# Factors Influencing Students' Academic Performance: The Mediating Role of Satisfaction in China

## Zhang Qi, Dr. Md Nasir Bin Masran

Sultan Idris Education University (UPS), (Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris (Malay)

**Abstract**

This study conducts an in-depth exploration of the complex and multifaceted factors that influence students' academic performance within the context of China's educational system, placing a particular emphasis on the critical mediating role of student satisfaction. By employing a rigorous library research methodology, the article systematically synthesizes and integrates recent and relevant literature to provide a comprehensive understanding of how various factors—namely socioeconomic status, institutional quality, psychological well-being, and pedagogical practices—interact and intersect with student satisfaction to shape and influence academic outcomes. The research findings reveal that student satisfaction serves as a pivotal mediator, significantly modulating the impact of these diverse factors on students' academic performance. This mediating role underscores the importance of student satisfaction in the educational process, suggesting that it is not merely a byproduct of good teaching practices or favorable conditions but a crucial element that directly contributes to academic success. The insights derived from this study offer valuable guidance for educators, school administrators, and policymakers, highlighting the need to prioritize and enhance student satisfaction as a strategic approach to improving academic achievement across diverse educational settings in China. The study ultimately advocates for educational reforms and practices that recognize the central role of student satisfaction in fostering an environment conducive to higher academic performance.

**Keywords:** Academic Performance, Student Satisfaction, Educational Factors, China, Library Research, Mediation.

**Introduction**

In the globalized economy of the 21st century, the quality of education has emerged as a key determinant of national competitiveness. In China, a country with a rich educational tradition and an increasingly pivotal role in the global economy, academic performance is not only a personal achievement but also a reflection of broader socioeconomic dynamics (Li & Zhang, 2021). Understanding the factors that influence academic performance in this context is crucial for educators, policymakers, and researchers alike.

This study seeks to address the complex web of factors that contribute to academic performance, with a particular focus on the mediating role of student satisfaction. Student satisfaction is a critical yet often overlooked variable that can significantly influence the outcomes of various educational inputs. By synthesizing recent literature, this article aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing academic performance in China and the crucial role that student satisfaction plays in mediating these effects.

## Methodology

The methodology employed in this study is a library research approach, which involves a systematic review and synthesis of existing literature. This method is particularly suited for studies aiming to develop a comprehensive understanding of a complex issue by integrating findings from a wide range of sources (Randolph, 2009). The research process involved identifying relevant studies, analyzing their findings, and synthesizing the results to draw broader conclusions.

## Data Collection

The data collection process involved searching academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar for relevant studies published between 2015 and 2023. The search terms included "academic performance," "student satisfaction," "China," "socioeconomic factors," "institutional factors," "psychological factors," and "pedagogical factors." The selection criteria were based on the relevance of the studies to the research question, the methodological rigor of the studies, and the recency of the publications.

## Data Analysis

The analysis involved categorizing the identified studies into different themes based on the factors influencing academic performance. The themes included socioeconomic factors, institutional factors, psychological factors, and pedagogical factors. Within each theme, the studies were further analyzed to identify common findings, contrasting viewpoints, and the role of student satisfaction as a mediating factor.

## Synthesis

The final step involved synthesizing the findings from the different themes to develop a conceptual framework that explains the factors influencing academic performance in China and the mediating role of student satisfaction. This framework provides a comprehensive understanding of how various factors interact to influence academic outcomes and the critical role of student satisfaction in this process.

## Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework of this study is grounded in educational psychology and sociology, which provide insights into the complex interactions between various factors influencing academic performance. The framework posits that while external factors such as socioeconomic status and institutional quality directly impact academic outcomes, their effects are significantly mediated by internal factors, particularly student satisfaction.

### Educational Psychology and Student Satisfaction

Educational psychology provides a foundation for understanding how internal factors such as motivation, self-efficacy, and satisfaction influence academic performance. According to Deci and Ryan's (2016) Self-Determination Theory, intrinsic motivation plays a crucial role in academic achievement. Students who are intrinsically motivated are more likely to engage deeply with their studies, leading to better academic outcomes.

Student satisfaction, as an internal factor, is closely linked to motivation. Satisfaction can enhance intrinsic motivation by providing students with a sense of autonomy, competence, and relatedness, which are key components of Self-Determination Theory (Ryan & Deci, 2000). When students are satisfied with their learning environment, they are more likely to be motivated to achieve their academic goals.

