranking of 100 Countries and Regions by English Skills*. https://www.ef.com/wwen/epi/
- Ernomo, Z. (2018). Language Learning Strategies (LLS) Instruction to Help Students Become Better Language Learners. 2(2), 79–83.
- Fitriani, V. F. (2020). Portraying Efl Students 'Learning S Tyles in Utilizing Mobile Assisted Language Learning: How To Be a Good Language Learner? *Journal of Teaching & Learning English in Multicultural Contexts (TLEMC)*, 4(1), 34–45.
- Hashim, H. U., Yunus, M. M., & Hashim, H. (2018). Language Learning Strategies Used by Adult Learners of Teaching English as a Second Language (TESL). *TESOL International Journal*, 13(4), 39–48.
- Kiu, C. S. L., & Yamat, H. (2020). Reading Strategy Use among Good and Poor Primary English as a Second Language Learners. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 10(1). https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v10-i1/6853

Vol. 11, No. 6, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021

- Koç, H. K. (2017). International Journal of Languages' Education and Teaching. *International Journal of Languages' Education and Teaching*, *5*(1), 359–376. http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED574953.pdf
- Kussin, H. J., Omar, A., & Kepol, N. (2018). Language Learning Strategies (LLS): Teachers' Notions and Practice. *Dinamika Ilmu*, 18(1), 109–122. https://doi.org/10.21093/di.v18i1.1086
- Lim, T. M., Sze, D. W. L., Raki, D., Lim, L. M., Sani, S., & Hashim, H. (2021). Year 6 Pupils' Language Learning Strategies in Learning English Grammar. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 11(4), 1196–1209. https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v11-i4/9690
- MOE. (2013). Pelan Pembangunan Pendidikan Malaysia 2013-2025. Putrajaya: Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia.
- MOE. (2015). English Language Education Reform in Malaysia: The Roadmap 2015-2025. Putrajaya: Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia.
- Musa, N. C., Lie, K. Y., & Azman, H. (2012). Exploring English language learning and teaching in Malaysia. *GEMA Online Journal of Language Studies*, 12(1), 35–51.
- Nadif, B., & Benattabou, D. (2021). International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation (IJLLT) The Impact of Students' Proficiency in English on Science Courses in a Foundation Year Program. *International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation* (IJLLT), 4(3), 61–73. https://doi.org/10.32996/ijllt
- Nazri, N. M., Yunus, M. M., Nazri, N. D., & Mohamad. (2016). Through the Lens of Good Language Learners: What Are Their Strategies? *Advances in Language and Literary Studies*, 7(1), 195–202. https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.alls.v.7n.1p.195
- O'Malley, J. M., & Chamot, A. U. (1990). Learning strategies in second language acquisition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- O'Malley, J. M., Chamot, A. U., Stewner-Manzanares, G., Russo, R. P., Küpper, L., & Kupper, L. (1985). Learning Strategy Applications with Students of English as a Second Language. *TESOL Quarterly*, *19*(3), 557. https://doi.org/10.2307/3586278
- Oxford, R., & Crookall, D. (1989). Research on Language Learning Methods, Findings, Strategies: and Instructional Issues. *The Modern Language Journal*, 73(4), 404–419.
- Oxford, R. (1990). Language Learning Strategies: What Every Teacher Should Know. Boston: Heinle and Heinle.
- Oxford, R. L. (Ed.). (2003). *Language learning styles and strategies*. Mouton de Gruyter.
- Rahman, M. M. UR. (2020). EFL Learners' Language Learning Strategies: A Case Study at Qassim University. *Advances in Language and Literary Studies*, 11(5), 6. https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.alls.v.11n.5p.6
- Rubaai, N., & Hashim, H. (2019). Identifying English language learning strategies used by polytechnic students. *Religación*, *4*, 98–103.
- Rubin, J. (1975). What a Good Language Learners Can Teach Us. *TESOL Quarterly*, *9*(1), 41–51. http://www.jstor.org/stable/3586011
- Sarafianou, A., & Gavriilidou, Z. (2015). The effect of strategy-based instruction on strategy use by upper-secondary Greek students of EFL. *Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 12(1), 21–34.
- Shi, H. (2017). Learning strategies and classification in education. *Institute for Learning Styles Journal*, 1(1), 24-36.
- Wenden, A., & Rubin, J. (1987). Learner strategies in language learning. Englewood Cliffs, NJ:Prentice Hall. Zakaria, N. Y. K., Zakaria, S. N., & Azmi, N. E. (2018). Language Learning

International Journal of Humanities and Social Science, 2(5), 162–169.

Vol. 11, No. 6, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021

Strategies Used by Secondary Schools Students in Enhancing Speaking Skills. *Creative Education*, 09(14), 2357–2366. https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2018.914176

Zare, P. (2012). Language learning strategies among EFL/ESL learners a review of literature.