### Sociological Perspectives on Socioeconomic and Institutional Factors

Sociological theories offer insights into how external factors such as socioeconomic status (SES) and institutional quality influence academic performance. Bourdieu's (1986) theory of cultural capital suggests that students from higher SES backgrounds have access to resources that enhance their academic performance. These resources include not only material goods but also cultural knowledge and social networks that can provide educational advantages.

Institutional factors, such as school infrastructure and teacher quality, are also critical determinants of academic performance. According to Coleman et al. (1966), the quality of the school environment can significantly influence student outcomes, particularly for students from disadvantaged backgrounds. Schools with better resources and more qualified teachers are more likely to provide a supportive learning environment that fosters academic success.

### The Mediating Role of Student Satisfaction

The mediating role of student satisfaction is central to this study's theoretical framework. Satisfaction acts as a bridge between external factors (such as SES and institutional quality) and academic performance. For example, while students from higher SES backgrounds may have access to better resources, their academic performance is also influenced by their level of satisfaction with their educational experience (Liu et al., 2020). Similarly, institutional quality can enhance academic outcomes, but its effects are mediated by how satisfied students are with the support and resources provided by the institution (Chen & Liu, 2019).

### Factors Influencing Academic Performance

Academic performance is influenced by a complex interplay of various factors, including socioeconomic, institutional, psychological, and pedagogical factors. Each of these factors plays a distinct role in shaping students' academic outcomes, and their effects are often mediated by student satisfaction.

### Socioeconomic Factors

Socioeconomic status (SES) is a critical determinant of academic performance, with numerous studies highlighting its influence in both developed and developing countries (Sirin, 2005). In China, where educational inequality remains a significant issue, SES continues to play a decisive role in academic achievement.

### Family Background

Family background, including parents' education level, occupation, and income, is a major component of SES that influences academic performance. Students from families with higher educational attainment and income levels tend to perform better academically (Zhang et al., 2018). This advantage is often attributed to the ability of affluent families to provide educational resources, such as private tutoring, books, and technology, that enhance learning (Li et al., 2020).

Moreover, parents' educational background influences the academic expectations they set for their children, as well as their ability to support their children's learning at home. Research by Zhang et al (2020), indicates that parental involvement, which is often higher among parents with more education, positively correlates with student academic performance.

### Educational Resources

Access to educational resources is another aspect of SES that significantly impacts academic outcomes. In China, disparities in educational resources between urban and rural areas are stark, with rural students often lacking access to quality schools, experienced teachers, and learning materials (Wang & Li, 2020). These disparities contribute to the persistent achievement gap between urban and rural students.

Educational resources extend beyond material goods to include cultural capital, as posited by Bourdieu (1986). Cultural capital refers to non-material assets such as language skills, cultural knowledge, and social connections that can provide educational advantages. Students from higher SES backgrounds are more likely to possess cultural capital that aligns with the dominant culture of the educational system, thus enhancing their academic performance (Zhang et al., 2018).

### Institutional Factors

Institutional factors, encompassing school infrastructure, teacher quality, and educational policies, are pivotal in shaping academic performance. The quality of the educational institution plays a crucial role in determining students' academic outcomes, particularly in a highly competitive educational system like China's.

### School Infrastructure

School infrastructure, including physical facilities, technological resources, and classroom environments, has a direct impact on student learning. Research indicates that students in well-equipped schools tend to perform better academically (Wang & Li, 2020). In China, there is a significant disparity in school infrastructure between urban and rural areas, which contributes to the academic performance gap.

Well-maintained school buildings, adequate classroom space, and access to modern technology can create an environment conducive to learning. In contrast, schools with poor infrastructure often struggle to provide a supportive learning environment, leading to lower academic performance among students (Zhao & Sun, 2019).

### Teacher Quality

Teacher quality is another critical institutional factor influencing academic performance. Effective teachers are essential for student success, and their impact is particularly pronounced in countries like China, where high-stakes exams play a significant role in determining educational outcomes (Chen & Liu, 2019).

Teacher quality is influenced by factors such as educational background, teaching experience, and professional development opportunities. Research by Liu and Feng (2018) highlights that students taught by highly qualified teachers tend to achieve better academic outcomes. Furthermore, teacher-student relationships play a crucial role in fostering a positive learning environment that enhances student satisfaction and, consequently, academic performance.

### Educational Policies

Educational policies at the institutional level also play a significant role in shaping academic performance. Policies that promote equitable access to education, provide support for disadvantaged students, and encourage teacher professional development can enhance academic outcomes (Yang & Chen, 2019). In China, recent educational reforms aimed at reducing the urban-rural education gap and improving teacher quality have shown promise in enhancing academic performance across different regions.

### Psychological Factors

Psychological factors, including motivation, self-efficacy, and stress levels, are crucial determinants of academic performance. These internal factors are often shaped by the external environment, including socioeconomic and institutional factors, and are mediated by student satisfaction.

### Motivation

Motivation, particularly intrinsic motivation, is a key psychological factor influencing academic performance. According to Deci and Ryan (2016), intrinsic motivation, which is driven by personal interest and enjoyment in the learning process, leads to deeper engagement with academic tasks and better performance.

In the Chinese educational context, where academic success is highly valued, motivation plays a critical role in determining students' academic outcomes. Research by Huang (2019) suggests that students who are intrinsically motivated are more likely to excel academically. However, in a highly competitive environment, extrinsic motivation, driven by external rewards such as grades and social recognition, can also be a significant driver of academic performance (Li & Wang, 2019).

### Self-Efficacy

Self-efficacy, or students' belief in their ability to succeed in academic tasks, is another important psychological factor influencing academic performance. High self-efficacy is associated with greater persistence, effort, and resilience in the face of challenges (Bandura, 1997).

In China, where students face intense academic pressure, self-efficacy can be a critical determinant of success. Research by Liu and Feng (2018) indicates that students with high self-efficacy are more likely to set challenging goals, employ effective learning strategies, and achieve higher academic outcomes.

**Stress and Anxiety**
Stress and anxiety are common psychological challenges that can negatively impact academic performance. In China, where the educational system is characterized by high-stakes exams and intense competition, students often experience significant stress and anxiety (Zhou et al., 2021).

Research by Zhao and Sun (2019), highlights the detrimental effects of stress on academic performance, including reduced cognitive functioning, lower motivation, and decreased satisfaction with the educational experience. Managing stress and promoting psychological well-being are therefore crucial for enhancing academic performance.

**Pedagogical Factors**
Pedagogical factors, including teaching methods, curriculum design, and the use of technology, play a vital role in shaping academic performance. Effective pedagogical approaches can enhance student engagement, satisfaction, and ultimately, academic outcomes.

**Teaching Methods**
Teaching methods are a critical component of pedagogy that directly influences academic performance. Student-centered approaches, which focus on active learning and critical thinking, have been shown to enhance academic outcomes (Yang & Chen, 2019). In China, traditional teaching methods that emphasize rote memorization are gradually being replaced by more innovative approaches that encourage student participation and engagement.

Research by Hu and Gao (2020), suggests that interactive teaching methods, such as group discussions, problem-based learning, and project-based learning, can significantly improve student satisfaction and academic performance. These methods promote deeper understanding of the subject matter and foster a more positive attitude towards learning.

**Curriculum Design**
Curriculum design is another important pedagogical factor that influences academic performance. A well-designed curriculum that is relevant to students' interests and aligned with their future goals can enhance motivation and satisfaction, leading to better academic outcomes (Li et al., 2020).

In China, curriculum reforms aimed at integrating practical skills and critical thinking into the curriculum have been implemented in recent years. These reforms are intended to better prepare students for the demands of the modern workforce and to promote a more holistic approach to education (Zhang et al., 2020).

### Use of Technology

The use of technology in education has become increasingly important in enhancing academic performance. In China, the integration of digital tools and online learning platforms into the classroom has been shown to improve student engagement, satisfaction, and academic outcomes (Hu & Gao, 2020).

Technology can support personalized learning, provide access to a wealth of educational resources, and facilitate communication between students and teachers. However, the effectiveness of technology in education depends on how it is used and whether it is integrated into the broader pedagogical approach (Yang & Chen, 2019).

### The Mediating Role of Student Satisfaction

Student satisfaction is a critical mediating variable that influences the relationship between various factors and academic performance. Satisfaction reflects students' overall contentment with their educational experience, including the quality of instruction, the relevance of the curriculum, the support provided by the institution, and the relationships with peers and teachers.

### Understanding Student Satisfaction

Student satisfaction is a multifaceted construct that encompasses various aspects of the educational experience. According to Elliott and Healy (2016), student satisfaction is influenced by factors such as the perceived quality of teaching, the relevance of the curriculum, the availability of resources, and the level of support provided by the institution.

In China, where education is highly valued, student satisfaction plays a crucial role in determining academic outcomes. Satisfied students are more likely to be motivated, engaged, and committed to their studies, leading to better academic performance (Li & Wang, 2019). Moreover, student satisfaction can enhance psychological well-being, reduce stress, and foster a positive learning environment, all of which contribute to academic success (Zhao & Sun, 2019).

### Satisfaction as a Mediator

The mediating role of student satisfaction is critical in understanding how various factors influence academic performance. Satisfaction acts as a bridge between external factors (such as socioeconomic status and institutional quality) and academic outcomes, modulating the effects of these factors on students' performance.

### Socioeconomic Factors and Satisfaction

Socioeconomic factors, particularly family background and access to educational resources, have a direct impact on student satisfaction. Students from higher SES backgrounds are more likely to be satisfied with their educational experience due to the availability of resources and support (Liu et al., 2020). This satisfaction, in turn, enhances their academic performance.

However, even students from lower SES backgrounds can achieve high academic performance if they are satisfied with their educational experience. Research by Zhang et al. (2018) suggests that when schools provide adequate support and resources, students from

disadvantaged backgrounds can achieve levels of satisfaction that mitigate the effects of socioeconomic disparities on academic performance.

### Institutional Factors and Satisfaction

Institutional factors, including school infrastructure, teacher quality, and educational policies, also influence student satisfaction. Schools with better infrastructure, qualified teachers, and supportive policies tend to have higher levels of student satisfaction, which translates into better academic performance (Chen & Liu, 2019).

Moreover, the relationship between institutional quality and academic performance is significantly mediated by student satisfaction. For example, a study by Wang and Li (2020) found that the positive impact of school infrastructure on academic performance was largely explained by the increase in student satisfaction that resulted from improved learning environments.

### Psychological Factors and Satisfaction

Psychological factors such as motivation, self-efficacy, and stress are closely linked to student satisfaction. Students who are motivated and confident in their abilities are more likely to be satisfied with their educational experience, leading to better academic outcomes (Liu & Feng, 2018).

Conversely, high levels of stress and anxiety can reduce student satisfaction, negatively impacting academic performance. Research by Zhou et al. (2021) highlights the importance of addressing psychological well-being to enhance student satisfaction and, consequently, academic outcomes.

### Pedagogical Factors and Satisfaction

Pedagogical factors, particularly teaching methods and curriculum design, have a significant impact on student satisfaction. Student-centered teaching approaches and relevant, engaging curricula are associated with higher levels of satisfaction, which in turn lead to better academic performance (Yang & Chen, 2019).

The use of technology in education can also enhance student satisfaction by providing personalized learning experiences and facilitating communication between students and teachers (Hu & Gao, 2020). However, the effectiveness of technology in improving satisfaction and performance depends on its integration into the overall pedagogical approach.

### Mechanisms of Mediation

*The mediating effect of student satisfaction operates through several mechanisms:*

Enhanced Engagement: Satisfied students are more likely to engage deeply with academic content, which fosters better understanding, retention, and application of knowledge. Engagement, in this context, includes participation in class, completion of assignments, and active involvement in extracurricular activities (Chen et al., 2018).

Positive Attitudes: Student satisfaction cultivates positive attitudes towards learning, which reduces the likelihood of academic burnout and promotes perseverance in the face of

challenges. Positive attitudes are associated with a growth mindset, resilience, and a willingness to seek help when needed (Huang & Zhou, 2020).

Psychological Well-being: A satisfying educational environment bolsters psychological well-being by reducing stress and anxiety, which are known to impede cognitive functioning and learning. Psychological well-being, in turn, enhances students' ability to concentrate, process information, and perform academically (Zhao & Sun, 2019).

Social Integration: Student satisfaction is also linked to social integration, which refers to the extent to which students feel connected to their peers, teachers, and the broader school community. Social integration fosters a sense of belonging, which has been shown to enhance academic motivation and performance (Li & Wang, 2019).

**Implications for Educational Policy and Practice**
The findings of this study have significant implications for educational policy and practice in China. By understanding the factors that influence academic performance and the mediating role of student satisfaction, educators and policymakers can develop strategies to enhance educational outcomes.

**Addressing Socioeconomic Disparities**
One of the most pressing issues in Chinese education is the persistent achievement gap between students from different socioeconomic backgrounds. Addressing this gap requires a multifaceted approach that includes providing additional resources and support to disadvantaged students, improving access to quality education in rural areas, and promoting parental involvement in education (Zhang et al., 2018).

Educational policies that target socioeconomic disparities can enhance student satisfaction by providing equitable access to resources and opportunities. For example, scholarship programs, free tutoring services, and community-based educational initiatives can help level the playing field for students from lower SES backgrounds, thereby improving their satisfaction and academic performance (Li et al., 2020).

**Enhancing Institutional Quality**
Improving the quality of educational institutions is another critical area for policy intervention. This includes investing in school infrastructure, ensuring that all schools have access to modern technology, and providing ongoing professional development for teachers (Wang & Li, 2020).

Policies that focus on enhancing institutional quality can have a direct impact on student satisfaction and academic performance. For example, improving school facilities, such as classrooms, libraries, and laboratories, can create a more conducive learning environment that enhances student satisfaction. Similarly, policies that support teacher training and development can improve the quality of instruction, leading to higher levels of satisfaction and better academic outcomes (Chen & Liu, 2019).

**Supporting Psychological Well-being**
Given the high levels of stress and anxiety among Chinese students, policies that support psychological well-being are essential for improving academic performance. Schools should implement programs that promote mental health, such as counseling services, stress management workshops, and peer support groups (Zhou et al., 2021).

Supporting psychological well-being can enhance student satisfaction by creating a more supportive and nurturing educational environment. When students feel that their emotional and psychological needs are being met, they are more likely to be satisfied with their educational experience and perform better academically (Zhao & Sun, 2019).

**Promoting Innovative Pedagogical Approaches**
To enhance student satisfaction and academic performance, educators should adopt innovative pedagogical approaches that prioritize active learning, critical thinking, and student engagement. This includes incorporating technology into the classroom, using interactive teaching methods, and designing curricula that are relevant to students' interests and future goals (Yang & Chen, 2019).

Policies that encourage pedagogical innovation can lead to more satisfied and successful students. For example, promoting the use of digital tools and online learning platforms can provide students with personalized learning experiences that enhance satisfaction and performance. Similarly, supporting professional development for teachers in areas such as active learning and curriculum design can improve the quality of instruction and student outcomes (Hu & Gao, 2020).

**Conclusion**
This study provides a comprehensive analysis of the factors influencing academic performance in China, with a particular focus on the mediating role of student satisfaction. By synthesizing recent literature, the study highlights the complex interplay between socioeconomic, institutional, psychological, and pedagogical factors and how these are mediated by student satisfaction to impact academic outcomes.

The findings underscore the importance of addressing student satisfaction as a critical component of educational strategies aimed at improving academic performance. Whether through enhancing institutional quality, addressing socioeconomic disparities, supporting psychological well-being, or promoting innovative pedagogical approaches, educators and policymakers must recognize the central role that student satisfaction plays in shaping academic success.

Future research should continue to explore the nuances of these relationships, particularly in different regional and cultural contexts within China. Moreover, longitudinal studies that track changes in student satisfaction and academic performance over time could provide valuable insights into the long-term effects of educational interventions.

By prioritizing student satisfaction and understanding its mediating role, China's educational system can better support students in achieving their full academic potential, thereby contributing to the country's broader goals of social and economic development.

This research makes significant theoretical and contextual contributions to the field of educational psychology and sociology by illuminating the complex interactions between various factors that influence academic performance, with a specific focus on the mediating role of student satisfaction. Theoretically, this study advances our understanding by integrating insights from Self-Determination Theory, cultural capital, and educational policy frameworks, highlighting how intrinsic motivation and satisfaction act as pivotal mediators between external factors (such as socioeconomic status and institutional quality) and academic outcomes. By demonstrating that student satisfaction significantly influences the impact of both internal psychological factors and external socioeconomic and institutional conditions, this research enriches the existing body of knowledge on educational success determinants.

Contextually, this study is particularly significant in the Chinese educational landscape, where academic achievement is critically tied to socioeconomic mobility and national development. By focusing on the Chinese context, the study offers valuable insights into the unique cultural and structural dynamics at play, providing a nuanced understanding that can inform targeted interventions. The findings underscore the importance of enhancing student satisfaction as a strategy to improve academic performance, suggesting that policies aimed at addressing socioeconomic disparities, improving institutional quality, supporting psychological well-being, and adopting innovative pedagogical practices can have a profound impact. This research thus not only contributes to academic literature but also offers practical recommendations for policymakers and educators to foster a more supportive and effective educational environment in China.

### References
Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-Efficacy: The Exercise of Control*. W.H. Freeman.
Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of
        Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education* (pp. 241-258). Greenwood.
Chen, H., & Liu, Y. (2019). Institutional support and student satisfaction: An        empirical
        study in Chinese universities. *Journal of Educational Management*,        *34*(2),
        123-135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jem.2019.02.005
Chen, X., Li, J., & Huang, S. (2018). The role of student engagement in mediating the
        relationship between student satisfaction and academic performance.*Educational
        Psychology*, *38*(6), 823-838.
        https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2018.1426831
Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2016). *Self-Determination Theory: Basic Psychological Needs     in
        Motivation, Development, and Wellness*. The Guilford Press.
Elliott, K. M., & Healy, M. A. (2016). Key factors influencing student satisfaction    related   to
        recruitment and retention. *Journal of Marketing for Higher Education*,    *10*(4), 1-
        11. https://doi.org/10.1300/J050v10n04_01
Hu, Y., & Gao, S. (2020). Technology-enhanced learning and student satisfaction: Evidence
        from Chinese higher education. *Computers & Education*, *150*,    103857.
        https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103857
Huang, L. (2019). The interplay between external factors and internal motivation in
        shaping academic performance. *International Journal of Educational Research*,
        *95*, 12-20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2019.03.002

Huang, Y., & Zhou, X. (2020). Student satisfaction and academic burnout: The mediating role of positive attitudes. *Psychological Reports*, *123*(5), 1784-1802. https://doi.org/10.1177/0033294119859406

Li, J., & Wang, H. (2019). Mediating role of student satisfaction in the relationship between institutional quality and academic performance. *Educational Studies*, *45*(3), 313-327. https://doi.org/10.1080/03055698.2018.1446323

Li, X., & Zhang, Y. (2021). Evaluating the factors influencing academic achievement in Chinese secondary schools. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, *22*(1), 45-57. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12564-020-09663-7

Li, Y., Chen, Z., & Liu, H. (2020). Socioeconomic status and academic achievement: The mediating role of parental involvement. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, *29*(6), 1555-1566. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-020-01713-3

Liu, Q., & Feng, Y. (2018). Self-efficacy and academic achievement: A meta-analysis in Chinese context. *Social Behavior and Personality: An International Journal*, *46*(4), 697-706. https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.6600

Liu, S., Zhang, L., & Wang, Y. (2020). Exploring the link between socioeconomic status and academic performance: The mediating role of student satisfaction. *Educational Research Review*, *30*, 100331. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2020.100331

Randolph, J. J. (2009). A guide to writing the dissertation literature review. *Practical Assessment, Research, and Evaluation*, *14*(13), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.7275/b0az-8t74

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, *55*(1), 68-78. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68

Sirin, S. R. (2005). Socioeconomic status and academic achievement: A meta-analytic review of research. *Review of Educational Research*, *75*(3), 417-453. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543075003417

Wang, M., & Li, S. (2020). The impact of school infrastructure on student satisfaction and academic performance. *International Journal of Educational Development*, *75*, 102183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2020.102183

Yang, Z., & Chen, L. (2019). Pedagogical approaches and student satisfaction: A comparative study in Chinese universities. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *80*, 15-23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2018.12.012

Zhang, H., Li, Y., & Sun, J. (2018). Socioeconomic disparities in education: The role of family background and school quality in China. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility*, *56*, 109-119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rssm.2018.08.001

Zhang, Y., Wang, L., & Liu, W. (2020). Parental involvement and academic achievement in China: The mediating role of self-efficacy. *Journal of Adolescence*, *80*, 131-144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2020.01.005

Zhao, L., & Sun, W. (2019). Student satisfaction and psychological well-being: Implications for academic performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *111*(8), 1432-1444. https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000365

Zhou, Y., Huang, J., & Liu, X. (2021). Academic stress and performance among Chinese university students: The mediating role of psychological capital. *Journal of Pacific Rim Psychology*, *15*, e8. https://doi.org/10.1177/18344909211010634